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#### Abstract

Numerical characteristics of identities of finite-dimensional nonassociative algebras are studied. The main result is the construction of a four-dimensional simple unitary algebra with fractional PI-exponent strictly less than its dimension.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies identities of nonassociative algebras over a field $F$ of characteristic zero. All necessary information about identities in algebras can be found in the books [1]-[3].

Each algebra $A$ can be associated with an integer sequence $\left\{c_{n}(A)\right\}, n=1,2, \ldots$, called the sequence of codimensions (all necessary definitions are given below). If this sequence grows exponentially, as, e.g., in the finite-dimensional case, there arises the question of the existence of the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}(A)}$. It has been proved that such a limit exists and is integer for all associative PI-algebras [4], [5], for finite-dimensional Lie algebras [6], [7], for finite-dimensional Jordan and alternative algebras [8], [9], and for a whole series of other algebras. In the infinite-dimensional case, this limit, called the PI-exponent, may take both fractional and integer values even in the class of Lie algebras (see [10]-[12]); in the class of Lie superalgebras, finite-dimensional algebras with fractional exponent have recently been found [13].

In the general case, the PI-exponent of a finite-dimensional algebra does not exceed the dimension of this algebra and can be arbitrarily close to 1 [14], [15]. However, for two-dimensional algebras, the PI-exponent either takes one of the values 2 and 1 (in this case, $c_{n}(A) \leq n+1$ ) or vanishes (in this case, $c_{n}(A)=0$ for all sufficiently large $\left.n\right)$ [16]. For three-dimensional algebras, the question of whether the exponent is integer remains open; however, it is known that either $c_{n}(A) \geq 2^{n}$ asymptotically, or this sequence is polynomially bounded. However, for a unitary three-dimensional algebra, the PI-exponent always exists and is integer [17]. Until recently, the least known dimension of an algebra with fractional exponent was 5 [18], and that of a Lie superalgebra was 7 [13]. In the abstract [19], the existence of a four-dimensional commutative algebra with fractional exponent was announced.

The question of the existence and the value of the PI-exponent of a simple finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field occupies a special place. In most of the studied classes of algebras (associative [20], Lie [6], Jordan, alternative, and some other classes [8], [9]), the PI-exponent of an algebra is equal to the dimension of this algebra. The first examples of simple algebras for which the PI-exponent is strictly less than dimension were given in [13]. The least dimension of an algebra among those presented in [13] is 17 . But the question of whether their PI-exponents are integer remains open.

In this paper, we construct an example of a four-dimensional simple unitary algebra with fractional PI-exponent (Theorem 1).
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## 2. BASIC NOTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Throughout the paper, $F$ denotes a field of characteristic zero, and all algebras are considered over $F$. We follow the convention of omitting parentheses from left-normed products, i.e., write $a b c$ instead of (ab)c.

By $F\{X\}$ we denote a free nonassociative algebra over $F$ with a countable set $X$ of generators. Recall that, given an algebra $A$ over $F$, a nonassociative polynomial $f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ from $F\{X\}$ is called an identity of $A$ if

$$
f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { for any } \quad a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A .
$$

All identities of $A$ form an ideal in $F\{X\}$, which we denote by $\operatorname{Id}(A)$.
Let $P_{n}=P_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ denote the subspace of $F\{X\}$ consisting of all multilinear polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then $P_{n} \cap \operatorname{Id}(A)$ is the subspace of all multilinear identities of the algebra $A$ in $n$ variables.

Recall that the $n$th codimension of identities of an algebra $A$ (or simply the nth codimension of $A$ ) is defined as

$$
c_{n}(A)=\operatorname{dim} \frac{P_{n}}{P_{n} \cap \operatorname{Id}(A)} .
$$

In a variety of cases, the sequence $\left\{c_{n}(A)\right\}$ grows no faster than the exponential of $n$, i.e.,

$$
c_{n}(A)<a^{n}
$$

for some $a>1$. In these cases, the sequence of codimensions satisfies the conditions

$$
0 \leq \sqrt[n]{c_{n}(A)} \leq a
$$

which suggests the following definition.
Definition. The lower PI-exponent of an algebra $A$ is the lower limit

$$
\underline{\exp }(A)=\underline{\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}(A)}
$$

The upper limit

$$
\overline{\exp }(A)=\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_{n}(A)}
$$

is called the upper PI-exponent of $A$. If $\exp (A)$ and $\overline{\exp }(A)$ are equal, i.e., the sequence $\left\{\sqrt[n]{c_{n}(A)}\right\}$ has an ordinary limit, then this limit is called the PI-exponent of the algebra $A$ and denoted by $\exp (A)$; thus,

$$
\exp (A)=\overline{\exp }(A)=\underline{\exp }(A) .
$$

On the space $P_{n}$, the symmetric group $S_{n}$ naturally acts as

$$
\sigma f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right)
$$

when endowed with this action, $P_{n}$ becomes a module over the group ring $F S_{n}$, or, briefly, an $S_{n}$ module. The intersection $P_{n} \cap \operatorname{Id}(A)$ is an $S_{n}$-submodule in $P_{n}$. We recall that the degree of the character $\chi(M)$ of an $S_{n}$-module $M$ is the dimension of $M$, i.e., $\operatorname{deg} \chi(M)=\operatorname{dim} M$. Moreover, the irreducible characters of such a module, that is, the characters of its irreducible representations, are uniquely determined by partitions $\lambda \vdash n$ of the number $n$, where

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right), \quad \lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{k}>0, \quad \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}=n
$$

(all necessary information on the representation theory of symmetric groups can be found in [21] and on its application in the theory of identities, in [1], [2]).

