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#### Abstract

We show that every Hausdorff Baire topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2} b=a, a b^{2}=b\right\rangle$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup is discrete and we construct a nondiscrete Hausdorff semigroup topology on $\mathcal{C}$. We also discuss the closure of a semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ in a semitopological semigroup and prove that $\mathcal{C}$ does not embed into a topological semigroup with the countably compact square.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. If $Y$ is a subspace of a topological space $X$ and $A \subseteq Y$, then we shall denote the topological closure of $A$ in $Y$ by $\operatorname{cl}_{Y}(A)$. Further we shall follow the terminology of $[7,8,10,19]$.

For a topological space $X$, a family $\left\{A_{s} \mid s \in \mathscr{A}\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ is called locally finite if for every point $x \in X$ there exists an open neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ in $X$ such that the set $\left\{s \in \mathscr{A} \mid U \cap A_{s} \neq \varnothing\right\}$ is finite. A subset $A$ of $X$ is said to be

- co-dense in $X$ if $X \backslash A$ is dense in $X$;
- an $F_{\sigma}$-set in $X$ if $A$ is a union of a countable family of closed subsets in $X$.
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We recall that a topological space $X$ is said to be

- compact if each open cover of $X$ has a finite subcover;
- countably compact if each open countable cover of $X$ has a finite subcover;
- sequentially compact if each sequence in $X$ has a convergent subsequence;
- pseudocompact if each locally finite open cover of $X$ is finite;
- a Baire space if for each sequence $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{i}, \ldots$ of nowhere dense subsets of $X$ the union $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}$ is a co-dense subset of $X$;
- Čech complete if $X$ is Tychonoff and for every compactification $c X$ of $X$, the remainder $c X \backslash X$ is an $F_{\sigma}$-set in $c X$;
- locally compact if every point of $X$ has an open neighbourhood with a compact closure.
According to Theorem 3.10 .22 of [10], a Tychonoff topological space $X$ is pseudocompact if and only if each continuous real-valued function on $X$ is bounded.

If $S$ is a semigroup, then we shall denote the Green relations on $S$ by $\mathscr{R}$ and $\mathscr{L}$ (see Section 2.1 of [8]):

$$
a \mathscr{R} b \text { if and only if } a S^{1}=b S^{1} ; \quad \text { and } \quad a \mathscr{L} b \text { if and only if } S^{1} a=S^{1} b .
$$

A semigroup $S$ is called simple if $S$ does not contain any proper two-sided ideals.
A semitopological (resp. topological) semigroup is a topological space together with a separately (resp. jointly) continuous semigroup operation.

An important theorem of Andersen [1] (see also [8, Theorem 2.54]) states that in any [0-]simple semigroup which is not completely [0-]simple, each nonzero idempotent (if there are any) is the identity element of a copy of the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)=\langle a, b| a b=$ $1\rangle$. The bicyclic semigroup is bisimple and every one of its congruences is either trivial or a group congruence. Moreover, every non-annihilating homomorphism $h$ of the bicyclic semigroup is either an isomorphism or the image of $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ under $h$ is a cyclic group (see Corollary 1.32 in [8]). Eberhart and Selden [9] showed that every Hausdorff semigroup topology on the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ is discrete. Bertman and West [6] proved that every Hausdorff topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ such that $(\mathcal{B}(a, b), \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup is also discrete. Neither stable nor $\Gamma$-compact topological semigroups can contain a copy of the bicyclic semigroup [2, 13]. Also, the bicyclic semigroup cannot be embedded into any countably compact topological inverse semigroup [11]. Moreover, the conditions were given in [4] and [5] when a countably compact or pseudocompact topological semigroup cannot contain the bicyclic semigroup, which is topological semigroup with a countably compact square and with a pseudocompact square. However, Banakh, Dimitrova and Gutik [5] have constructed (assuming the Continuum Hypothesis or the Martin Axiom) an example of a Tychonoff countably compact topological semigroup which contains the bicyclic semigroup.

Jones [14] found semigroups $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ which play a role similar to the bicyclic semigroup in Andersen's Theorem. Let

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2} b=a\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2} b=a, a b^{2}=b\right\rangle .
$$

It is obvious that the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{A}$, and the bicyclic semigroup is a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{C}$. Also, every non-injective homomorphic image of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ contains an idempotent. Jones [14] showed that every [0-] simple idempotentfree semigroup $S$ on which $\mathscr{R}$ is nontrivial contains (a copy of) $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, if $S$ is also $\mathscr{L}$-trivial and is not $\mathscr{R}$-trivial then it must contain $\mathcal{A}$ (but not $\mathcal{C}$ ), and if $S$ is both $\mathscr{R}$ - and $\mathscr{L}$-nontrivial then $S$ must contain either $\mathcal{C}$ or both $\mathcal{A}$ and its dual $\mathcal{A}^{d}$.

In the general case, the countable compactness of topological semigroup $S$ does not guarantee that $S$ contains an idempotent. By Theorem 8 of [4], a topological semigroup $S$ contains an idempotent if $S$ satisfies one of the following conditions: 1) $S$ is doubly countably compact; 2) $S$ is sequentially compact; 3) $S$ is $p$-compact for some free ultrafilter $p$ on $\omega$; 4) $S^{2^{\text {c }}}$ is countably compact; 5) $S^{\kappa^{\omega}}$ is countably compact, where $\kappa$ is the minimal cardinality of a closed subsemigroup of $S$. This motivates the establishing of the semigroups $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ as topological semigroups, in particular their semigroup topologizations and the question of their embeddings into compact-like topological semigroups.

