

# ON GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF $\mathbb{L}$ -HOMOLOGY CLASSES

FRIEDRICH HEGENBARTH AND DUŠAN D. REPOVŠ

ABSTRACT. In this chapter we give a geometric representation of  $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$  classes, where  $\mathbb{L}$  is the 4-periodic surgery spectrum, by establishing a relationship between the normal cobordism classes  $\mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial)$  and the  $n$ -th  $\mathbb{L}$ -homology of  $B$ , representing the elements of  $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$  by normal degree one maps with a reference map to  $B$ . More precisely, we prove that for every  $n \geq 6$  and every finite complex  $B$ , there exists a map  $\Gamma : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Giving a meaning to algebraic objects (e.g., homology classes of generalized homology theories) in terms of geometric objects, is a fundamental task in algebraic topology. The relation between singular homology and classical cobordism theory is a well-known example.

In this paper we shall consider normal degree one maps  $X^n \rightarrow M^n$  with a reference map  $q : M^n \rightarrow B$ , where  $X^n$  is either a generalized or a topological  $n$ -manifold,  $M^n$  is a topological  $n$ -manifold, and  $B$  is a finite complex. We shall denote normal cobordism classes of such objects  $X^n \rightarrow M^n$  with  $\mathcal{N}_n^H(B)$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}_n(B)$ ). We emphasize that  $\mathcal{N}_n^H(B)$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{N}_n(B)$ ) should not be confused with the structure set in the case when  $B$  is a topological  $n$ -manifold (or a  $PD_n$ -complex). It is easy to see that in our definition,

$$\mathcal{N}_n(B) \cong \Omega_n(B \times G/TOP),$$

where  $\Omega_n(\cdot)$  denotes  $n$ -dimensional cobordisms.

A *generalized  $n$ -manifold  $X^n$  (with boundary)* is an  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean neighborhood retract (ENR) with the local homology

$$H_*(X^n, X^n \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{for every } x \in X^n.$$

The *boundary*  $\partial X^n$  of  $X^n$  is defined by

$$\partial X^n = \{x \in X^n : H_n(X^n, X^n \setminus \{x\}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong 0\}.$$

Then  $\partial X^n$  is a generalized  $(n - 1)$ -manifold without boundary (see MITCHELL [5]). Also,  $X^n$  (resp.  $\partial X^n$ ) is an  $n$ -dimensional (resp.  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional) Poincaré

---

*Date:* February 17, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55R20, 57P10, 57R65 57R67; Secondary 55M05, 55N99, 57P05, 57P99.

*Key words and phrases.* Generalized manifold, cell-like map, normal degree one map, Steenrod  $\mathbb{L}$ -homology, Poincaré duality complex, periodic surgery spectrum  $\mathbb{L}$ , geometric representation,  $\mathbb{L}$ -homology class.

duality space. We shall consider generalized manifolds with boundary as pairs of  $(n+1)$ -dimensional (resp.  $n$ -dimensional) generalized manifolds  $(W^{n+1}, \partial W^{n+1})$ .

For every  $n \geq 6$ , QUINN [8] has constructed an obstruction  $i(X^n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which vanishes if there exists a cell-like map  $M^n \rightarrow X^n$ , of  $M^n$  onto  $X^n$  where  $M^n$  is a closed topological  $n$ -manifold (for more on cell-like maps see the survey MITCHELL-REPOVŠ [6]). The construction is done locally, in particular  $i(U) = i(X^n)$  for every open subset  $U \subset X^n$ . Because of its nice properties, one considers  $I(X^n) = 1 + 8i(X^n)$ , which we shall hereafter call the *Quinn index* of  $X^n$ . In particular,  $I(W^{n+1}) = I(\partial W^{n+1})$ , which follows from the product property of  $I(X^n)$ . For more on this topic see the monograph CAVICCHIOLI-HEGENBARTH-REPOVŠ [2]).

Our main result (to be proved in Section 3) establishes a relationship between  $\mathcal{N}_n^H(B)$  and the  $n$ -th  $\mathbb{L}$ -homology of a finite complex  $B$ , i.e.  $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$ , where  $\mathbb{L}$  denotes the 4-periodic surgery spectrum, i.e.

$$\mathbb{L}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} \times G/TOP$$

(see RANICKI [9]). Namely, we represent the elements of  $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$  by normal degree one maps with a reference map to  $B$ , i.e. as the objects of the following type

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X^n & \longrightarrow & N^n \\ & & \downarrow q \\ & & B \end{array}$$

where  $X^n$  is a generalized  $n$ -manifold with boundary and  $N^n$  is a topological  $n$ -manifold with boundary, and normal cobordism classes of such objects are denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{N}_n(B, \partial)$ ).