Any $S_{n}$-module $M$ decomposes into a sum of irreducible modules; in terms of characters, this can be written as

$$
\chi(M)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda},
$$

where $\chi_{\lambda}$ is the character of the irreducible module corresponding to $\lambda$ and $m_{\lambda}$ is its multiplicity in the decomposition of $M$. This implies

$$
\operatorname{deg} \chi(M)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} .
$$

In particular, for the $S_{n}$-module $P_{n} / P_{n} \cap \operatorname{Id}(A)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{n}(A) & =\chi\left(\frac{P_{n}}{P_{n} \cap \operatorname{Id}(A)}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda},  \tag{1}\\
c_{n}(A) & =\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Decomposition (1) is called the $n$th cocharacter of $A$, and relation (2) makes it possible to estimate $c_{n}(A)$. We say that the character $\chi(M)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}$ of the module $M$ lies in a strip of width $d$ if all partitions $\lambda$ with nonzero multiplicities $m_{\lambda}$ include at most $d$ parts, i.e., $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)$ and $k \leq d$. It is known that, for such partitions, we have $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \leq d^{n}$.

It is also known (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 4.6.2] and [15]) that, if $A$ is a finite-dimensional $F$-algebra with $\operatorname{dim} A=d$, then its cocharacter $\chi_{n}(A)$ lies in a strip of width $d$ and, moreover, the sum

$$
l_{n}(A)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda}
$$

(see (1)), which is called the $n$th colength, in bounded by a polynomial function in $n$. To be more precise, according to Theorem 1 from [15], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{n}(A) \leq d(n+1)^{d^{2}+d} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means, in particular, that, in the case of exponentially growing codimensions, a key role is played by the maximal dimensions $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}$. They can be conveniently estimated by using the functions $\Phi(\lambda)$ defined below, which depend on partitions $\lambda \vdash n$. Let

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \vdash n, \quad \text { where } \quad k \leq d, \quad \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}=n .
$$

We set

$$
\Phi(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1} / n\right)^{\lambda_{1} / n} \ldots\left(\lambda_{d} / n\right)^{\lambda_{d} / n}} .
$$

(If $k$ is strictly less than $d$, then the corresponding multipliers $0^{0}$ are equal to 1 ).
In [13], the following relationship between a value of the function $\Phi(\lambda)$ and the degree $\operatorname{deg} \chi(\lambda)$ of the corresponding character was mentioned (see Lemma 1). Given $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \vdash n$, where $n \geq 100$ and $k \leq d$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Phi(\lambda)^{n}}{n^{d^{2}+d}} \leq \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \leq n \Phi(\lambda)^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $A$ be any finite-dimensional algebra over $F$. Consider its $n$th cocharacter (1) and let $\Phi_{\max }^{(n)}$ denote the maximal value of $\Phi(\lambda)$ over all those $\lambda \vdash n$ for which $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in (1). Combining relations (2), (3), and (4), we obtain the following assertion.

Lemma 1. If $\operatorname{dim} A=d$, then

$$
\frac{1}{n^{d^{2}+d}}\left(\Phi_{\max }^{(n)}\right)^{n} \leq c_{n}(A) \leq(n+1)^{d^{2}+d+1}\left(\Phi_{\max }^{(n)}\right)^{n}
$$

for all $n \geq 100 d$.

We also need the following property of the function $\Phi(\lambda)$. If $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{q}\right)$ are two partitions of the same integer $n$, then the Young diagram $D_{\lambda}$ corresponding to the partition $\lambda$ is the table whose first row contains $\lambda_{1}$ boxes, second row contains $\lambda_{2}$ boxes, and so on. In a similar way, the diagram $D_{\mu}$ corresponding to the partition $\mu \vdash n$ is constructed. We say $D_{\mu}$ is obtained from $D_{\lambda}$ by pushing down one box if there exist $i$ and $j, 1 \leq i<j \leq q$, such that $\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i}-1, \mu_{j}=\lambda_{j}+1$, and $\mu_{p}=\lambda_{p}$ for all other $1 \leq p \leq q$. Given $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}\right) \vdash n$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{q}, 1\right) \vdash n$, we say that $D_{\mu}$ is obtained from $D_{\lambda}$ by pushing down one box if one of the rows in $D_{\mu}$ is shorter by one box than the corresponding row in $D_{\lambda}$ and all of the remaining rows (except the last one) are of the same length.

Lemma 2. If $D_{\mu}$ is obtained from $D_{\lambda}$ by pushing down one box, then $\Phi(\mu) \geq \Phi(\lambda)$.
Proof. Suppose that $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ are two partitions of $n$ and $q^{\prime}=q$ or $q+1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\lambda)^{n}=\frac{n^{n}}{\lambda_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{q}^{\lambda_{q}}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q=q^{\prime}$, then the denominator in the analogous expression for $\Phi(\mu)^{n}$ is obtained from the denominator in (5) by replacing one product of the form $a^{a} b^{b}, a \geq b+2$, by $(a-1)^{a-1}(b+1)^{b+1}$. In this case, we have $\Phi(\mu)^{n} \geq \Phi(\lambda)^{n}$, because the function $f(x)=x^{x}(c-x)^{c-x}$ decreases in the interval $(c / 2 ; 0)$. If $q^{\prime}=q+1$, then we replace the factor $a^{a}$ in the denominator in (5) by $(a-1)^{a-1} \cdot 1^{1}<a^{a}$ and again obtain $\Phi(\mu)^{n}>\Phi(\lambda)^{n}$. This inequality, together with $\Phi(\lambda), \Phi(\mu)>0$, implies the assertion of the lemma.