In this paper we study the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ as a semitopological semigroup. We show that every Hausdorff Baire topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup is discrete and we construct a nondiscrete Hausdorff semigroup topology on $\mathcal{C}$. We also discuss the closure of a semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ in a semitopological semigroup and prove that $\mathcal{C}$ does not embed into a topological semigroup with a countably compact square.

## 2. Algebraic properties of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$

The semigroup $\mathcal{C}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2} b=a, a b^{2}=b\right\rangle$ was introduced by Rédei [18] and further studied by Megyesi and Pollák [16] and by Rankin and Reis [17]. Its salient properties are summarized here:

Proposition 1. (i) $\mathcal{C}$ is a 2-generated simple idempotent-free semigroup in which $a \mathscr{R} a^{2}$ and $b \mathscr{L} b^{2}$, so that $\mathscr{R}$ and $\mathscr{L}$ are nontrivial; however $\mathscr{H}$ is trivial.
(ii) Each element of $\mathcal{C}$ is uniquely expressible as $b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}, k, l, m \geqslant 0, k+l+m>0$.
(iii) The product of elements $b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}$ and $b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{cases}b^{k+n-m}(a b)^{p} a^{q}, & \text { if } m<n  \tag{1}\\ b^{k}(a b)^{l+p+1} a^{q}, & \text { if } m=n \neq 0 \\ b^{k}(a b)^{l+p} a^{q}, & \text { if } m=n=0 \\ b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{q+m-n}, & \text { if } m>n\end{cases}
$$

(iv) The semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ is minimally idempotent-free (i.e., it is idempotent-free but each of its proper quotients contains an idempotent).

Definition 1 ([15]). A semigroup $S$ is said to be stable if the following conditions hold:
(i) $s, t \in S$ and $S s \subseteq S s t$ implies that $S s=S s t$; and
(ii) $s, t \in S$ and $s S \subseteq t s S$ implies that $s S=t s S$.

By formula (1) we have that

$$
b \cdot b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}=b^{n+1}(a b)^{p} a^{q}
$$

and

$$
a \cdot b \cdot b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}= \begin{cases}(a b)^{p+1} a^{q}, & \text { if } n=0 \\ b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}, & \text { if } n \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

for each $b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q} \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence we get that $b \cdot \mathcal{C} \subseteq a \cdot b \cdot \mathcal{C}$, but $b \cdot \mathcal{C} \neq a \cdot b \cdot \mathcal{C}$. This yields the following proposition:

Proposition 2. The semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ is not stable.
The following remark follows from formula (1) above:
Remark 1. The semigroup operation in $\mathcal{C}$ implies that the following assertions hold:
(i) The map $\varphi_{i, j}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by the formula $\varphi_{i, j}(x)=b^{i} \cdot x \cdot a^{j}$ is injective for all nonnegative integers $i$ and $j$ (for $i=j=0$ we put that $\varphi_{0,0}(x)=x$ );
(ii) The subsemigroups $\mathcal{C}_{a b}=\langle a b\rangle, \mathcal{C}_{a}=\langle a\rangle$ and $\mathcal{C}_{b}=\langle b\rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}$ are infinite cyclic semigroups.

## 3. On topologizations of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$

Let $X$ be a topological space. A continuous map $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called a retraction of $X$ if $f \circ f=f$; and the set of all values of a retraction of $X$ is called a retract of $X$ (cf. [10]).

Proposition 3. If $\tau$ is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup then for every positive integer $k$ the sets

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{k}=\left\{b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q} \mid n=k, k+1, k+2, \ldots, p=0,1,2, \ldots, q=0,1,2, \ldots\right\},
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{L}_{k}=\left\{b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q} \mid q=k, k+1, k+2, \ldots, n=0,1,2, \ldots, p=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

are retracts in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ and hence closed subsets of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$.
Proof. By formula (1) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{m}(a b)^{l} a^{m} \cdot b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}= \begin{cases}b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}, & \text { if } m<n ; \\
b^{n}(a b)^{l+p+1} a^{q}, & \text { if } m \neq n ; \\
(a b)^{l+p} a^{q}, & \text { if } m=n=0 ; \\
b^{m}(a b)^{l} a^{q+m-n}, & \text { if } m>n,\end{cases}  \tag{2}\\
& b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{m} \cdot b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{n}= \begin{cases}b^{i+n-m}(a b)^{p} a^{n}, & \text { if } m<n ; \\
b^{i}(a b)^{l+p+1} a^{n}, & \text { if } m=n \neq 0 ; \\
b^{i}(a b)^{l+p}, & \text { if } m=n=0 ; \\
b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{m}, & \text { if } m>n .\end{cases} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then left and right translations of the element $b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{k}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ are retractions of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ and hence the sets $\mathfrak{R}_{k}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{k}$ are retracts of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for every positive integer $k$. The last statement of the proposition follows from Exercise 1.5.C of [10].

Proposition 4. If $\tau$ is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup then $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is an open-and-closed subsemigroup of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$.

