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#### Abstract

In this paper, we show that the class of all properly 3-realizable groups is closed under amalgamated free products (and HNNextensions) over finite groups. We recall that $G$ is said to be properly 3 -realizable if there exists a compact 2 -polyhedron $K$ with $\pi_{1}(K) \cong G$ and whose universal cover $\tilde{K}$ has the proper homotopy type of a 3-manifold (with boundary). (C) 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We are concerned with the behavior of the property of being properly 3 -realizable (for finitely presented groups) with respect to the basic constructions in Combinatorial Group Theory; namely, amalgamated free products and HNN-extensions. Recall that a finitely presented group $G$ is said to be properly 3-realizable if there exists a compact 2-polyhedron $K$ with $\pi_{1}(K) \cong G$ and whose universal cover $\tilde{K}$ has the proper homotopy type of a 3-manifold. It is worth mentioning that the property of being properly 3 -realizable has implications in the theory of cohomology of groups, in the sense that if $G$ is properly 3-realizable then for some (equivalently any) compact 2-polyhedron $K$ with $\pi_{1}(K) \cong G$ we have $H_{c}^{2}(\tilde{K} ; \mathbb{Z})$ free abelian (by manifold duality arguments), and hence so is $H^{2}(G ; \mathbb{Z} G)$ (see [9]). It is a long standing conjecture that $H^{2}(G ; \mathbb{Z} G)$ be free abelian for every finitely presented group $G$. In [1] it was shown that the property of being properly 3-realizable is preserved under amalgamated free products (HNN-extensions) over finite cyclic groups. See also [3,4,7] to learn more about properly 3-realizable groups and related topics. In this paper, we continue along the lines of [1]. Our main result is :

Theorem 1.1. The class of all properly 3-realizable groups is closed under amalgamated free products (and HNNextensions) over finite groups.

[^0]This generalizes to show that the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups with properly 3-realizable vertex groups and finite edge groups is properly 3 -realizable, since such a group can be expressed as a combination of amalgamated free products and HNN-extensions of the vertex groups over the edge groups.

Recall that, given a finitely presented group $G$ and a compact 2-polyhedron $K$ with $\pi_{1}(K) \cong G$ and $\tilde{K}$ as universal cover, the number of ends of $G$ is the number of ends of $\tilde{K}$ which equals $0,1,2$ or $\infty$ [ 6 (see also [8,13]). The 0 -ended groups are the finite groups and the 2 -ended groups are those having an infinite cyclic subgroup of finite index, and they are all known to be properly 3-realizable (see [1]). Note that Stallings' Structure Theorem [12] characterizes those groups $G$ with more than one end as those which split as an amalgamated free product (or an HNN-extension) over a finite group (see also [13,8]). In addition, Dunwoody [5] showed that this process of further splitting $G$ must terminate after finitely many steps.

Corollary 1.2. In order to show whether or not all finitely presented groups are properly 3-realizable it suffices to look among those groups which are 1-ended.