## 3. A FOUR-DIMENSIONAL ALGEBRA AND ITS COCHARACTER

Consider a four-dimensional vector space $W$ with basis $\left\{e_{-1}, e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Let us define multiplication on this space as follows:
(a) $e_{i} e_{0}=e_{0} e_{i}=e_{i}$ for all $-1 \leq i \leq 2$;
(b) $e_{i} e_{j}=0$ if $i, j \neq 0$ and either one of the inequalities $i>j$ and $i+j<-1$ or the inequality $i+j>2$ holds;
(c) $e_{i} e_{j}=e_{i+j}$ otherwise.

It is easy to see that $W$ is a simple algebra with unit $e_{0}$ and that the decomposition

$$
W=W_{-1} \oplus W_{0} \oplus W_{1} \oplus W_{2}
$$

where $W_{i}=\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle$ for $i=-1, \ldots, 2$, is a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $W$.
Consider the cocharacter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}(W)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the algebra $W$. Since $\operatorname{dim} W=4$, this cocharacter lies in a strip of width 4 , i.e., $\lambda_{5}=0$ for any partition $\lambda \vdash n$, provided that $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in (6).

Recall that a Young tableau $T_{\lambda}$ is a diagram $D_{\lambda}$ whose boxes are filled in with the numbers $1, \ldots, n$. Any irreducible module over the group algebra $R=F S_{n}$ is isomorphic to the minimal left ideal $R e_{T_{\lambda}}$, where $e_{T_{\lambda}}$ is the element $R$ defined as follows.

We refer to the subgroup of all permutations in $S_{n}$ which leave the symbols $1, \ldots, n$ in their rows as the row stabilizer and denote this subgroup by $R_{T_{\lambda}}$; to the subgroup which leaves these symbols in their columns we refer as the column stabilizer and denote it by $C_{T_{\lambda}}$. We set

$$
R\left(T_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in R_{T_{\lambda}}} \sigma, \quad C\left(T_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{\tau \in C_{T_{\lambda}}}(\operatorname{sgn} \tau) \tau, \quad e_{T_{\lambda}}=R\left(T_{\lambda}\right) C\left(T_{\lambda}\right) .
$$

The element $e_{T_{\lambda}}$ is a quasi-idempotent of the group ring $F S_{n}$, i.e., $e_{T_{\lambda}}^{2}=\alpha e_{T_{\lambda}}, \alpha \neq 0$, and $F S_{n} e_{T_{\lambda}}$ is an irreducible $S_{n}$-module with character $\chi_{\lambda}$. Moreover, if $M$ is an $F S_{n}$-module and

$$
\chi(M)=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} m_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda},
$$

then $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ if and only if $e_{T_{\lambda}} M \neq 0$.
Now, let $f$ be a multilinear polynomial of degree $n$ generating an irreducible $F S_{n}$-submodule $M$ in $P_{n}$ with character $\chi_{\lambda}$. We can assume that $e_{T_{\lambda}} f \neq 0$ for some Young tableau $T_{\lambda}$. Then the polynomial $g=C\left(T_{\lambda}\right) f$ generates $M$ as an $R$-module as well. The variables of $g$ are divided into $m$ disjoint subsets as

$$
\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}=X_{1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{m},
$$

where $m$ is the number of columns in the Young diagram $D_{\lambda}$ and each $X_{j}$ is the set of variables whose numbers are written in the $j$ th column. Moreover, $g$ is alternating with respect to each of the sets $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. In other words, any irreducible $F S_{n}$-submodule of $P_{n}$ with character $\chi_{\lambda}$ is generated by a multilinear polynomial depending on $m$ disjoint skew-symmetric sets of variables of cardinalities $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}^{\prime}$, where $\lambda^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ is the partition conjugate to $\lambda$ (i.e., $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}^{\prime}$ are the heights of the columns of $D_{\lambda}$ ).

To obtain stronger (than $\lambda_{5}=0$ ) constraints on the cocharacter of $W$, we introduce yet another numerical characteristic of partitions. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right) \vdash n$. We write -1 in all boxes of the first row, 0 in all boxes of the second, etc., so that all boxes of the $k$ th row are filled in with $k-2$. We define the weight of the diagram $D_{\lambda}$ as the sum of all numbers in $D_{\lambda}$. We also refer to this number as the weight of the partition $\lambda$ and denote it by $w t(\lambda)$. In other words,

$$
w t(\lambda)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}(i-2) \lambda_{i} .
$$

Lemma 3. If $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in the decomposition (6), then

- $\lambda_{5}=0$;
- $w t(\lambda) \leq 2$, i.e., $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}-2 \lambda_{4} \geq-2$.

Proof. We have already proved the equality $\lambda_{5}=0$. Let us prove the second assertion. Suppose that $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$, i.e., there exists an irreducible $F S_{n}$-submodule in $P_{n}$ with character $\chi_{\lambda}$ which is not contained in the ideal of identities of $W$. As mentioned above, this means that there exists a multilinear polynomial $g=g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ which is alternating with respect to the variables from each of the columns $T_{\lambda}$ and does not vanish identically on $W$. Hence there exists a permutation $\varphi: X \rightarrow\left\{e_{-1}, e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ for which $\varphi(g) \neq 0$. By virtue of the antisymmetry of $g$, instead of variables from one column, we must substitute different basis elements of the algebra $W$. In particular, if $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{4}}$ are variables from the same column of height 4 , then the total weight of the elements $\varphi\left(x_{i_{1}}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(x_{i_{4}}\right)$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $W$ is equal to $-1+0+1+2=2$. Therefore, the least possible weight of $\varphi(g)$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading is

$$
w t(\lambda)=-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+2 \lambda_{4} .
$$

Since all components of the algebra $W_{k}$ with $k \geq 3$ are zero, it follows that $-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}+2 \lambda_{4} \leq 2$, which proves the lemma.

