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## 1. Introduction

Recall the definition of continuity of a map $f$ between metric spaces $(X, d)$ and ( $Y, \rho$ ):

$$
(\forall x \in X)(\forall \varepsilon>0)(\exists \delta>0)\left(\forall x^{\prime} \in X\right)\left(d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\varepsilon \Rightarrow \rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon\right) .
$$

The question arises whether it is possible to choose $\delta>0$, which continuously depends on the triple $(x, \varepsilon, f) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, where $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all continuous maps from $X$ into $Y$, endowed with the metric of uniform convergence:

$$
\operatorname{dist}(f, g)=\sup \{\min \{1, \rho(f(x), g(x))\} \mid x \in X\} .
$$

In [6] the following result was proved:
Theorem 1.1. Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be metric spaces and suppose that $X$ is locally compact. Then there exists a continuous function $\hat{\delta}: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+}$ $\times C(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$such that for every $(x, \varepsilon, f) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times C(X, Y)$ and for every $x^{\prime} \in X$ the following implication holds:

$$
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f) \Rightarrow \rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon .
$$

The purpose of the present paper is: (a) to prove an analogue of Theorem 1.1 where the continuous choice depends on five variables: three of them are as in Theorem 1.1 and the remaining two are the metrics on the spaces $X$ and $Y$, compatible with the given metrizable topologies on $X$ and $Y$; (b) to avoid the local compactness restriction in Theorem 1.1; and (c) to examine some similar problems for noncontinuous maps, e.g. for the lower or upper semicontinuous real-valued functions.

We shall answer (a), (b) and (c) from a rather formal point of view. Namely, we shall substitute the inequality $\rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$ in the standard definition of continuity by some suitable predicate $P$ in the variables
$x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, \rho$. We shall call such a predicate a continuity-like predicate. A positive answer to (b) was suggested by [1] and [2] and in the present paper we actually exploit an idea of G. de Marco (as explained in [1]).

For metrizable spaces $X$ and $Y$ we denote by $F=F(X, Y)$ the set of all single-valued maps: $X \rightarrow Y$. We endow the set $F$ with the topology of the uniform convergence. If $\rho$ is a metric on a space $Y$, compatible with the topology on $Y$, then the following metric on a space $F$ is compatible with the topology of the uniform convergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}(f, g)=\sup _{x \in X} \min \{1, \rho(f(x), g(x))\}, \quad f, g \in F . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a metrizable space $X$ we denote by $M_{X}$ the set of all metrics which are compatible with the topology on $X$.

Since each metric $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a single-valued function we endow the set $M_{X}$ with the relative topology, induced by the inclusion $M_{X} \subset F(X$ $\times X, \mathbf{R})$. Hence the metric on the space $M_{X}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)=\sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in X} \min \left\{1,\left|d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-d^{\prime}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall represent different types of continuity of maps from $X$ into $Y$ as predicates, defined on the domain $X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$. Let $P\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)$ be a predicate (i.e. a logical function) of the variables

$$
\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right) \in X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} .
$$

Denote by $P^{+}$the subset of $X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ consisting of all 6 -tuples ( $x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho$ ) such that the proposition $P\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)$ is valid.

Definition 1.2. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $P$-continuous if for each $x \in X, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^{+}, d \in M_{X}, \rho \in M_{Y}$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}_{x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho}$ $\subset X$ of the point $x$ such that $\{x\} \times \mathcal{U} \times\{\varepsilon\} \times\{f\} \times\{d\} \times\{\rho\} \subset P^{+}$. Denote by $F_{P}$ the set of all $P$-continuous maps from $X$ into $Y$. A predicate $P$ is said to be continuity-like if the set $F_{P}$ is nonempty.

As special cases of continuity-like predicates one can consider the usual properties of continuity, lower (upper) semicontinuity of real-valued functions, $\alpha$-continuity, locally uniform continuity, etc. (See also Section 3.)