Proof. We observe that $\mathcal{C}_{a b}=\mathcal{C} \backslash\left(\mathfrak{R}_{1} \cup \mathfrak{L}_{1}\right)$ and hence by Proposition 3 we have that $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is an open subset of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$. Also, formula (1) implies that

$$
a \cdot b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q} \cdot b=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
b^{n-1}(a b)^{p} a^{q} \cdot b, & \text { if } n>1 ; \\
(a b)^{p+l} a^{q} \cdot b, & \text { if } n=1 ; \\
a^{q+1} \cdot b, & \text { if } n=0
\end{array}=\right.
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}b^{n}, & \text { if } n>1 \quad \text { and } q=0 ;  \tag{4}\\ b^{n-1}(a b)^{p+1} & \text { if } n>1 \text { and } q=1 ; \\ b^{n-1}(a b)^{p} a^{q-1}, & \text { if } n>1 \text { and } q>1 ; \\ b, & \text { if } n=1 \text { and } q=0 ; \\ (a b)^{p+2}, & \text { if } n=1 \text { and } q=1 ; \\ (a b)^{p+1} a^{q-1}, & \text { if } n=1 \text { and } q>1 ; \\ a b, & \text { if } n=0 \text { and } q=0 ; \\ a, & \text { if } n=0 \text { and } q=1 ; \\ a^{q}, & \text { if } n=0 \text { and } q>1,\end{cases}
$$

for nonnegative integers $n, p$ and $q$. By formula (4),

$$
\mathcal{C}_{0,0}=\left\{(a b)^{i} \mid i=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}
$$

is the set of solutions of the equation $a \cdot X \cdot b=a b$. Then the Hausdorffness of the space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ and the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $\mathcal{C}$ imply that $\mathcal{C}_{a b}=\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is a closed subset of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$.

We observe that formula (4) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m} \cdot b= \begin{cases}b^{k+1}, & \text { if } m=0 ; \\
b^{k}(a b)^{l+1}, & \text { if } m=1 ; \\
b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m-1}, & \text { if } m>1,\end{cases}  \tag{5}\\
& a \cdot b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}= \begin{cases}b^{n-1}(a b)^{p} a^{q}, & \text { if } n>1 ; \\
(a b)^{p+1} a^{q}, & \text { if } n=1 ; \\
a^{q+1}, & \text { if } n=0,\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

for nonnegative integers $k, l, m, n, p$ and $q$.
Proposition 5. If $\tau$ is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{0, i}=\left\{(a b)^{p} a^{i} \mid p=0,1,2,3, \ldots\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C}_{i, 0}=\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{p} \mid p=0,1,2,3, \ldots\right\}
$$

are open subsets of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for any positive integer $i$.
Proof. By Proposition $4, \mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is an open subset $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ and by Hausdorffness of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ the set $\mathcal{C}_{0,0} \backslash\{a b\}$ is open in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$, too. Then formula (5) implies that the equation $X \cdot b=(a b)^{p+2}$, where $p=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, has a unique solution $X=(a b)^{p} a$, and hence since all right translations in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ are continuous maps we get that $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}$ is an open subset of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$. Also, formula (4) implies that the equation $a \cdot X=(a b)^{p+2}$, where $p=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, has a unique solution $X=b(a b)^{p}$, and hence since all left translations in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ are continuous maps we get that $\mathcal{C}_{1,0}$ is an open subset of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$.

By formula (5), the equation $X \cdot b=(a b)^{l} a^{m-1}$, where $l-1$ and $m-1$ are positive integers, has a unique solution $X=(a b)^{l} a^{m}$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ implies that if $\mathcal{C}_{0, m-1}$ is an open subset of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ then $\mathcal{C}_{0, m}$ is open in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$, too. Similarly, formula (6) implies that the equation $a \cdot X=b^{n-1}(a b)^{p}$, where $n-1$ and $p-1$ are positive integers, has a unique solution $X=b^{n}(a b)^{p}$, and hence the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ and openess of the set $\mathcal{C}_{n-1,0}$ in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ imply that the set $\mathcal{C}_{n, 0}$ is an open subset of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$. Next, we complete the proof of the proposition by induction.

Proposition 6. If $\tau$ is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{i, j}=\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{p} a^{j} \mid p=0,1,2,3, \ldots\right\}
$$

is an open subset of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for all positive integers $i$ and $j$.
Proof. First we observe that Proposition 5 and Hausdorffness of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ imply that $\mathcal{C}_{k, 0} \backslash$ $\left\{b^{k}(a b)\right\}$ is an open subset of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for every positive integer $k$. Then formula (5) implies that the equation $X \cdot b=b^{k}(a b)^{p+1}$, where $p=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, has a unique solution $X=b^{k}(a b)^{p} a$, and hence since all right and left translations in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ are continuous maps we get that $\mathcal{C}_{k, 1}$ is an open subset of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$.

Also, by formula (5) we have that the equation $X \cdot b=b^{k}(a b)^{p} a^{l}$ has a unique solution $X=b^{k}(a b)^{p} a^{l+1}$. Then the openess of the set $\mathcal{C}_{k, l}$ implies that the set $\mathcal{C}_{k, l+1}$ is open in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$. Then induction implies the assertion of the proposition.

Propositions 4, 5 and 6 imply Theorem 1, which describes all Hausdorff topologies $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup.
Theorem 1. If $\tau$ is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup then $\mathcal{C}_{i, j}$ is an open-and-closed subset of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for all nonnegative integers $i$ and $j$.

Since the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ admits only the discrete topology which turns $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ into a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup [6], Theorem 1 implies the following:

Corollary 1. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a semitopological semigroup then the homomorphism $h: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(a, b)$, defined by the formula $h\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}\right)=b^{k} a^{m}$, is continuous.