In what follows, we need a sufficient condition for the multiplicity $m_{\lambda}$ to not vanish. Consider a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)$. Let us rewrite it in the form

$$
\lambda=(k+l+m+t, k+l+m, k+l, k) .
$$

The diagram of this partition has the form


Here $n=4 k+3 l+2 m+t$, the weight of the partition $\lambda$ is equal to $-m-t+2 k$, and the necessary condition for $m_{\lambda}$ to be nonzero will be

$$
m+t \geq 2 k-2
$$

Lemma 4. If $m+t \geq 2 k$ and $m \leq 2 k$, then $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in the decomposition (6).
Proof. To prove the lemma, it suffices to construct a multilinear polynomial $f$ of degree $n$ depending on

- $k$ alternating sets of variables $\left\{x_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{4}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$;
- $l$ alternating sets $\left\{y_{1}^{(i)}, y_{2}^{(i)}, y_{3}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq l$;
- $m$ alternating sets $\left\{z_{1}^{(i)}, z_{2}^{(i)}\right\}, 1 \leq i \leq m$;
- $t$ variables $u_{1}^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq t$,
and such that the symmetrization of this polynomial with respect to the sets

$$
\left\{x_{1}^{i_{1}}, y_{1}^{i_{2}}, z_{1}^{i_{3}}, u_{1}^{i_{4}}\right\}, \quad\left\{x_{2}^{i_{1}}, y_{2}^{i_{2}}, z_{2}^{i_{3}}\right\}, \quad\left\{x_{3}^{i_{1}}, y_{3}^{i_{2}}\right\}, \quad\left\{x_{1}^{i_{4}}\right\},
$$

where $1 \leq i_{1} \leq k, 1 \leq i_{2} \leq l, 1 \leq i_{3} \leq m$, and $1 \leq i_{4} \leq t$, yields a polynomial not vanishing identically on $W$.

It is convenient to label variables in alternating sets by the same mark over the symbols of these variables. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2} \bar{x}_{3} & =\sum_{\sigma \in S_{3}}(\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} x_{\sigma(3)}, \\
\bar{a}_{1} \widetilde{b}_{1} \bar{a}_{2} \widetilde{b}_{2} & =a_{1} b_{1} a_{2} b_{2}-a_{1} b_{2} a_{2} b_{1}-a_{2} b_{1} a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{2} a_{1} b_{1}, \\
(\bar{x} \bar{x})(\bar{y} \overline{\bar{y}}) & =(x x)(y y)-(y x)(x y)-(x y)(y x)+(y y)(x x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use this convention not only for variables but also for elements of the algebra $W$. For instance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{e}_{-1}\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right) & =e_{-1}\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)-e_{1}\left(\bar{e}_{-1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)-e_{2}\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{-1}\right)=0-e_{1}\left(e_{-1} e_{2}\right)+e_{2}\left(e_{-1} e_{1}\right) \\
& =-e_{1}^{2}+e_{2} e_{0}=-e_{2}+e_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we construct an expression alternating with respect to $e_{-1}, e_{0}, e_{1}$, and $e_{2}$ and contains two occurences of the basis element $e_{-1}$. We set

$$
f_{1}=e_{-1}\left[\bar{e}_{-1}\left(\left(\bar{e}_{0} e_{-1}\right)\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

This element is the polynomial

$$
x_{-1}\left[\bar{x}_{-1}\left(\left(\bar{x}_{0} x_{-1}\right)\left(\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2}\right)\right)\right]
$$

in the variables $x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}$, and $x_{2}$, which is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1} & =e_{-1}\left[\bar{e}_{-1}\left(\left(e_{0} e_{-1}\right)\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right]=e_{-1}\left[\bar{e}_{-1}\left(e_{-1}\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =e_{-1}\left[e_{-1}\left(e_{-1}\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)-e_{1}\left(e_{-1}\left(\bar{e}_{-1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)-e_{2}\left(e_{-1}\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{-1}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =e_{-1}\left[0-e_{1}\left(e_{-1}\left(e_{-1} e_{2}\right)\right)+e_{2}\left(e_{-1}\left(e_{-1} e_{1}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =e_{-1}\left[-e_{1}\left(e_{-1} e_{1}\right)+e_{2}\left(e_{-1} e_{0}\right)\right] \\
& =e_{-1}\left[-e_{1} e_{0}+e_{2} e_{-1}=e_{-1}\left[-e_{1}+0\right]=-e_{0} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
g\left(x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, y, z\right)=y\left[\bar{x}_{-1}\left(\left(\bar{x}_{0} z\right)\left(\bar{x}_{1} \bar{x}_{2}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

Then the left-normed degree $f_{1}^{k}$ is the value of a symmetrization of the polynomial

$$
g\left(x_{-1}^{(1)}, x_{0}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(1)}, y_{1}^{(1)}, z_{1}^{(1)}\right) \cdots g\left(x_{-1}^{(k)}, x_{0}^{(k)}, x_{1}^{(k)}, x_{2}^{(k)}, y_{1}^{(k)}, z_{1}^{(k)}\right)
$$

which is alternating with respect to $\left\{x_{-1}^{(i)}, x_{0}^{(i)}, x_{1}^{(i)}, x_{2}^{(i)},\right\}, i=1, \ldots, k$. The symmetrization is over the four sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{x_{-1}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{-1}^{(k)}, y_{1}^{(1)}, z_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{1}^{(k)}, z_{1}^{(k)}\right\}, \quad\left\{x_{0}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{0}^{(k)}\right\}, \\
\left\{x_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{1}^{(k)}\right\}, \quad\left\{x_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{2}^{(k)}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, the 3-alternated polynomial takes the value

$$
f_{2}=\bar{e}_{-1} \bar{e}_{0} \bar{e}_{1}=\left(\bar{e}_{-1} \bar{e}_{0}\right) e_{1}+\left(\bar{e}_{0} \bar{e}_{1}\right) e_{-1}+\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{-1}\right) e_{0}=0+0-e_{-1} e_{1} e_{0}=-e_{0}
$$