## 2. Main result

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. We will make use of the following result:
Proposition 2.1 ([1, Proposition 3.1]). Let $M$ be a manifold of the same proper homotopy type of a locally compact polyhedron $K$ with $\operatorname{dim}(K)<\operatorname{dim}(M)$. Then, any Freudenthal end $\epsilon \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ can be represented by a sequence of points in $\partial M$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $G_{0}, G_{1}$ be properly 3 -realizable groups and $F$ be a finite group with presentation $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{M}\right\rangle$. Consider monomorphisms $\varphi_{i}: F \longrightarrow G_{i}(i=0,1)$, and denote by $G_{0} *_{F} G_{1}=$ $\left\langle G_{0}, G_{1} ; \varphi_{0}\left(a_{i}\right)=\varphi_{1}\left(a_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq N\right\rangle$ the corresponding amalgamated free product. Let $X_{0}, X_{1}$ be compact 2-polyhedra with $\pi_{1}\left(X_{i}\right) \cong G_{i}$ and such that their universal covers have the proper homotopy type of 3-manifolds $M_{0}, M_{1}$ respectively. Let $L=\vee_{i=1}^{N} S^{1}$ and $f_{i}: L \longrightarrow X_{i}(i=0,1)$ be cellular maps such that $\operatorname{Im} f_{i_{*}} \subseteq \pi_{1}\left(X_{i}\right)$ corresponds to the subgroup $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{i} \subseteq G_{i}$. We take the standard 2-dimensional CW-complex $Y^{\prime}$ associated with the above presentation of $F$, i.e., $Y^{\prime}$ has one 1-cell $e_{i}$ for each generator $a_{i}(1 \leq i \leq N)$, all of them sharing the only vertex in $Y^{\prime}$, and one 2-cell $d_{j}$ for each relation $r_{j}(1 \leq j \leq M)$ attached via a map $S^{1} \longrightarrow \vee_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}$ which 'spells' the relation $r_{j}$. Consider the adjunction spaces $Y=\left(\vee_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}\right) \times I \cup_{\left(\vee_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}\right) \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}} Y^{\prime}$ (homotopy equivalent to $Y^{\prime}$ ) and $Z=Y \cup_{f_{0} \times\{0\} \cup f_{1} \times\{1\}}\left(X_{0} \sqcup X_{1}\right)$. By van Kampen's Theorem, $Z$ is a compact 2-polyhedron with $\pi_{1}(Z) \cong G_{0} *_{F} G_{1}$. Let $\tilde{Z}$ be the universal cover of $Z$ with covering map $p: \tilde{Z} \longrightarrow Z$. Then, $p^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)$ consists of a disjoint union of copies of the universal cover $\tilde{X}_{i}$ of $X_{i}$, since the inclusion $X_{i} \hookrightarrow Z$ induces a monomorphism $G_{i} \hookrightarrow G_{0} *_{F} G_{1}$ between the fundamental groups, $i=0,1$ (see [10]). On the other hand, let $\Gamma$ be a connected component of $p^{-1}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}\right) \subset p^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $\tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ be the connected component of $p^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ containing $\Gamma$. Observe that $\tilde{Y}^{\prime}$ is a copy of the universal cover of $Y^{\prime}$ (which is compact), as the inclusion $Y^{\prime} \hookrightarrow Z$ induces a monomorphism $F \hookrightarrow G_{0} *_{F} G_{1}$. Then, it is easy to see that $p^{-1}(Y)$ consists of a disjoint union of copies of the compact CW-complex $K=(\Gamma \times I) \cup_{\Gamma \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}} \tilde{Y}^{\prime}$. Thus, $\tilde{Z}$ comes together with the following data (see [13]):
(a) the disjoint unions $\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{0, p}$ and $\bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{1, r}$ of copies of $\tilde{X}_{0}$ and $\tilde{X}_{1}$ respectively;
(b) a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{p, q \in \mathbb{N}} K_{p, q}$ of copies of $K$; and
(c) a bijective function $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N},(p, q) \mapsto(r, s)$ (given by the group action of $G_{0} *_{F} G_{1}$ on $\tilde{Z}$ ), so that for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \Gamma \times\{0\} \subset K_{p, q}$ is being glued to $\tilde{X}_{0, p}$ via a lift $\tilde{f}_{p, q}^{0}: \Gamma \times\{0\} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{0, p}$ of the map $f_{0}$, and $\Gamma \times\{1\} \subset K_{p, q}$ is being glued to $\tilde{X}_{1, r}$ via a lift $\tilde{f}_{r, s}^{1}: \Gamma \times\{1\} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{1, r}$ of the map $f_{1}$.
Next, for each copy of $\tilde{X}_{i}, i=0,1$, in $\tilde{Z}$ (written as $\tilde{X}_{0, p}$ or $\tilde{X}_{1, r}$ ), we take one of the maps $\tilde{f}_{\lambda, \mu}^{i}: \Gamma \times\{i\} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{i}$ and observe that this map is nullhomotopic so we can replace it (up to homotopy) with a constant map $g_{\lambda, \mu}^{i}$ : $\Gamma \times\{i\} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{i}$ with $\operatorname{Im} g_{\lambda, \mu}^{i} \subset \operatorname{Im} \tilde{f}_{\lambda, \mu}^{i}$, and we do this equivariantly using the group action of $G_{i}$ on $\tilde{X}_{i}$. Since this action is properly discontinuous, the collection of all these homotopies gives rise to a proper homotopy equivalence between $\tilde{Z}$ and a new 2-dimensional CW-complex $W$ obtained from a collection of copies of $K$ and a collection of
copies of $\tilde{X}_{0}$ and $\tilde{X}_{1}$ by gluing each copy of $\Gamma \times\{i\}$ to the corresponding copy of $\tilde{X}_{i}$ via the bijection $\varphi$ and the new maps $g_{\lambda, \mu}^{i}, i=0,1$.