Later we shall need the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Every Hausdorff Baire topology on the infinite cyclic semigroup $S$ such that $(S, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup is discrete.

Proof. Since every infinite cyclic semigroup is isomorphic to the additive semigroup of positive integers $(\mathbb{N},+)$ we assume without loss of generality that $S=(\mathbb{N},+)$.

Fix an arbitrary $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then Hausdorffness of ( $\mathbb{N},+$ ) implies that $\left\{1, \ldots, n_{0}\right\}$ is a closed subset of $(\mathbb{N},+)$, and hence by Proposition 1.14 of [12] we get that $\mathbb{N}_{n_{0}}=$ $\mathbb{N} \backslash\left\{1, \ldots, n_{0}\right\}$ with the induced topology from $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$ is a Baire space.

If no point in $\mathbb{N}_{n_{0}}$ is isolated, then since $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$ is Hausdorff, it follows that $\{n\}$ is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{N}_{n_{0}}$ for all $n>n_{0}$. But, if this is the case, then since the space $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$ is countable we conclude that $\mathbb{N}_{n_{0}}$ cannot be a Baire space. Hence $\mathbb{N}_{n_{0}}$ contains an isolated point $n_{1}$ in $\mathbb{N}_{n_{0}}$. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathbb{N},+, \tau)$
implies that $n_{0}$ is an isolated point in $(\mathbb{N}, \tau)$, because $n_{1}=n_{0}+(\underbrace{1+\ldots+1}_{\left(n_{1}-n_{0}\right) \text {-times }})$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 2. Every Hausdorff Baire topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup is discrete.
Proof. By Proposition $4, \mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is an open-and-closed subsemigroup of $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$. Then by Proposition 1.14 of [12] we have that $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is a Baire space and hence Lemma 1 implies that every element of $\mathcal{C}_{a b}$ is an isolated point of the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$.

Now, by formula (4), the equation $a \cdot X \cdot b=(a b)^{p+2}$ has a unique solution $X=$ $b(a b)^{p} a$ for every nonnegative integer $p$, and since the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is separately continuous we conclude that $b(a b)^{p} a$ is an isolated point in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for every integer $p \geqslant 0$. Similarly, formula (4) implies that the equation $a \cdot X \cdot b=b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{n}$ has the unique solution $X=b^{n-1}(a b)^{p} a^{n-1}$ for every nonnegative integer $p$ and every integer $n>1$. Then by induction we get that the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ implies that $b^{n+1}(a b)^{p} a^{n+1}$ is an isolated point in the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for all nonnegative integers $n$ and $p$.

We fix arbitrary distinct nonnegative integers $n$ and $m$. We can assume without loss of generality that $n<m$. In the case when $m<n$ the proof is similar. Since by Remark $1(i)$ we have that the map $\varphi_{m-n, 0}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by the formula $\varphi_{m-n, 0}(x)=$ $b^{m-n} \cdot x$ is injective and by the previous part of the proof, the point $b^{m}(a b)^{p} a^{m}$ is isolated in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for every nonnegative integer $p$, we conclude that the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ implies that $b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{m}$ is an isolated point in the topological space $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ for every nonnegative integer $p$.

Since every Čech complete space (and hence every locally compact space) is Baire, Theorem 2 implies Corollaries 2 and 3.
Corollary 2. Every Hausdorff Čech complete (locally compact) topology $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup is discrete.
Corollary 3. Every Hausdorff Baire topology (and hence Čech complete or locally compact topology) $\tau$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff topological semigroup is discrete.

The following example implies that there exists a Tychonoff nondiscrete topology $\tau_{p}$ on the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\left(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}\right)$ is a topological semigroup.
Example 1. Let $p$ be a fixed prime number. We define a topology $\tau_{p}$ on the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ by the base

$$
\mathscr{B}_{p}\left(b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j}\right)=\left\{U_{\alpha}\left(b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j}\right) \mid \alpha=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}
$$

at every point $b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j} \in \mathcal{C}$, where

$$
U_{\alpha}\left(b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j}\right)=\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{k+\lambda \cdot p^{\alpha}} a^{j} \mid \lambda=1,2,3, \ldots\right\} .
$$

Simple verifications show that the topology $\tau_{p}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by the following metric:

$$
d\left(b^{i_{1}}(a b)^{k_{1}} a^{j_{1}}, b^{i_{2}}(a b)^{k_{2}} a^{j_{2}}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } i_{1}=i_{2}, k_{1}=k_{2} \text { and } j_{1}=j_{2} ; \\ 2^{s}, & \text { if } i_{1}=i_{2}, k_{1} \neq k_{2} \text { and } j_{1}=j_{2} ; \\ 1, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where $s$ is the largest of $p$ which divides $\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|$. This implies that $\left(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}\right)$ is a Tychonoff space. Also, it is easy to see that $U_{\alpha}\left(b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j}\right)$ is a closed subset of the topological space $\left(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}\right)$, for every $b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j} \in \mathcal{C}$ and every positive integer $\alpha$, and hence $\left(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}\right)$ is a 0 -dimensional topological space (i.e., $\left(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}\right)$ has a base which consists of open-andclosed subsets). We observe that the topological space ( $\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}$ ) doesn't contain any isolated points.