Definition 1.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be metrizable spaces and let $P$ be a continuity-like predicate on $X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$. The multivalued map $\Delta_{P}: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$, defined by the relation

$$
\Delta_{P}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)=\left\{\delta>0 \mid \forall x^{\prime} \in X d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\delta \Rightarrow\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right) \in P^{+}\right\}
$$

is said to be the modulus of a predicate $P$.
Remark. From the definition of $P$-continuous functions it follows immediately that the set $\Delta_{P}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)$ is nonempty for a continuity-like predicate $P$. We also recall that a single-valued map $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is called a selection of a given multivalued map $\Phi: A \rightarrow B$ if $\phi(x) \in \Phi(x)$, for all $x \in A$.

The main result in this note is a criterion for the existence of a continuous selection $\hat{\delta}$ of the modulus $\Delta_{P}$ of a continuity-like predicate $P$, formulated in Theorem 1.4 below. Of course, one can consider $\Delta_{P}$ as a multivalued map from $X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ into $\mathbf{R}^{+}$with nonempty convex values. An attempt of a direct application of $E$. Michael's theory of continuous selections [5] leads to some restrictions for the domain $X$, because of the restriction of lower semicontinuity for $\Delta_{P}$, see [6]. Here we avoid E. Michael's selection theorems altogether.

Denote by diag $X$ the diagonal subset $\{(x \times x) \mid x \in X\}$ in $X \times X$, and let

$$
P_{0}^{+}=P^{+} \cap\left(X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}\right) .
$$

The definition of a $P$-continuous map implies that

$$
(\operatorname{diag} X) \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \subset P_{0}^{+} .
$$

Theorem 1.4 (criterion for the existence of a continuous selection). Let $P$ be a continuous-like predicate and let $\Delta_{P}$ be its modulus. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:
(i) There exists a continuous single-valued selection $\hat{\delta}$ of modulus $\Delta_{P}$; and
(ii) The set $(\operatorname{diag} X) \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ lies in the interior of the set $P_{0}^{+}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \in(\operatorname{diag} X) \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X}$ $\times M_{Y}$ be an arbitrary point and let $\hat{\delta}$ be a continuous selection of $\Delta_{P}$. Denote $\hat{\delta}_{0}=\hat{\delta}\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$. Since $\hat{\delta}$ is continuous, the preimage $G$ $=\hat{\delta}^{-1}\left(\hat{\delta}_{0} / 2,+\infty\right)$ of the interval $\left(\frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{2},+\infty\right)$ is an open set in the space $X$ $\times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$. The set $G$ contains the point ( $x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}$ ), so this point is an interior point of $G$. By the definition of the product topology there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the point $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$ in the space $\mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ and there exists an open ball $B\left(x_{0} ; r\right)$ with radius $r$ such that $B\left(x_{0} ; r\right) \times \mathcal{U} \subset G$. We can assume that $r<\frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{4}$. The set $\mathcal{V}=B\left(x_{0} ; \frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{4}\right)$
$\times B\left(x_{0} ; r\right) \times \mathcal{U}$ is open and contains the point ( $\left.x_{0}, x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}\right)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}$ is a subset of $P_{0}^{+}$. To see this, let $x \in B\left(x_{0} ; \frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{4}\right)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)$ $\in B\left(x_{0} ; r\right) \times \mathcal{U}$ be arbitrary points.

Since $d\left(x, x_{0}\right)<\frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{4}$ and $d\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{4}$ it follows $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{2}$. But $\hat{\delta}\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right.$, $f, d, \rho)>\frac{\hat{\delta}_{0}}{2}$, therefore $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\hat{\delta}\left(x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)$. This implies that ( $x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f$, $d, \rho) \in P_{0}^{+}$. We have proved that the point ( $x_{0}, x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}$ ) is an interior point of $P_{0}^{+}$.

To prove the inverse implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) we need some lemmas on the spaces of metrics and on maps between them. Let $d$ and $\rho$ be metrics on the spaces $X$ and $Y$, respectively. It is known that the metric $\tau_{d \rho}$ which is defined by the equality

$$
\tau_{d \rho}\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $(x, y)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ are points in $X \times Y$, induces the product topology on the space $X \times Y$.

Lemma 2.1 (on transfer of metrics onto the product space). The map $\tau$ : $M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow M_{X \times Y}$ which assigns to the pair $(d, \rho) \in M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ of metrics the metric $\tau_{d \rho} \in M_{X \times Y}$, is continuous.