We will now manipulate the CW-complex $K$ as follows. First, let $K^{\prime}$ be the CW-complex obtained from $K$ by shrinking to a point $v \times\{i\}$ each copy $T \times\{i\}(i \in I)$ of a maximal tree $T \subset \tilde{Y}^{\prime} \subset K$. Next, we take $K^{\prime \prime}$ to be the CW-complex obtained from $K^{\prime}$ by identifying the subcomplexes $\Gamma \times\{i\} / T \times\{i\}, i=0,1$, to a (different) point which we will denote by $[v \times\{0\}]$ and $[v \times\{1\}]$. Note that $K^{\prime \prime}$ has a copy of $\tilde{Y}^{\prime} / T$ as a subcomplex. Since $\tilde{Y}^{\prime} / T$ is compact and simply connected, it follows from [14, Proposition 3.3] that $\tilde{Y}^{\prime} / T$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite bouquet of 2 -spheres $\vee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S^{2}$ (which we may regard as a connected 2-dimensional CW-complex with no 1-cells). Moreover, we may assume that this homotopy equivalence is given by a cellular map $\tilde{Y}^{\prime} / T \longrightarrow \vee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S^{2}$ so that the 1 -skeleton $\Gamma / T$ of $\tilde{Y}^{\prime} / T$ is mapped to the wedge point. Finally, taking into account this homotopy equivalence, it is not difficult to see that $K^{\prime \prime}$ is homotopy equivalent to the CW-complex $\widehat{K}$ obtained from the disjoint union of a finite bouquet $\vee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}} S^{2}\left(\widehat{w h e r e} \operatorname{Card}(\mathcal{B})=2 \operatorname{rank}\left(\pi_{1}(\Gamma)\right)\right.$ and the unit interval $I$ by identifying $\frac{1}{2} \in I$ with the wedge point, so that $I \subset \widehat{K}$ would correspond to the subcomplex $v \times I \subset K^{\prime}$ and $0,1 \in I$ would correspond to $[v \times\{0\}],[v \times\{1\}] \in K^{\prime \prime}$. Notice that $\widehat{K}$ thickens to a 3-manifold $P \searrow \widehat{K}$ containing 3-dimensional 1-handles $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ (with a free end face for each of them) corresponding to the edges $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right],\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \subset I \subset \widehat{K}$ respectively.

According to the above, one can see that the CW-complex $W$ (proper homotopy equivalent to $\tilde{Z}$ ) is in turn proper homotopy equivalent to the quotient space obtained from the following data:
(a) a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{0, p}$ of copies of $\tilde{X}_{0}$ together with a locally finite sequence of points $\left\{x_{q}^{p}\right\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \tilde{X}_{0, p}$, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, corresponding to the images of the constant maps $g_{p, q}^{0}: \Gamma \times\{0\} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{0, p}$ considered above in the construction of $W$;
(b) a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{1, r}$ of copies of $\tilde{X}_{1}$ together with a locally finite sequence of points $\left\{y_{s}^{r}\right\}_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \tilde{X}_{1, r}$, for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, corresponding to the images of the constant maps $g_{r, s}^{1}: \Gamma \times\{1\} \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{1, r}$ from the construction of $W$;
(c) a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{p, q \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{K}_{p, q}$ of copies of $\widehat{K}$; and
(d) the bijective function $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N},(p, q) \mapsto(r, s)$, so that $0 \in I \subset \widehat{K}_{p, q}$ is being identified with $x_{q}^{p} \in \tilde{X}_{0, p}$ and $1 \in I \subset \widehat{K}_{p, q}$ is being identified with $y_{s}^{r} \in \tilde{X}_{1, r}((r, s)=\varphi(p, q))$, for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$.
We now follow an argument similar to the proof of ([1, Lemma 3.2]). Fix proper homotopy equivalences $h: \tilde{X}_{0} \longrightarrow M$ and $h^{\prime}: \tilde{X}_{1} \longrightarrow N$, where we now denote $M_{0}$ by $M$ and $M_{1}$ by $N$. Given the above data, we set $A=\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and consider maps $i: A \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{0, p}, i^{\prime}: A \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{1, r}$ given by $i(p, q)=x_{q}^{p}$ and $i^{\prime}(p, q)=y_{s}^{r}$, where $(r, s)=\varphi(p, q)$. It is easy to check that $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ are proper cofibrations, as the corresponding sequences of points are locally finite. Next, we take exhaustive sequences $\left\{A_{m}^{p}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{B_{n}^{r}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of copies $M_{p}$ and $N_{r}$ of the 3-manifolds $M$ and $N$ respectively by compact submanifolds, and define proper cofibrations $j: A \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} M_{p}, j^{\prime}: A \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} N_{r}$ as follows. Given $(p, q) \in A$ and the proper homotopy equivalences $h_{p}=h: \tilde{X}_{0, p} \longrightarrow M_{p}, h_{r}^{\prime}=h^{\prime}: \tilde{X}_{1, r} \longrightarrow N_{r}($ with $(r, s)=\varphi(p, q))$, we take $m(q), n(s) \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the least natural numbers such that $h_{p} \circ i(p, q) \notin A_{m(q)}^{p} \subset M_{p}$ and $h_{r}^{\prime} \circ i^{\prime}(p, q) \notin B_{n(s)}^{r} \subset N_{r}$. Then, using Proposition 2.1, we define $j(p, q)$ and $j^{\prime}(p, q)$ to be points $j(p, q)=a_{p, q} \in \partial M_{p}-A_{m(q)}^{p}$ and $j^{\prime}(p, q)=b_{r, s} \in \partial N_{r}-B_{n(s)}^{r}$ so that (i) $j, j^{\prime}$ are one-to-one maps (note that $h, h^{\prime}$ need not be one-to-one); and (ii) $a_{p, q}$ and $h_{p} \circ i(p, q)$ (resp. $b_{r, s}$ and $\left.h_{r}^{\prime} \circ i^{\prime}(p, q)\right)$ are in the same path component of $M_{p}-A_{m(q)}^{p}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.N_{r}-B_{n(s)}^{r}\right)$. Notice that $j$ and $j^{\prime}$ are proper maps by construction. Consider now maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G:\left(\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{0, p}\right) \times\{0\} \cup(i(A) \times I) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} M_{p} \\
& H:\left(\bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{1, r}\right) \times\{0\} \cup\left(i^{\prime}(A) \times I\right) \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} N_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left.G\right|_{\tilde{X}_{0, p} \times\{0\}}=h_{p}=h$ and $\left.H\right|_{\tilde{X}_{1, r} \times\{0\}}=h_{r}^{\prime}=h^{\prime}\left(p, r \in \mathbb{N}\right.$ ), and so that $\alpha_{p, q}=\left.G\right|_{i(p, q) \times I}$ (resp. $\left.\beta_{r, s}=\left.H\right|_{i^{\prime}(p, q) \times I}\right)$ is a path in $M_{p}-A_{m(q)}^{p,}$ from $h_{p} \circ i(p, q)$ to $a_{p, q}$ (resp. a path in $N_{r}-B_{n(s)}^{r}$ from $h_{r}^{\prime} \circ i^{\prime}(p, q)$ to $b_{r, s}$ ). Observe that $G$ and $H$ are proper maps, since $h, h^{\prime}, j$ and $j^{\prime}$ are proper. By the Homotopy Extension Property,
the maps $G, H$ extend to proper maps