For every positive integer $\alpha$ and arbitrary elements $b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}$ and $b^{n}(a b)^{t} a^{q}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$, formula (1) implies that the following conditions hold:
(i) if $m<n$ then $U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}\right) \cdot U_{\alpha}\left(b^{n}(a b)^{t} a^{q}\right) \subseteq U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k+n-m}(a b)^{t} a^{q}\right)$;
(ii) if $m=n \neq 0$ then $U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}\right) \cdot U_{\alpha}\left(b^{n}(a b)^{t} a^{q}\right) \subseteq U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l+t+1} a^{q}\right)$;
(iii) if $m=n=0$ then $U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}\right) \cdot U_{\alpha}\left(b^{n}(a b)^{t} a^{q}\right) \subseteq U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l+t} a^{q}\right)$; and
(iv) if $m>n$ then $U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}\right) \cdot U_{\alpha}\left(b^{n}(a b)^{t} a^{q}\right) \subseteq U_{\alpha}\left(b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{q+m-n}\right)$.

Therefore $\left(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{p}\right)$ is a topological semigroup.

## 4. On the closure and embedding of the semitopological SEMIGROUP $\mathcal{C}$

In the case of the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ we have that if a topological semigroup $S$ contains $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ then the nonempty remainder of $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ under the closure in $S$ is an ideal in $\operatorname{cl}_{S}(\mathcal{B}(a, b))$ (see [9]). This immediately follows from that facts that the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ admits only the discrete topology which turns $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ into a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and that the equations $A \cdot X=B$ and $X \cdot A=B$ have finitely many solutions in $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ (see [6, Proposition 1] and [9, Lemma I.1]).

The following example shows that the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ with the discrete topology does not have similar "properties of the closure" as the bicyclic semigroup.

Example 2. It well known that each element of the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ is uniquely expressible as $b^{i} a^{j}$, where $i$ and $j$ are nonnegative integers. Since all elements of the semigroup have similar expressibility we shall denote later the elements of the bicyclic semigroup by underlining $b^{i} a^{j}$.

We define a map $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(a, b)$ by the formula $\pi\left(b^{i}(a b) k a^{j}\right)=b^{i} a^{j}$. Simple verifications and formula (1) show that thus defined map $\pi$ is a homomorphism. We extend the semigroup operation from the semigroups $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ on $S=\mathcal{C} \sqcup \mathcal{B}(a, b)$ in the following way:

$$
b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m} \star \underline{b^{n} a^{q}}= \begin{cases}\frac{b^{k+n-m} a^{q},}{b^{k} a^{q},}, & \text { if } m<n ; \\ b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{q+m-n}, & \text { if } m>n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\underline{b^{k} a^{m}} \star b^{n}(a b)^{p} a^{q}= \begin{cases}b^{k+n-m}(a b)^{p} a^{q}, & \text { if } m<n ; \\ \frac{b^{k} a^{q}}{b^{k} a^{q+m-n}}, & \text { if } m=n ; \\ \underline{\text { if } m>n},\end{cases}
$$

A routine check of all 118 cases and their compatibility shows that such a binary operation is associative.

Now, we define the topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $S$ in the following way:
(i) all elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ are isolated points in $(S, \tau)$; and
(ii) the family $\mathscr{B}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{j}}\right)=\left\{U_{n}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{j}}\right) \mid n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$, where

$$
U_{n}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{j}}\right)=\left\{\underline{b^{i} a^{j}}\right\} \cup\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j} \in \mathcal{C} \mid k=n, n+1, n+2, \ldots\right\},
$$

is a base of the topology $\tau$ at the point $\underline{b^{i} a^{j}} \in \mathcal{B}(a, b)$.
Simple verifications show that $(S, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff 0 -dimensional scattered locally compact metrizable space.
Proposition 7. $(S, \tau)$ is a topological semigroup.
Proof. The definition of the topology $\tau$ on $S$ implies that it suffices to show that the semigroup operation in $(S, \tau)$ is continuous in the following three cases:

1) $b^{i} a^{k} \star b^{m} a^{p}$;
2) $b^{i} a^{k} \star b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}$; and
3) $b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k} \star \underline{b^{m}} a^{p}$.

In case 1) we get that

$$
\underline{b^{i} a^{k}} \star \underline{b^{m} a^{p}}= \begin{cases}\underline{b^{i-k+m} a^{p}}, & \text { if } k<m \\ \underline{b^{i} a^{p},}, & \text { if } k=m \\ \underline{b^{i} a^{k}-m+p}, & \text { if }, k>m\end{cases}
$$

and for every positive integer $u$ the following statements hold:
a) if $k<m$ then $U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k}}\right) \star U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{m} a^{p}}\right) \subseteq U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i-k+m} a^{p}}\right)$;
b) if $k=m$ then $U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k}}\right) \star U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{m} a^{p}}\right) \subseteq U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{p}}\right)$;
c) if $k>m$ then $U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k}}\right) \star U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{m} a^{p}}\right) \subseteq U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k-m+p}}\right)$.