Proof. Let $d, d^{\prime} \in M_{X}$ and $\rho, \rho^{\prime} \in M_{Y}$. It suffices to prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\tau_{d \rho}, \tau_{d^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dist}\left(d, d^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in X \times Y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\tau_{d \rho}\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)-\tau_{d^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}}\left((x, y),\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\left|d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\rho\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)-d^{\prime}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-\rho^{\prime}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leqq\left|d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)-d^{\prime}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right|+\left|\rho\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)-\rho^{\prime}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking a minimum between 1 and the value of the expression on the left, and between 1 and the value of the expression on the right, respectively, and then taking the supremum over all four variables $x, x^{\prime}, y$ and $y^{\prime}$, we obtain the inequality (3).

In formula (1) we assigned to the metric $\rho$, acting on the space $Y$, the metric $\tilde{\rho}$, acting on the space $F=F(X, Y)$.

Lemma 2.2 (on the transfer of a metric onto the space of functions). The map $\tau: M_{Y} \rightarrow M_{F}$ which assigns to each metric $\rho \in M_{Y}$ the metric $\tilde{\rho} \in M_{F}$, is continuous.

Proof. Let $\rho, \rho^{\prime} \in M_{Y}$. It suffices to prove the inequality

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho}^{\prime}\right) \leqq \operatorname{dist}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let $f, g \in F(X, Y)$ be arbitrary functions. By the definition of the metric in the space $M_{F}$ it suffices to prove that

$$
\min \left\{1,\left|\tilde{\rho}(f, g)-\tilde{\rho}^{\prime}(f, g)\right|\right\} \leqq \operatorname{dist}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Moreover, by the definition of metrics $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\rho}^{\prime}$ in $F$ it suffices to prove that for each $x \in X$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{1,\left|\min \{1, \rho(f(x), g(x))\}-\min \left\{1, \rho^{\prime}(f(x), g(x))\right\}\right|\right\} \leqq \operatorname{dist}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that for arbitrary $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
|\min \{1, a\}-\min \{1, b\}| \leqq \min \{1,|a-b|\} .
$$

Therefore, instead of (4) it suffices to prove the following inequality:

$$
\min \left\{1,\left|\rho(f(x), g(x))-\rho^{\prime}(f(x), g(x))\right|\right\} \leqq \operatorname{dist}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)
$$

But since $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are points in $Y$, the last inequality holds because of Definition 1.3 of the metric dist $\in M_{Y}$.

Let $G=G(X, Y)$ be some subspace of the space of functions $F$ $=F(X, Y)$. Also formula (1), used with $G$ instead of $F$, defines a map $\tau_{G}: M_{Y} \rightarrow M_{G}$.

Lemma 2.3. The map $\tau_{G}: M_{Y} \rightarrow M_{G}$ is continuous.
Proof. Let $r: M_{F} \rightarrow M_{G}$ be the restriction map. If $\tilde{\rho} \in M_{F}$ is a metric, then $\tilde{\rho}$ is a function $F \times F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $r(\tilde{\rho})$ is simply its restriction $\left.\tilde{\rho}\right|_{G \times G}$. Since $r$ is continuous and $\tau_{G}$ factorizes as

$$
\tau_{G}: M_{Y} \xrightarrow{\tau} M_{F} \xrightarrow{r} M_{G}
$$

$\tau_{G}$ is also continuous.
As usual, let $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ denote the subspace of all continuous functions in the space $F(X, Y)$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence. It is well-known (see [4]) that the evaluation map $e: X \times \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y$ which maps every pair $(x, f)$ to the point $f(x) \in Y$, is jointly continuous, when $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is endowed with the topology of uniform convergence.

Let $Z$ be a metrizable space. Since the space $M_{Z}$ is a subspace of the space $\mathcal{C}(Z \times Z, \mathbf{R})$, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.4. The map $e: Z \times Z \times M_{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $e\left(z, z^{\prime}, d\right)=d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ is continuous.

Let $S$ be a fixed subset of a metrizable space $Z$. If $d \in M_{Z}$ is a metric, then for each $z \in Z$ denote as usual

$$
d(z, S)=\inf _{s \in S} d(z, s)
$$

and call the number $d(z, S)$ the distance of the point $z$ from the set $S$. The following lemma is a modification of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. The map $e_{S}: Z \times M_{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ for a fixed subset $S$, defined by $e_{S}(z)=d(z, S)$ is continuous.