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every  $n \geq 6$  and every finite complex  $B$ , there exists a map*

$$\Gamma : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial).$$

## 2. GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF THE ELEMENTS OF $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$

Let  $B$  be a finite complex,  $n \geq 6$ , and let  $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$  be the Steenrod homology of  $B$  with respect to the 4-periodic surgery spectrum  $\mathbb{L}$ . The Steenrod homology behaves well on the category of all compact metric spaces - see KAHN-KAMINKER-SCHOCHET [4]. The spectrum  $\mathbb{L}$  is algebraically defined. It is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum, i.e. it is a sequence of  $\Delta$ -sets  $\mathbb{L}_q$ , where  $\mathbb{L}_q$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\Omega\mathbb{L}_{q-1}$  with  $\mathbb{L}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z} \times G/TOP$  as  $\Delta$ -sets. Each  $\mathbb{L}_q$  consists of a sequence  $\mathbb{L}_q < j >$ .

We shall follow RANICKI [9, Chapter 12] to define elements of  $H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$ . To this end, we have to embed  $B \subset S^m$ , where  $m$  is sufficiently large, and consider the dual complexes of  $B$  and  $S^m$ , which will be denoted respectively as  $\bar{B}$  and  $\Sigma^m$ . However, we shall keep the notation  $B$  and  $S^m$  also for the duals.

Let  $(V^m, W^m)$  be a pair of simplicial complexes homotopy equivalent to the pair  $(S^m, S^m \setminus B)$ . An element  $x \in H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$  is then given by a simplicial map

$$u : (V^m, W^m) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}_{n-m}, *),$$

which sends  $W^m$  to 0 and satisfies a certain cycle condition. Clearly,  $u$  is well-defined by  $x$ , up to some equivalence (i.e. boundary) condition. Moreover, if  $\sigma \in B$ , then

$$u(\sigma) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| \rangle,$$

where  $|\sigma|$  denotes the dimension of  $\sigma$ , i.e.  $m - |\sigma|$  is the dimension of its dual cell  $D(\sigma, V^m)$  in  $V^m$ .

As described in NICAS [7, pp. 25-26], the  $\Omega$ -spectrum property implies the equivalence

$$\mathbb{L}_q \langle j \rangle \longrightarrow (\Omega \mathbb{L}_{q-1}) \langle j - 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{L}_{q-1} \langle j + 1 \rangle .$$

By iteration, one obtains the equivalence

$$\mathbb{L}_0 \langle n - |\sigma| \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| \rangle$$

as  $\Delta$ -sets. Note that

$$\mathbb{L}_0 \langle j \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \times (G/TOP \langle j \rangle),$$

where  $G/TOP \langle j \rangle$  denotes the singular complex of  $j$ -simplices of  $G/TOP$ .

The face maps

$$\partial_0, \dots, \partial_j : \mathbb{L}_0 \langle j \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_0 \langle j - 1 \rangle$$

leave the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -components invariant. Since we are using dual complexes, the face maps can be written as follows

$$\mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - j \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - j - 1 \rangle .$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that*

$$u : (V^m, W^m) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}_{n-m}, *)$$

*represents the element  $x \in H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$  as above, where  $(S^m, S^m \setminus B)$  is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial model for  $(V^m, W^m)$ . Then under the equivalence*

$$\mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - j \rangle \simeq \mathbb{L}_0 \langle n - j \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \times (G/TOP \langle n - j \rangle),$$

*$u(\sigma), u(\tau) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m}$  determine the same  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component.*

*Proof.* The subface  $\sigma \prec \tau \in B$  is a certain composition of face maps denoted by  $\partial$ , with the dual  $\delta$ ,

$$D(\tau, V^m) \prec D(\sigma, V^m).$$

The assertion of Lemma 2.1 now follows from the commutativity of the following diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} u(\tau) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\tau| \rangle & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_0 \langle n - |\tau| \rangle \\ \uparrow \delta & & \searrow pr \\ u(\sigma) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| \rangle & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}_0 \langle n - |\sigma| \rangle \\ & & \nearrow pr \\ & & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

□

**Corollary 2.2.** *Consider any pair of simplices  $\sigma, \tau \in B$  of the simplicial complex  $B$  such that  $\sigma \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $u(\sigma), u(\tau) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m}$  determine the same  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component.* □

Moreover, Lemma 2.1 also implies the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Suppose that*

$$u, u' : (V^m, W^m) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}_{n-m}, *)$$

*represent the same element  $x \in H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$ , where  $(S^m, S^m \setminus B)$  is homotopy equivalent to the simplicial model for  $(V^m, W^m)$ . Then for every simplex  $\sigma \in B$ , the elements  $u(\sigma), u'(\sigma) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m}$  determine the same  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis,  $u \sim u'$ , so there is a cobordism map

$$v : \Delta^1 \times (V^m, W^m) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}_{n-m}, *),$$

i.e.

$$v(\Delta^1 \times \sigma) \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| - 1 \rangle,$$

where

$$v(\partial_0 \Delta^1 \times \sigma) = u(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad v(\partial_1 \Delta^1 \times \sigma) = u'(\sigma).$$