$$
\widehat{G}:\left(\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{0, p}\right) \times I \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} M_{p}, \quad \widehat{H}:\left(\bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{1, r}\right) \times I \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} N_{r}
$$

which yield commutative diagrams

where $\hat{h}=\left.\widehat{G}\right|_{\left(\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{0, p}\right) \times\{1\}}$ and $\hat{h^{\prime}}=\left.\widehat{H}\right|_{\left(\bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{X}_{1, r}\right) \times\{1\}}$ are proper homotopy equivalences. Moreover, $\hat{h}$ and $\hat{h}^{\prime}$ are proper homotopy equivalences under $A$, by ([2, Proposition 4.16]) (compare with [11], Chapter 6, section 5). Hence, they induce a proper homotopy equivalence between the quotient space described above (proper homotopy equivalent to $W$ ) and the following 3-manifold obtained as the quotient space given by the data:
(a) the disjoint unions $\bigsqcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} M_{p}$ and $\bigsqcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} N_{r}$ of copies of the 3-manifolds $M$ and $N$ respectively;
(b) a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{p, q \in \mathbb{N}} P_{p, q}$ of copies of the compact 3-manifold $P \searrow \widehat{K}$; and
(c) the bijective function $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N},(p, q) \mapsto(r, s)$, so that for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, the free ends of the corresponding 3-dimensional 1-handles $H_{p, q}, H_{p, q}^{\prime} \subset P_{p, q}$ considered above are being identified homeomorphically with small disks $D_{p, q} \subset \partial M_{p}$ and $D_{r, s}^{\prime} \subset \partial N_{r}$ about the points $a_{p, q}$ and $b_{r, s}$ respectively.
In the case of an HNN-extension $G *_{F}=\left\langle G, t ; t^{-1} \psi_{0}\left(a_{i}\right) t=\psi_{1}\left(a_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq N\right\rangle$ (with monomorphisms $\left.\psi_{i}: F \longrightarrow G, i=0,1\right)$, let $X$ be a compact 2-polyhedron with $\pi_{1}(X) \cong G$ and whose universal cover has the proper homotopy type of a 3-manifold, and let $f_{i}: \vee_{i=1}^{N} S^{1} \longrightarrow X(i=0,1)$ be cellular maps so that $\operatorname{Im} f_{i_{*}} \subseteq \pi_{1}(X)$ corresponds to the subgroup $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{i} \subseteq G$. Let $Y$ be the 2-dimensional CW-complex constructed as above and consider the adjunction space $Z=Y \cup_{f_{0} \times\{0\} \cup f_{1} \times\{1\}} X$, with $\pi_{1}(Z) \cong G *_{F}$. Then, the proof goes just as the one given above for the amalgamated free product.
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