In case 2) we have that

$$
\underline{b^{i} a^{k}} \star b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}= \begin{cases}b^{i-k+m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}, & \text { if } k<m \\ \underline{b^{i} a^{p}}, & \text { if } k=m \\ \underline{b^{i} a^{k}-m+p}, & \text { if }, k>m\end{cases}
$$

and hence for every positive integer $u$ the following statements hold:
a) if $k<m$ then

$$
U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k}}\right) \star\left\{b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\}=\left\{b^{i-k+m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\} ;
$$

b) if $k=m$ then

$$
U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k}}\right) \star\left\{b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\} \subseteq U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{p}}\right) ;
$$

c) if $k>m$ then

$$
U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k}}\right) \star\left\{b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\} \subseteq U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{k-m+p}}\right) .
$$

In case 3) we have that

$$
b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k} \star \underline{b^{m} a^{p}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{b^{i-k+m} a^{p}}{b^{i} a^{p}}, & \text { if } k<m \\
\frac{b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k-m+p},}{}, & \text { if } k>m
\end{array},\right.
$$

Then for every positive integer $u$ the following statements hold:
a) if $k<m$ then

$$
\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k}\right\} \star U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{m} a^{p}}\right) \subseteq\left\{b^{i-k+m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\} ;
$$

b) if $k=m$ then

$$
\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k}\right\} \star U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{m} a^{p}}\right) \subseteq U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{i} a^{p}}\right) ;
$$

c) if $k>m$ then

$$
\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k}\right\} \star U_{u}\left(\underline{b^{m} a^{p}}\right)=\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{l} a^{k-m+p}\right\} .
$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.
The following example shows that the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ with the discrete topology may has similar closure in a topological semigroup as the bicyclic semigroup.

Example 3. Let $S$ be the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ with adjoined zero 0 . We determine the topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $S$ in the following way:
(i) All elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ are isolated points in $(S, \tau)$; and
(ii) The family $\mathscr{B}(0)=\left\{U_{n}(0) \mid n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$, where

$$
U_{n}(0)=\{0\} \cup\left\{b^{i}(a b)^{k} a^{j} \in \mathcal{C} \mid i, j \geqslant n\right\}
$$

is a base of the topology $\tau$ at the zero 0 .
Simple verifications show that $(S, \tau)$ is a Hausdorff 0 -dimensional scattered space.
Since all elements of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ are isolated points in $(S, \tau)$ we conclude that it is sufficient to show that the semigroup operation in $(S, \tau)$ is continuous in the following cases:

$$
0 \cdot 0, \quad 0 \cdot b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}, \quad \text { and } \quad b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p} \cdot 0
$$

Since the following assertions hold for each positive integer $i$ :
(i) $U_{i}(0) \cdot U_{i}(0) \subseteq U_{i}(0)$;
(ii) $U_{i+m}(0) \cdot\left\{b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\} \subseteq U_{i}(0)$;
(iii) $\left\{b^{m}(a b)^{n} a^{p}\right\} \cdot U_{i+p}(0) \subseteq U_{i}(0)$,
we conclude that $(S, \tau)$ is a topological semigroup.
Remark 2. We observe that we can show that for the discrete semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ cases of closure of $\mathcal{C}$ in topological semigroups proposed in [9] for the bicyclic semigroup can be realized in the following way: we identify the element $b^{i} a^{j}$ of the bicyclic semigroup with the subset $\mathcal{C}_{i, j}$ of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$.

We don't know the answer to the following question: Does there exist a topological semigroup $S$ which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a dense subsemigroup such that $S \backslash \mathcal{C} \neq \varnothing$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is an ideal of $S$ ?

The following proposition describes the closure of the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ in an arbitrary semitopological semigroup.

Proposition 8. Let $S$ be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a dense subsemigroup. Then there exists a countable family $\mathscr{U}=\left\{U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}} \mid i, j=0,1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$ of open disjunctive subsets of the topological space $S$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}}$ for all nonnegative integers $i$ and $j$.

Proof. When $S=\mathcal{C}$ the statement of the proposition follows from Theorem 1. Hence we can assume that $S \neq \mathcal{C}$.

First, we observe that formulae (5) and (6) imply that for left and right translations $\lambda_{a b}: S \rightarrow S: x \mapsto a b \cdot x$ and $\rho_{a b}: S \rightarrow S: x \mapsto x \cdot a b$ of the semigroup $S$ their sets of fixed points $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right)$ are non-empty and moreover

$$
\bigcup\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \mid i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, j=1,2,3, \ldots\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bigcup\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \mid i=1,2,3, \ldots, j=0,1,2,3, \ldots\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right)
$$

Also, formulae (2) and (3) imply that for every positive integer $n$ the left and right translations $\lambda_{b^{n} a^{n}}: S \rightarrow S: x \mapsto b^{n} a^{n} \cdot x$ and $\rho_{b^{n} a^{n}}: S \rightarrow S: x \mapsto x \cdot b^{n} a^{n}$ of the semigroup $S$ have non-empty sets of fixed points $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right)$, and moreover

$$
\bigcup\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \mid i=0,1,2,3, \ldots, j=n+1, n+2, n+3, \ldots\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right) ;
$$

and

$$
\bigcup\left\{\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \mid i=n+1, n+2, n+3, \ldots, j=0,1,2,3, \ldots\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right)
$$

Then the Hausdorffness of $S$, separate continuity of the semigroup operation on $S$ and Exercise 1.5.C of [10] imply that $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right), \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right), \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right)$ are closed non-empty subset of $S$, for every positive integer $n$, and hence are retracts of $S$.

Now, since $\mathcal{C}_{0,0} \subseteq S \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right)\right)$ we conclude that there exists an open subset $U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}=S \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right)\right)$ which contains the set $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}=\varnothing$ for all nonnegative integers $i, j$ such that $i+j>0$.