Therefore under the face maps, we get the following diagram

(2.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| \rangle & & \\
 \searrow^{\delta_0} & & \\
 & \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\Delta^1 \times \sigma| \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_0 \langle n - |\Delta^1 \times \sigma| \rangle & \\
 \nearrow_{\delta_1} & & \downarrow pr \\
 \mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| \rangle & & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

which proves the assertion of Corollary 2.3.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -components depend only on  $x \in H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$ , and that  $u(\sigma), u(\sigma') \in \mathbb{L}_{n-m}$  define the same element if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  can be connected by a chain  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  of simplices such that

$$\sigma_j \cap \sigma_{j+1} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}.$$

This leads to the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $B$  is connected. Then the construction described above defines a map*

$$I : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow L_0(\mathbb{Z}) = 1 + 8\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -component coming from the identification*

$$\mathbb{L}_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times G/TOP$$

*is the 0-dimensional signature invariant defined by QUINN [8, Section 3.2].*

The second component of the identification

$$\mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m - |\sigma| \rangle \simeq \mathbb{L}_0 \langle n - |\sigma| \rangle \simeq \mathbb{L}_0(\mathbb{Z}) \times (G/TOP \langle n - |\sigma| \rangle)$$

associates to  $x \in H_n(B; \mathbb{L})$ , represented by the map, where  $(V^m, W^m)$  is a pair of simplicial complexes homotopy equivalent to the pair  $(S^m, S^m \setminus B)$ ,

$$u : (V^m, W^m) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{L}_{n-m}, *),$$

a family of adic normal degree one maps given by  $u(\sigma)$

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & V^m \\ & & & \nearrow \text{incl.} & \uparrow \text{incl.} \\ M_\sigma^n & \longrightarrow & N_\sigma^{n-|\sigma|} & \longrightarrow & D(\sigma, V^m) \\ & & & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & & & B \end{array}$$

therefore it defines a map

$$\Gamma : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial).$$

Similarly, one obtains a map

$$\Gamma^+ : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}^+) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_n(B, \partial).$$

Clearly, the following diagram commutes

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_n(B; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^+} & \mathcal{N}_n(B, \partial) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & \mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial) \end{array}$$

**Summary 3.3.** *For every  $n \geq 6$ , one can construct the maps*

$$I : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow L_0(\mathbb{Z}) = 1 + 8\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\Gamma : H_n(B; \mathbb{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_n^H(B, \partial)$$

via the simplicial equivalence

$$\mathbb{L}_{n-m} \langle m-j \rangle \simeq \mathbb{L}_0 \langle n-j \rangle \simeq \mathbb{L}_0(\mathbb{Z}) \times (G/TOP \langle n-j \rangle).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency grants P1-0292, J1-4031, J1-4001, N1-0278, N1-0114, and N1-0083. We thank John Bryant, Wolfgang Lück, Washington Mio, Shmuel Weinberger, and Michael Weiss for updating us regarding the doubts about the validity of FERRY-PEDERSEN [3,

Theorem 16.6], which in the last three decades was applied by many authors (for details see e.g. BRYANT-FERRY-MIO-WEINBERGER [1]), and which we also wanted to apply in our original plan. We thank the editors and referees for comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bryant, S. Ferry, W. Mio, and S. Weinberger, Erratum to "Topology of Homology Manifolds" by Bryant, Ferry, Mio, and Weinberger, preprint, Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, 2023.
- [2] A. Cavicchioli, F. Hegenbarth, and D. Repovš, Higher-Dimensional Generalized Manifolds: Surgery and Constructions, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics **23**, European Math. Soc., Zürich, 2016. MR 3558558
- [3] S.C. Ferry and E.K. Pedersen, Epsilon surgery theory, Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems and Rigidity, Vol. 2 (Oberwolfach, 1993), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 167-226. MR 1388311
- [4] D.S. Kahn, J. Kaminker, and C. Schochet, Generalized homology theories on compact metric spaces, Michigan Math. J. 24 (1977), no. 2, 203-224. MR 0474274
- [5] W.J.R. Mitchell, Defining the boundary of a homology manifold, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (2) (1990), 509-513. MR 90m:57015
- [6] W.J.R. Mitchell and D. Repovš, The topology of cell-like mappings, Rend. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari, Suppl. 58 (1988), 265-300. MR 1122860
- [7] A. Nicas, Induction theorems for groups of homotopy manifold structures, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1982), no. 267. MR 0668807
- [8] F.S. Quinn, Resolutions of homology manifolds and the topological characterization of manifolds, Invent. Math. 72 (1983), no. 2, 267-284. MR 0700771, Corrigendum, Invent. Math. 85 (1986), no. 3, 653. MR 0848688
- [9] A.A. Ranicki, Algebraic  $L$ -Theory and Topological Manifolds, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 102, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992. MR 1211640

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "FEDERIGO ENRIQUES", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

*Email address:* `friedrich.hegenbarth@unimi.it`

FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA & INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

*Email address:* `dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si`