It is well-known that the function $f_{S, d}: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $f_{S, d}(z)$ $=d(z, S)$ is continuous [3].

Introduce the map $f_{S}: M_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Z, \mathbf{R})$ by setting $f_{S}(d)=f_{S, d}$. The function $e_{S}: Z \times M_{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ can be factorized as follows:

$$
e_{S}: Z \times M_{Z} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{Z} \times f_{S}} Z \times C(Z, \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{e} \mathbf{R} .
$$

Here $e$ is a jointly continuous map. Therefore only the continuity of $f_{S}$ is to be proved. It suffices to prove that the following inequality holds for each $z \in Z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{1,\left|d(z, S)-d^{\prime}(z, S)\right|\right\} \leqq \operatorname{dist}\left(d, d^{\prime}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (5) can easily be obtained from the fact that for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a point $s \in S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d(z, S)-d^{\prime}(z, S)\right|<\left|d(z, s)-d^{\prime}(z, s)\right|+\varepsilon . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the inequality (6) it is necessary to consider two different possibilities:

$$
d(z, S)>d^{\prime}(z, S) \text { or } d(z, S)<d^{\prime}(z, S)
$$

In the case when $d(z, S)>d^{\prime}(z, S)$ we choose an $s \in S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\prime}(z, s) \geqq d^{\prime}(z, S)-\varepsilon . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7) with $d(z, S) \leqq d(z, s)$ we obtain

$$
d(z, S)-d^{\prime}(z, S) \leqq d(z, s)-d^{\prime}(z, s)+\varepsilon
$$

hence also (6). In the case when $d(z, S)<d^{\prime}(z, S)$, the proof is analogous.

Proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume that each point in the set diag $X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P}$ $\times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ is an interior point in $P_{0}^{+}$and construct a continuous selection

$$
\hat{\delta}: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}
$$

for the modulus $\Delta_{P}$.
Let "dist" be the product metric in the space $X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X}$ $\times M_{Y}$ and let $P^{-}$be the complement of the set $P^{+}$in this space. If $P^{-}=\emptyset$ then $\Delta_{P} \equiv \mathbf{R}^{+}$and we can put $\hat{\delta} \equiv 1$, for example. In the case $P^{-} \neq \emptyset$ for arbitrary point $(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ let

$$
\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)=\operatorname{dist}\left((x, x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho), P^{-}\right)
$$

Since the point ( $x, x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho$ ) is an interior point of $P_{0}^{+}, \hat{\delta}$ is strictly positive.
Let $x^{\prime} \in X$ be a point such that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)$. By definition of the product metric we have that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left((x, x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho),\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, g\right)\right) \leqq d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dist}\left((x, x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho),\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)\right) \leqq d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho) \\
=\operatorname{dist}\left((x, x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho), P^{-}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that $\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right) \in P^{+}$. We have proved that $\hat{\delta}$ is a selection for the modulus $\Delta_{P}$. It remains to prove that the function $\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)$ is a continuous function of all of its variables.

Denote by $Z=X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$. The construction of the function $\hat{\delta}: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$implies that $\hat{\delta}$ can be composed from the following sequence of maps:
(1) $X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow X^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X}^{4} \times M_{Y}^{2}$, given by the diagonal embeddings $X \rightarrow X^{2}, M_{X} \rightarrow M_{X}^{4}, M_{Y} \rightarrow M_{Y}^{2}$ and identities on the remaining factors;
(2) $X^{2} \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P} \times M_{X}^{4} \times M_{Y}^{2} \rightarrow Z \times M_{X^{2}} \times M_{F_{P}} \times M_{M_{X}} \times M_{M_{Y}}$, given by the transfers of metrics $M_{X}^{2} \rightarrow M_{X^{2}}, M_{Y} \rightarrow M_{F_{P}}, M_{X} \rightarrow M_{M_{X}}, M_{Y}$ $\rightarrow M_{M_{Y}}$ and identities on the remaining factors;
(3) $Z \times M_{X^{2}} \times M_{F_{P}} \times M_{M_{X}} \times M_{M_{Y}} \rightarrow Z \times M_{Z}$, given by the transfer of metrics into the product space; and
(4) $Z \times M_{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$, given by the evaluation map $e_{S}$ as in Lemma 2.5 , for $S=P^{-}$.
All these maps are continuous because of Lemmas 2.1-2.5. Theorem 1.4 is thus finally proved.