Since the semigroup operation in $S$ is separately continuous we conclude that the map $\lambda_{a}: S \rightarrow S: x \mapsto a \cdot x$ is continuous, and hence

$$
U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}}=\lambda_{a}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b a}\right)\right)
$$

is an open subset of $S$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{C}_{1,0} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}}$. We claim that $U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}=\varnothing$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $x \in S$ such that $x \in U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}$. Since $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b a}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b a}\right)$ are closed subsets of $S$ we conclude that there exists $(a b)^{i} \in U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}$. Then we have that

$$
\lambda_{a}\left((a b)^{i}\right)=a \cdot(a b)^{i}=a \notin U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}},
$$

a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies that $U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}=\varnothing$.
Also, the continuity of the right shift $\rho_{b}: S \rightarrow S: x \mapsto x \cdot b$ implies that

$$
U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,1}}=\rho_{b}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b a}\right)\right)
$$

is an open neighbourhood of the set $\mathcal{C}_{0,1}$ in $S$. Similar arguments as in the previous case imply that $U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,1}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}=\varnothing$.

Suppose that there exists $x \in S$ such that $x \in U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,1}}$. If $x \in \mathcal{C}$ then $x=b(a b)^{p}$ for some nonnegative integer $p$. Then we have that

$$
\rho_{b}(x)=x \cdot b=b(a b)^{p} \cdot b=b^{2} \notin U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}} .
$$

If $x \in U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \backslash \mathcal{C}$ then every open neighbourhood $V(x)$ of the point $x$ in the topological space $S$ contains infinitely many points of the form $b(a b)^{p} \in \mathcal{C}$. Then we have that $\rho_{b}(V(x)) \ni b^{2}$. The obtained contradiction implies that $U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,1}}=\varnothing$.

We put

$$
U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,1}}=\left(\rho_{b}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}}\right) \cap \lambda_{a}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,1}}\right)\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b a}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b a}\right)\right) .
$$

Then $U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,1}}$ is an open subset of the topological space $S$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{1,1} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,1}}$. Similar arguments as in the previous cases imply that

$$
U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,1}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,1}}=U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,0}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,1}}=U_{\mathcal{C}_{1,1}} \cap U_{\mathcal{C}_{0,0}}=\varnothing
$$

Next, we use induction for constructing the family $\mathscr{U}$. Suppose that for some positive integer $n \geqslant 1$ we have already constructed the family

$$
\mathscr{U}_{n}=\left\{U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}}^{\prime} \mid i, j=0,1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

of open disjunctive subsets of the topological space $S$ with the property $\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}}$, for all $i, j=0,1, \ldots, n$. We shall construct the family

$$
\mathscr{U}_{n+1}=\left\{U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}} \mid i, j=0,1, \ldots, n, n+1\right\}
$$

in the following way. For all $i, j \leqslant n$ we put $U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}}=U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{U}_{n}$ and

```
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{0, n+1}}=\rho_{b}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{0, n}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{a b}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right) ;\)
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{1, n+1}}=\rho_{b}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{1, n}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b a}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right) ;\)
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n, n+1}}=\rho_{b}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1, n}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right) ;\)
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1,0}}=\lambda_{a}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n, 0}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{a b}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right) ;\)
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1,1}}=\lambda_{a}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n, 1}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b a}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right) ;\)
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1, n}}=\lambda_{a}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n, n}}\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n} a^{n}}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right) ;\)
\(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1, n+1}}=\left(\rho_{b}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n+1, n}}\right) \cap \lambda_{a}^{-1}\left(U_{\mathcal{C}_{n, n+1}}\right)\right) \backslash\left(\operatorname{Fix}\left(\rho_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right) \cup \operatorname{Fix}\left(\lambda_{b^{n+1} a^{n+1}}\right)\right)\).
```

Similar arguments as in previous case imply that $\mathscr{U}_{n+1}$ is a family of open disjunctive subsets of the topological space $S$ with the property $\mathcal{C}_{i, j} \subseteq U_{\mathcal{C}_{i, j}}$, for all $i, j=0,1, \ldots, n+$ 1.

Next, we put $\mathscr{U}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{U}_{n}$. It is easy to see that the family $\mathscr{U}$ is as required. This completes the proof of the proposition.

It well known that if a topological semigroup $S$ is a continuous image of a topological semigroup $T$ such that $T$ is embeddable into a compact topological semigroup, then the semigroup $S$ is not necessarily embeddable into a compact topological semigroup. For example, the bicyclic semigroup $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ does not embed into any compact topological semigroup, but $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ admits only discrete semigroup topology and $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$ is a continuous image of the free semigroup $F_{2}$ of the rank 2 (i.e., generated by two elements) with the discrete topology. Moreover, the semigroup $F_{2}$ with adjoined zero 0 admits a compact Hausdorff semigroup topology $\tau_{c}$ : all elements of $F_{2}$ are isolated points and the family $\mathscr{B}_{0}=\left\{U_{n} \mid n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$, where the set $U_{n}$ consists of zero 0 and all words of length $\geqslant n$. Therefore it is natural to ask the following: Does there exist a Hausdorff compact topological semigroup $S$ which contains the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ ? The following theorem gives a negative answer to this question.