We also set

$$
f_{3}=e_{-1}\left[\bar{e}_{-1}\left(\left(\bar{e}_{0} \widetilde{e}_{-1}\right)\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right] \widetilde{e}_{0}
$$

This expression contains the alternating set $e_{-1}, e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}$ labeled by an overbar and the alternating set $e_{-1}, e_{0}$ labeled by a tilde. Since $W_{+} e_{-1}=0$, where $W_{+}=W_{1} \oplus W_{2}$, it follows that

$$
f_{3}=f_{1} e_{0}=f_{1}=-e_{0}
$$

Finally, let

$$
f_{4}=\overline{\bar{e}}_{-1}\left[\left[\bar{e}_{-1}\left(\left(\bar{e}_{0} \widetilde{e}_{-1}\right)\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right] \widetilde{e}_{0} \overline{\bar{e}}_{0}\right]
$$

Here we have one alternating set $\left\{e_{-1}, e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ and two alternating sets $\left\{e_{-1}, e_{0}\right\}$. Let

$$
a=\left[\bar{e}_{-1}\left(\left(\bar{e}_{0} \widetilde{e}_{-1}\right)\left(\bar{e}_{1} \bar{e}_{2}\right)\right)\right] \widetilde{e}_{0}
$$

Then the degree of $a$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading is 1 ; therefore, it follows from the condition $W_{+} e_{-1}=0$ and the calculations performed above for $f_{1}$ that $a=-e_{1}$ and

$$
f_{4}=e_{-1}\left(a e_{0}\right)-e_{0}\left(a e_{-1}\right)=e_{-1} a=-e_{0}
$$

First, consider the special case where $m=2 k, t=0$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f(\underbrace{e_{-1}, \ldots, e_{-1}}_{\alpha}, \underbrace{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{0}}_{\beta}, \underbrace{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}}_{\gamma}, \underbrace{e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2}}_{\delta})=\left(f_{2}^{l}\right)\left(f_{4}\right)^{k} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the expression for $f$, the element $e_{-1}$ occurs in $k$ alternating sets of order 4 , in $2 k=m$ alternating sets of order 2 , and in $l$ alternating sets of order 3 . There are no occurrences of $e_{-1}$ of nonalterable type in $f$. The total degree $\alpha$ of $f$ in $e_{-1}$ is equal to $k+l+m$. The element $e_{0}$ occurs $k$ times in 4 -alternated sets, $m=2 k$ times in 2 -alternated sets, and $l$ times in 3 -alternated sets; thus, the total number of occurrences of $e_{0}$ is $k+l+m$. Finally, $e_{1}$ occurs in $k$ alternating sets of order 4 and in $l$ alternating sets of order 3 , and $e_{2}$ occurs in $k$ alternating sets of order 4 . This means that $f$ is a value of the polynomial generating the irreducible module corresponding to the partition

$$
\lambda=(k+l+m+t, k+l+m, k+l, k), \quad \text { where } \quad m=2 k, \quad t=0
$$

Therefore, $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ for this partition, because $f=\left(-e_{0}\right)^{k+l}= \pm e_{0}$.
Consider the more general case in which $m=2 k$ and $t>0$. We set $n_{0}=n-t$ and construct the polynomial $f$ specified in (7) for the partition

$$
\lambda_{0}=(k+l+m, k+l+m, k+l, k) \vdash n_{0} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =f(\underbrace{e_{-1}+e_{0}, \ldots, e_{-1}+e_{0}}_{\alpha}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{2}) \underbrace{\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right) \cdots\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right)}_{t} \\
& =f\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}, \ldots, e_{-1}+e_{0}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{2}\right)+f^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f^{\prime}=t f\left(e_{-1}, \ldots, e_{-1}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{2}\right) e_{-1} \in W_{-1}$, because $\left(W_{-1} \oplus W_{1} \oplus W_{2}\right) e_{-1}=0$. Moreover,

$$
f\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}, \ldots, e_{-1}+e_{0}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{2}\right)=f\left(e_{-1}, \ldots, e_{-1}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{2}\right)+f^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $f^{\prime \prime} \in W_{1} \oplus W_{2}$. It follows that $g= \pm e_{0}+f^{\prime}+f^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$, and $g$ is a value of the polynomial generating the irreducible $F S_{n}$-module with character $\chi_{\lambda}$. Therefore, $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in (6).

Now, suppose that $m=2 q<2 k$ and $t \neq 0$. Consider the product

$$
\left(f_{1}\right)^{k-q}\left(f_{2}\right)^{l}\left(f_{4}\right)^{q}=f_{0}=f_{0}(\underbrace{e_{-1}, \ldots, e_{-1}}_{\alpha}, \underbrace{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{0}}_{\beta}, \underbrace{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}}_{\gamma}, \underbrace{e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2}}_{\delta}) .
$$