## 3. Applications

(a) Continuity. The predicate $C$ is defined on the domain of variables $X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ as follows:

$$
C\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)=\left(\rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon\right) .
$$

We assert that $C$ is a continuity-like predicate. Indeed, $F_{C}$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \neq \emptyset$. Hence the predicate $C$ is the predicate of the ordinary continuity.

Proposition 3.1. The predicate $C$ of the ordinary continuity satisfies the criterion for existence of continuous selections of the modulus $\Delta_{C}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.4 it suffices to prove that the set $C_{0}^{+}$is an open subset in the space

$$
Z=X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{C} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} .
$$

Take the function $c: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined as follows:

$$
c\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)=\varepsilon-\rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Obviously, $c^{-1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{+}\right)=C_{0}^{+}$. Hence it remains to prove that $c$ is continuous. It suffices to prove that the function $b: X \times X \times F_{C} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, given by $b:\left(x, x^{\prime}, f, \rho\right) \mapsto \rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, is continuous.

The map $b$ can be expressed as the composition of the following maps:
(1) $X \times X \times F_{C} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow X \times X \times F_{C} \times F_{C} \times M_{Y}$, given by the diagonal embedding $F_{C} \rightarrow F_{C} \times F_{C}$ and the identity maps on the remaining factors;
(2) $X \times X \times F_{C} \times F_{C} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow Y \times Y \times M_{Y}$, given by the jointly continuous maps $X \times F_{C} \rightarrow Y$ and the identity map on $M_{Y}$; and
(3) $Y \times Y \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, given by the jointly continuous map for the metric. All these maps are continuous because of Lemmas 2.1-2.5.
Corollary 3.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be metrizable spaces. Then there exists a continuous function

$$
\hat{\delta}: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}
$$

such that for any $(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$ and for any $x^{\prime} \in X$ the following implication holds:

$$
d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho) \Rightarrow \rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)<\varepsilon .
$$

Corollary 3.2 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1: our continuous choice depends on five variables $x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho$ and the local compactness restriction of the space $X$ has been deleted.
(b) Semicontinuity. Let $Y=\mathbf{R}$. The function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to be upper semicontinuous or lower semicontinuous at the point $x \in X$ respectively, if for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the point $x \in X$ such that for any $x^{\prime} \in \mathcal{U} f\left(x^{\prime}\right)<f(x)+\varepsilon$ or $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)>f(x)-\varepsilon$, respectively.

Therefore, the predicates USC and LSC such that USC-continuous functions are upper semicontinuous functions and LSC-continuous functions are lower semicontinuous functions, are defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{USC}\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)=\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right)<f(x)+\varepsilon\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{LSC}\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)=\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right)>f(x)-\varepsilon\right)
$$

Obviously, USC and LSC are continuty-like predicates.
Now we use the predicate USC to explain an important detail in Definition 1.2 and Theorem 1.4. It might seem that $P$-continuity of maps from $X$ into $Y$ in Definition 1.2 implies the assertion (ii) in Theorem 1.4, hence that each continuity-like predicate $P$ satisfies the criterion for existence of a continuous selection of the modulus $\Delta_{P}$.

However, this conjecture is not valid. As a counterexample consider the following: $X=Y=\mathbf{R}, P=\mathrm{USC}, \varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}, d=\rho=$ the usual metric on $\mathbf{R}$ and $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \leqq 0 \\ 0, & x>0 .\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $f$ is upper semicontinuous, i.e. $f \in F_{\text {USC }}$. Projection of the set $P^{+}$onto the $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ plane is the set of points which satisfies the inequality

$$
f\left(x^{\prime}\right)<f(x)+\frac{1}{2}
$$

i.e. the union of the first three quadrants.