Theorem 3. There does not exist a Hausdorff topological semigroup $S$ with a countably compact square $S \times S$ such that $S$ contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a subsemigroup.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff topological semigroup $S$ with a countably compact square $S \times S$ which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a subsemigroup. Then since the closure of a subsemigroup $\mathcal{C}$ in a topological semigroup $S$ is a subsemigroup of $S$ (see [7, Vol. 1, p. 9]) we conclude that Theorem 3.10.4 from [10] implies that without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a dense subsemigroup of the topological semigroup $S$. We consider the sequence $\left\{\left(a^{n}, b^{n}\right)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \subseteq S \times S$. Since $S \times S$ is countably compact we conclude that this sequence has an accumulation point $(x ; y) \in S \times S$. Since $a^{n} b^{n}=a b$, the continuity of the semigroup operation in $S$ implies that $x y=a b$. By Proposition 8 there exists an open neighbourhood $U(a b)$ of the point $a b$ in $S$ such that $U(a b) \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{0,0}$. Then the continuity of the semigroup operation in $S$ implies that there exist open neighbourhoods $U(x)$ and $U(y)$ of the points $x$ and $y$ in $S$ such that $U(x) \cdot U(y) \subseteq U(a b)$. Next, by the countable compactness of $S \times S$ we conclude that $S$ is countably compact, too, as a continuous image of $S \times S$ under the projection, and this implies that $x$ and $y$ are accumulation points of the sequences $\left\{a^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b^{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $S$, respectively. Then there exist positive integers $i$ and $j$ such that $a^{i} \in U(x), b^{j} \in U(y)$ and $j>i$. Therefore we get that

$$
a^{i} \cdot b^{j}=b^{j-i} \in(U(x) \cdot U(y)) \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq(U(a b)) \cap \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{0,0}
$$

which is a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the theorem.

Theorem 3 implies the following corollaries:
Corollary 4. There does not exist a Hausdorff compact topological semigroup which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a subsemigroup.

Corollary 5. There does not exist a Hausdorff sequentially compact topological semigroup which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a subsemigroup.

We recall that the Stone-Čech compactification of a Tychonoff space $X$ is a compact Hausdorff space $\beta X$ containing $X$ as a dense subspace so that each continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to a compact Hausdorff space $Y$ extends to a continuous map $\bar{f}: \beta X \rightarrow Y$ [10].

Theorem 4. There does not exist a Tychonoff topological semigroup $S$ with the pseudocompact square $S \times S$ which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as subsemigroup.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 from [3], for any topological semigroup $S$ with the pseudocompact square $S \times S$ the semigroup operation $\mu: S \times S \rightarrow S$ extends to a continuous semigroup operation $\beta \mu: \beta S \times \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$, so S is a subsemigroup of the compact topological semigroup $\beta S$. Therefore if $S$ contains the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ then $\beta S$ also contains the semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ which contradicts Corollary 4.

Theorem 5. The discrete semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ does not embed into a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological semigroup $S$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is a dense subsemigroup of $S$ and $S \backslash \mathcal{C}$ is a left (right, two-sided) ideal of $S$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff pseudocompact semitopological semigroup $S$ which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a dense discrete subsemigroup and $I=S \backslash \mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of $S$. Then the set of solutions $\mathscr{S}$ of the equations $x \cdot b a=b a$ in $S$ is a subset of $\mathcal{C}$ and hence by the formula

$$
b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m} \cdot b a= \begin{cases}b^{k+1} a, & \text { if } m=0 \\ b^{k}(a b)^{l+1} a, & \text { if } m=1 \\ b^{k}(a b)^{l} a^{m}, & \text { if } m>1\end{cases}
$$

we get that $\mathscr{S}=\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$. Since $b a$ is an isolated point in $S$ and $I$ is a left ideal of $S$ we conclude that the separate continuity of the semigroup operation of $S$ implies that the space $S$ contains a discrete open-and-closed subspace $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$. This contradicts the pseudocompactness of $S$. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the theorem. In the case of a right or a two-sided ideal the proof is similar.

Theorem 6. The semigroup $\mathcal{C}$ does not embed into a Hausdorff countably compact semitopological semigroup $S$ such that $\mathcal{C}$ is a dense subsemigroup of $S$ and $S \backslash \mathcal{C}$ is a left (right, two-sided) ideal of $S$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff countably compact semitopological semigroup $S$ which contains $\mathcal{C}$ as a dense subsemigroup and $I=S \backslash \mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of $S$. Then the arguments presented in the proof of Theorem 5 imply that $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is a closed subset of $S$, and hence by Theorem 3.10 .4 of [10] is countably compact. Since $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is countable we have that the space $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is compact. Since every compact space is Baire, Lemma 1 implies that $\mathcal{C}_{0,0}$ is a discrete subspace of $S$. Then similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2 imply that $\mathcal{C}$, with the topology induced from $S$, is a discrete semigroup, which contradicts Theorem 5. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the theorem.
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# ПРО ОДНЕ УЗАГАЛЬНЕННЯ БІЦИКЛІЧНОЇ НАПІВГРУПИ: ТОПОЛОГІЧНА ВІРСІЯ 
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Доводимо, що кожна гаусдорфова берівська топологія $\tau$ на напівгрупі $\mathcal{C}=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{2} b=a, a b^{2}=b\right\rangle$ така, що $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)-$ напівтопологічна напівгрупа є дискретною та будуємо недискретну гаусдорфову напівгрупову топологію на $\mathcal{C}$. Досліджено замикання напівгрупи $\mathcal{C}$ у напівтопологічній напівгрупі та доведено, що $\mathcal{C}$ не занурюється в топологічну напівгрупу зі зліченно компактним квадратом.

Ключові слова: топологічна напівгрупа, напівтопологічна напівгрупа, біциклічна напівгрупа, замикання, занурення, берівський простір.
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