As above, we have $\delta=k$, $e_{2}$ occurs in the alternating sets of order $4, \gamma=k+l, \beta=k+l+m$, and $e_{1}$ and $e_{0}$ occur in alternating sets; moreover, $e_{1}$ occurs $k$ times in sets of order 4 and $l$ times in sets of order 3 . The numbers of occurrences of $e_{0}$ in sets of orders 4,3 , and 2 are $k$, $l$, and $m$, respectively. The element $e_{-1}$ occurs in alternating sets of orders 4,3 , and 2 as well, and the numbers of occurrences are $k-q+q=k$, $l$, and $2 q=m$, respectively. In addition, this element $e_{-1}$ also occurs $2(k-q)$ times outside alternating sets at the expense of the factor $f_{1}^{k-q}$. In particular,

$$
\alpha=k+l+m+t_{0},
$$

where $t_{0}=2(k-q)$, i.e., $m+t_{0}=2 k$.
Consider the expressions

$$
f_{0}^{\prime}=f_{0}(\underbrace{e_{-1}+e_{0}, \ldots, e_{-1}+e_{0}}_{\alpha}, \underbrace{e_{0}, \ldots, e_{0}}_{\beta=k+l+m}, \underbrace{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}}_{\gamma=k+l}, \underbrace{e_{2}, \ldots, e_{2}}_{\delta=k}),
$$

and

$$
f=f_{0}^{\prime} \underbrace{\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right) \ldots\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right)}_{t-t_{0}} .
$$

As in the preceding case, we have

$$
f=f_{0}+f^{\prime}+f^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $f_{0}= \pm e_{0}, f^{\prime} \in W_{-1}$, and $f^{\prime \prime} \in W_{+}$, i.e., $f \neq 0$. The element $f$ is a nonzero value of the polynomial corresponding to the partition

$$
\lambda=(k+l+m+t, k+l+m, k+l, k) \quad \text { with } \quad m=2 q<2 k .
$$

Therefore, for such partitions $\lambda$, the multiplicity in (6) does not vanish either.
Finally, for odd $m=2 q+1<2 k$, we take the product

$$
f_{0}=f_{1}^{k-q-1} f_{2}^{l} f_{3} f_{4}^{q},
$$

replace $e_{-1}$ by $e_{-1}+e_{0}$ in this product, and set

$$
f=f_{0}\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}, \ldots, e_{-1}+e_{0}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{2}, \ldots e_{2}\right) \underbrace{\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right) \ldots\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right)}_{t-t_{0}},
$$

where $t_{0}=2(k-q)-1$. In the expression for $f_{0}$, the element $e_{-1}^{\prime}=e_{-1}+e_{0}$ occurs in $k$ four-element alternating sets, $l$ three-element sets, and $m=2 q+1$ two-element sets; outside the alternated sets, this element occurs $t$ times. For $e_{0}, e_{1}$, and $e_{2}$, the same conditions as in the preceding case hold. Since $f_{0}= \pm e_{0}$ and $f=f_{0}+f^{\prime}$, where $f^{\prime} \in W_{-1} \oplus W_{1} \oplus W_{2}$, it follows that $f \neq 0$. In other words, the multiplicity $m_{\lambda}$ is also nonzero for $\lambda=(k+l+m+t, k+l+m, k+l, k)$ with odd $m<2 k$, provided that $m+t \geq 2 k$. This proves the lemma for $k \neq 0$.

If $k=0$, then $m=0$, and the partition $\lambda$ has the form $\lambda=(l+t, l, l)$. For this partition, the multilinear polynomial is constructed in a similar way. First, we take the polynomial

$$
f=f_{2}^{l}=f\left(e_{-1}, \ldots, e_{-1}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{1}\right)
$$

of degree $l$ in each of the basis elements $e_{-1}, e_{0}, e_{1}$. Then, we set

$$
f^{\prime}=f\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}, \ldots, e_{-1}+e_{0}, e_{0}, \ldots, e_{1}\right) \underbrace{\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right) \ldots\left(e_{-1}+e_{0}\right)}_{t} .
$$

As previously, $f^{\prime}$ is not an identity in $W$ and generates an $S_{n}$-module with character $\chi_{\lambda}$.

## 4. ESTIMATES OF THE PI-EXPONENT

The simplicity of the algebra $W$ implies the existence of its PI-exponent (see [13, Theorem 3]). To estimate the PI-exponent and, in particular, prove that it is noninteger, we introduce the following quantity.

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}\right) \vdash n$, and let $m_{\lambda}$ be the multiplicity of $\lambda$ in the cocharacter (6). We set

$$
a_{n}=\max \left\{\Phi(\lambda) \mid m_{\lambda} \neq 0\right\}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\exp (A)}=\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}, \quad \underline{\exp (A)}=\underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any four-dimensional algebra.
Consider the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ for the algebra $W$. According to (8) and [13, Theorem 3], the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ has a limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We need one more property of this sequence.

Lemma 5. Let $a_{n}=\Phi\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right)$. Then the partition $\lambda^{(0)}$ can be chosen so that $w t\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right) \geq 0$ for sufficiently large $n$.

Proof. Let $\lambda^{(0)}$ be one of the points of maximum of the function $\Phi(\lambda)$ which determine $a_{n}$. It can be assumed that, for all such $\lambda \vdash n$ with $\Phi(\lambda)=a_{n}$, this partition is of maximum weight. As above, we write $\lambda^{(0)}$ in the form

$$
\lambda^{(0)}=(k+l+m+t, k+l+m, k+l, k) .
$$

Suppose that $w t\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right)=-m-t+2 k<0$, i.e., $m+t>2 k$.
First, note that $k \neq 0$ for $\lambda^{(0)}$. Indeed, it is easy to see that $\Phi\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right) \leq 3$ for $k=0$. At the same time, for the partition $\lambda=(3 p, p, p, p)$, we have

$$
\Phi(\lambda)=\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{3 / 6}\right)^{-1}=\sqrt{12}>3.4
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ converges and, for any $n$, there exists a $p$ with $|n-6 p| \leq 5$, it follows that $a_{n}>3$ for all sufficiently large $n$, and $k \neq 0$.