Obviously, the point ( $0,0, \frac{1}{2}, f, d, \rho$ ) is not an interior point in the set $P_{0}^{+}$. Hence the predicate USC does not satisfy the criterion for the existence of a continuous selection of the modulus $\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}$, in the special case when $X=\mathbf{R}$. This fact is valid in general:

Proposition 3.3. Let $X$ be a nondiscrete metric space. Then there are no continuous selections for the moduli $\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}$ an $\Delta_{\mathrm{LSC}}$ of the predicates USC and LSC.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be an accumulation point, let $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2}$ and let $f: X$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be defined as follows:

$$
f(x)=1, \quad f(y)=0 \text { for } y \neq x
$$

The function $f$ is upper semicontinuous. It is obvious that

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}\left(x, \frac{1}{2}, f, d\right)=(0, \infty)
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}\left(y, \frac{1}{2}, f, d\right) \subset(0, d(x, y)) \text { for } y \neq x
$$

Hence, for any selection $\delta$ of the modulus $\Delta_{\text {USC }}$ the following has to hold:

$$
\delta\left(y, \frac{1}{2}, f, d\right)<d(x, y) \text { for } y \neq x
$$

or

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow x} \delta\left(y, \frac{1}{2}, f, d\right)=0
$$

Since $\delta\left(x, \frac{1}{2}, f, d\right)>0$, the selection $\delta$ is discontinuous at the point $\left(x, \frac{1}{2}, f, d\right)$.

Although there is no continuous selection

$$
\delta: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{\mathrm{USC}} \times M_{X} \times M_{\mathbf{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}
$$

of the modulus $\Delta_{\text {USC }}$ with respect to all variables $(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^{+}$ $\times F_{\text {USC }} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}$, there exists a selection $\hat{\delta}$ which is continuous with respect to the variable $\varepsilon$, only.

Proposition 3.4. For each quadruple of the variables $(x, f, d, \rho)$ there exists a continuous function

$$
\hat{\delta}_{x, f, d, \rho}: \mathbf{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}
$$

such that the function

$$
\hat{\delta}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)=\hat{\delta}_{x, f, d, \rho}(\varepsilon)
$$

is a selection of the modulus $\Delta_{\text {USC }}$.
Proof. It is obvious that for each quintuple ( $x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho$ ) of variables the set $\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)$ is an interval with the number 0 as the left endpoint. Also, $\Delta_{\text {USC }}$ is a nondecreasing multivalued map of $\varepsilon$, i.e. if $\varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon$, then

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho) \cong \Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}\left(x, \varepsilon^{\prime}, f, d, \rho\right)
$$

Now the problem is elementary. Namely,

$$
\Delta(\varepsilon)=\Delta_{\mathrm{USC}}(x, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho)
$$

is a multivalued map $\Delta: \mathbf{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$such that for each $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$the set $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ $\subset \mathbf{R}^{+}$is an interval with zero as the left endpoint. Moreover, $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ is a nondecreasing map of the variable $\varepsilon$, i.e.

$$
\forall \varepsilon^{\prime} \quad\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon\right) \Rightarrow\left(\Delta(\varepsilon) \cong \Delta\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

The problem is to construct a continuous single-valued selection $\hat{\delta}: \mathbf{R}^{+}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$for the multivalued map $\Delta$.

First we construct a step function $\delta: \mathbf{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$which is a selection for $\Delta$. For each natural number $n$, construct the set

$$
\Delta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)=\left\{\varepsilon \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \in \Delta(\varepsilon)\right.\right\} .
$$

Since $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ is a nondecreasing function, each set $\Delta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ is either empty set or an interval of the form $\left(\varepsilon_{n}, \infty\right)$ or $\left[\varepsilon_{n}, \infty\right)$. Since $\Delta$ is a strictly positive function, there are sets $\Delta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ which are not empty.

Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ the following holds:

$$
\Delta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \subset \Delta^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)
$$

hence $\varepsilon_{n}>\varepsilon_{n+1}$. Set

$$
\left.\delta\right|_{\left(\varepsilon_{n+1}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]}=\frac{1}{n+1} .
$$

By construction, $\delta$ is a nondecreasing step-function and for each $\varepsilon>0, \delta(\varepsilon)$ $\in \Delta(\varepsilon)$, i.e. $\delta$ is a selection for $\Delta$.