Now, note that, transferring one box in the diagram $D_{\lambda}$ from the second row to the third, we obtain $D_{\mu}$, where

$$
\mu=\left(k^{\prime}+l^{\prime}+m^{\prime}+t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}+l^{\prime}+m^{\prime}, k^{\prime}+l^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad k^{\prime}=k, \quad t^{\prime}=t+1, \quad m^{\prime}=m-2
$$

Therefore, either $m^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}>0$ (in which case $m^{\prime}+t^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}>0$ ), $m^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime}=0$, or -1 . In the last two cases, we have $m^{\prime}+t^{\prime}-2 k^{\prime} \geq 0$. Thus, for $m \geq 2$, pushing down one or several boxes, we obtain a partition $\mu$ of higher weight for which $\Phi(\mu) \geq \Phi\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right)$ by Lemma 2 . Moreover, $\mu$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4 and, therefore, $m_{\mu} \neq 0$ in (6). The maximality of the weight of $\lambda^{(0)}$ implies $m \leq 1$.

Thus, $t \geq 2 k \geq 2$, and, moving one box of $D_{\lambda^{(0)}}$ from the first row to the second, we obtain a diagram $D_{\mu}$ for which $\Phi(\mu) \geq \Phi\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right)$ and $w t(\mu)>w t\left(\lambda^{(0)}\right)$. Moreover, $\mu$ again satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4 , and $m_{\mu} \neq 0$. It follows that $m+t-2 k \leq 0$ for $\lambda^{(0)}$, which completes the proof of the lemma.

We have already mentioned that if a diagram $D_{\mu}$ is obtained from a diagram $D_{\lambda}$ by pushing down one box, then $\Phi(\mu) \geq \Phi(\lambda)$. Now we estimate this deviation.

Lemma 6. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ be two partitions of $n$ with $q^{\prime}=q$ or $q+1$. Suppose that $D_{\mu}$ is obtained from $D_{\lambda}$ by pushing down one box. Then

$$
\Phi(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{n^{\left(q^{2}+3 q+4\right) / n}} \Phi(\mu)
$$

Proof. The procedure of pushing down a box can be performed in two steps. First, we cut out a box from $D_{\lambda}$ and obtain $D_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, where $\lambda^{\prime} \vdash n-1$; then, attaching one box to $D_{\lambda^{\prime}}$, we obtain $D_{\mu}$. According to Lemma 6.2.4 in [2], we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda^{\prime}} \leq \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \leq n \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda^{\prime}}, \quad \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda^{\prime}} \leq \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu} \leq n \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda^{\prime}},
$$

which readily implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (9) and (4), we obtain

$$
\Phi(\lambda)^{n} \geq \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \geq \frac{1}{n^{2}} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu} \geq \frac{1}{n^{(q+1)^{2}+q+1+2}} \Phi(\mu)^{n}
$$

which proves the lemma.
Below we prove yet another relation between the values of the function $\Phi$ at various partitions.
Lemma 7. Suppose that the Young diagram of a partition

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{d}\right) \vdash(n-1)
$$

is obtained from a diagram $D_{\mu}$ by deleting one box. Then

$$
\Phi(\lambda) \leq n^{\left(d^{2}+d+2\right) / n} \Phi(\mu)
$$

for $n \geq d$.
Proof. By virtue of (4), we have

$$
\Phi(\lambda)^{n-1} \leq(n-1)^{d^{2}+d} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \leq n^{d^{2}+d} \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda}, \quad \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu} \leq n \Phi(\mu)^{n}
$$

On the other hand, $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \leq \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu}$ according to [2, Lemma 6.2.4]. Since the maximum value of $\Phi(\lambda)$ is $d$, it follows that

$$
\Phi(\lambda) \leq n^{\left(d^{2}+d+2\right) / n} \Phi(\mu) .
$$

Let us define one more sequence related to $W$. For $n \geq 6$, we set

$$
b_{n}=\max \left\{\Phi(\lambda) \mid \lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}\right) \vdash n, m_{\lambda} \neq 0, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}=2 \lambda_{4}\right\}
$$

if $n$ has a partition $\lambda$ with $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}=2 \lambda_{4}$ for which $m_{\lambda} \neq 0$ in (6). Otherwise, we set $b_{n}=\min \left\{b_{n-1}, a_{n}\right\}$. Note that, according to Lemma 4 , if $n=6 k$, then the partition $\lambda=(3 k, k, k, k)$ satisfies the required conditions.

Lemma 8. The following relations hold:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\exp (W)
$$

Proof. According to [13, Theorem 3], the PI-exponent of any finite-dimensional simple algebra exists; therefore, the limit $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\exp (W)$ exists as well, as follows from (8). Thus, to prove the lemma, it suffices to find a function $\psi=\psi(n)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(n)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(n) a_{n} \leq b_{n} \leq a_{n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$.
Fix $n$ and take a partition $\lambda \vdash n$ for which $\Phi(\lambda)=a_{n}$. By Lemma 5 , we can choose $\lambda$ so that $w t(\lambda) \geq 0$; by Lemma $3, w t(\lambda)$ is then equal to 0,1 , or 2 .

If $w t(\lambda)=0$, then $b_{n}=a_{n}$. Suppose that $w t(\lambda)=1$. Let us write $\lambda$ as

$$
\lambda=(k+l+m+t, k+l+m, k+l, k) .
$$

Then $m+t=2 k-1$. If $m \neq 0$, then we can transfer one box from the second to the first row in the diagram $D_{\lambda}$ and obtain a diagram $D_{\mu}$ for $\mu=\left(k+l+m^{\prime}+t^{\prime}, k+l+m^{\prime}, k+l, k\right), m^{\prime}=m-1$, $t^{\prime}=t+2$, with $w t(\mu)=0$. Then, by Lemma 4 , the multiplicity of $\mu$ in $\chi_{n}(W)$ is nonzero. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 5 , the partition $\lambda$ has a nonzero component $k$. Therefore, by virtue of Lemma 6 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \geq \Phi(\mu) \geq \frac{\Phi(\lambda)}{n^{32 / n}}=\frac{a_{n}}{n^{32 / n}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m=0$ but $l>0$ and $t>0$, then a partition $\mu$ with weight zero can be obtained by moving one box of $D_{\lambda}$ from the third to the second row, and we again obtain inequality (11) for $b_{n}$. The case where $m=0$, $l>0$, and $t=0$ is impossible, because $m+t=2 k-1$.