Now, since the nondecreasing step function $\delta$ is constructed, it is easy to construct a continuous "lower" selection $\hat{\delta}$. Fig. 1 illustrates the idea of the construction.

It is clear that $\hat{\delta}$ is a piecewise linear function which attains the value $\frac{1}{n+1}$ at the point $\varepsilon_{n}$.
(c) $\alpha$-continuity. Let $X, Y$ be metric spaces with metrics $d$ and $\rho$, respectively, and let $\alpha: X \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ be a function. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $\alpha$-continuous if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall \varepsilon>0 \quad \forall x \in X \exists \delta>0 \text { such that }\left(\forall x^{\prime} \in X\right)\left(d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)<\delta\right. \\
\left.\Rightarrow \rho\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right), f(x)\right)<\alpha(x)+\varepsilon\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$



Fig. 1
The function $\alpha$ is called the degree of discontinuity. Denote by 0 and $\infty$ functions on $X$, identically equal to 0 and $\infty$, respectively. Then 0 -continuous maps are exactly ordinary continuous maps and $\infty$-continuous maps are all maps. If $\alpha, \beta$ are degrees of discontinuity and if $\alpha(x) \leqq \beta(x)$ for all $x \in X$ then each $\alpha$-continuous map is also a $\beta$-continuous map.

In particular, each ordinarily continuous map is $\alpha$-continuous for an arbitrary degree $\alpha$ of discontinuity. But the converse does not hold. For example, let $x_{0} \in X, y_{0}, y_{1} \in Y$ be points such that $\rho\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Then the map

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}y_{1}, & x \neq x_{0} \\ y_{0}, & x=x_{0}\end{cases}
$$

is $\alpha$-continuous but not ordinarily continuous.
For a given degree $\alpha$ of discontinuity, let us introduce the predicate $P_{\alpha}$ of $\alpha$-continuity, by the following formula:

$$
P_{\alpha}\left(x, x^{\prime}, \varepsilon, f, d, \rho\right)=\left(\rho\left(f\left(x^{\prime}\right), f(x)\right)<\alpha(x)+\varepsilon\right) .
$$

Since each (ordinarily) continuous map is also $\alpha$-continuous, the predicate $P_{\alpha}$ is continuity-like (cf. Definition 1.2).

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.4.
Proposition 3.5. If $(Y, \rho)$ is a connected metric space with infinite diameter and if the degree $\alpha$ of discontinuity is not a lower semicontinuous
function, then the modulus of $\alpha$-continuity

$$
\Delta_{P_{\alpha}}: X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P_{\alpha}} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}
$$

does not admit a continuous selection $\hat{\delta}$.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be not lower semicontinuous at a point $x_{0} \in X$. Then, there exists a positive number $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that for each neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the point $x_{0}$ there is a point $x \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\alpha(x)<\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)-\varepsilon_{0}$. Since $Y$ is connected and has infinite diameter, it is possible to choose points $y_{0}, y_{1} \in Y$ such that $\rho\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)$. Let us introduce the map

$$
f_{0}(x)= \begin{cases}y_{1}, & x \neq x_{0} \\ y_{0}, & x=x_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, the map $f_{0}$ is $\alpha$-continuous. We assert that for arbitrary metrics $d_{0}, \rho_{0}$ the point

$$
\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{diag} X \times X \times \mathbf{R}^{+} \times F_{P_{\alpha}} \times M_{X} \times M_{Y}
$$

is not an interior point in the set $P_{\alpha, 0}^{+}$. The assertion holds since there is a point $x$ in each neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of the point $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\rho\left(f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right), f_{0}(x)\right)=\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)>\alpha(x)+\varepsilon_{0}
$$

and therefore, the point ( $x, x_{0}, \varepsilon_{0}, f_{0}, d_{0}, \rho_{0}$ ) does not belong to the set $P_{\alpha}^{+}$. By Theorem 1.4, the modulus $\Delta_{P_{\alpha}}$ does not admit a continuous selection $\hat{\delta}$.

Conjecture 3.6. If the degree $\alpha$ of discontinuity is a lower semicontinuous function then the modulus $\Delta_{P_{\alpha}}$ admits a continuous selection $\hat{\delta}$.
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