The only partition $\lambda$ with $w t(\lambda)=1$ for which the transfers specified above cannot be done is $(3 k-1, k, k, k)$. But Lemma 7 implies that, for this $\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\lambda) \leq n^{22 / n} \Phi(\mu), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=(3 k, k, k, k)$. Since $\Phi(\mu)=\sqrt{12}<3.48$, we obtain

$$
\Phi(\lambda)<n^{22 / n} \cdot 3.48 .
$$

Note that any partition of the form $\rho=(3 q, 3 q, q, q)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4, and

$$
\Phi(\rho)=\frac{8}{\sqrt[4]{27}}>3.5
$$

hence $\Phi(\lambda)$ cannot satisfy inequality (12) for sufficiently large $n$, i.e., $\lambda \neq(3 k-1, k, k, k)$, and if $w t(\lambda)=1$, then inequality (11) holds.

Now, suppose that $w t(\lambda)=2$. Then we twice move a box one row upward in the diagram $D_{\lambda}$. This cannot be done only if either $\lambda=(3 k-2, k, k, k), \lambda=(q, q, q, 1)$, or the first transfer of one box upward results in the partition $\mu=(3 k-1, k, k, k)$. The first and the third possibility are excluded for the same reason as in the case of $w t(\lambda)=1$, namely, because such partitions cannot maximize $\Phi(\lambda)$; the second possibility cannot occur because if $\lambda=(q, q, q, 1) \vdash n, \mu=(q, q, q) \vdash(n-1)$, and $\Phi(\mu)=3$, then $\operatorname{deg} \chi_{\lambda} \leq n \operatorname{deg} \chi_{\mu}$.

In the remaining cases, twice applying Lemma 6 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n} \geq \frac{a_{n}}{n^{64 / n}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (11) and (13) imply the required condition (10), which proves the lemma.
To state and prove the main results of this paper, we extend the domain of the function $\Phi$. For any $0 \leq x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4} \leq 1$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{x_{1}^{x_{1}} \cdots x_{4}^{x_{4}}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inside the domain of $\Phi$, consider the closed subset $T$ determined by the conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq x_{3} \geq x_{4},  \tag{15}\\
x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}=1, \\
x_{1}-x_{3}=2 x_{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 1. The PI-exponent of the algebra $W$ exists and is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (W)=\max \left\{\Phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in T\right\} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\exp (W) \approx 3.610718614$.

Proof. The existence of the exponent has already been mentioned and follows from the simplicity of $W$. We have

$$
\exp (W)=b=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}
$$

by Lemma 8 . It remains to show that $b=M$, where

$$
M=\max \left\{\Phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in T\right\}
$$

Let $Z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{4}\right)$ be a point of maximum of $\Phi$ on $T$. Clearly, we can choose a point $A=$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right) \in T$ with rational coefficients arbitrarily close to $Z$. Let $m$ denote the common denominator of the rational numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}$. Then $\lambda_{1}=a_{1} m, \ldots, \lambda_{4}=a_{4} m$ are nonnegative integers, and $\lambda_{1} \geq$ $\cdots \geq \lambda_{4}$. In other words, $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{4}\right)$ is a partition of $m$ satisfying the condition $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}=2 \lambda_{4}$. Moreover, for any $t=1,2, \ldots$, the partition $t \lambda=\left(t \lambda_{1}, \ldots, t \lambda_{4}\right)$ of $n_{t}=t m$ satisfies the same condition. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n_{t}} \geq \Phi(t \lambda)=\Phi(\lambda) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ converges and $\Phi(\lambda)$ in (17) can be made arbitrarily close to $M$, it follows that $b \geq M$. The reverse inequality is obvious. Thus, we have proved the relation $b=M$.

To fully complete the proof, we must justify the approximate estimate of $\exp (W)$. In [11], an example of an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra $L$ for which

$$
3.1<\underline{\exp (L)} \leq \overline{\exp (L)}<3.9
$$

was constructed. In the recent paper [12], it was proved that the ordinary PI-exponent of $L$ exists, i.e., $\underline{\exp (L)}=\overline{\exp (L)}$. Moreover, it turned out that

$$
\exp (L)=\max \left\{\Phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \mid\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right) \in T\right\}
$$

where $\Phi$ is the function defined by (14) and the domain $T$ is determined by (15). It was also shown in [12] that

$$
M=\Phi\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{4}\right),
$$

where $\beta_{4}$ is a positive root of the equation $16 t^{3}-24 t^{2}+11 t-1=0, \beta_{4} \approx 0.276953179$, and

$$
\beta_{3}=2 \beta_{4}-4 \beta_{4}^{2}, \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{\beta_{3}^{2}}{\beta_{4}}, \quad \beta_{1}=\frac{\beta_{3}^{3}}{\beta_{4}^{2}}
$$

This implies

$$
\exp (W)=\exp (L) \approx 3.610718614
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.
Corollary 1. There exist finite-dimensional simple unitary algebras with fractional exponent strictly less than their dimension.

Corollary 2. The least dimension of a unitary algebra with fractional PI-exponent is 4.
Proof. Theorem 1 implies the existence of four-dimensional unitary algebras with fractional PI-exponent. The nonexistence of such algebras in dimensions 2 and 3 follows from results of [17].
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