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#### Abstract

We present a short and direct proof (based on the Pontryagin-Thom construction) of the following Pontryagin-Steenrod-Wu theorem: (a) Let $M$ be a connected orientable closed smooth $(n+1)$-manifold, $n \geq 3$. Define the degree map $\operatorname{deg}: \pi^{n}(M) \rightarrow H^{n}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ by the formula $\operatorname{deg} f=$ $f^{*}\left[S^{n}\right]$, where $\left[S^{n}\right] \in H^{n}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class. The degree map is bijective, if there exists $\beta \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\beta \cdot w_{2}(M) \neq 0$. If such $\beta$ does not exist, then deg is a 2-1 map; and (b) Let $M$ be an orientable closed smooth $(n+2)$-manifold, $n \geq 3$. An element $\alpha$ lies in the image of the degree map if and only if $\rho_{2} \alpha \cdot w_{2}(M)=0$, where $\rho_{2}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is reduction modulo 2 .


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper let $M$ be a connected orientable closed smooth manifold of dimension $m=n+k$. Denote by $L_{k}(M)$ the set of $k$-dimensional framed links in $M$ up to framed cobordism. By the Pontryagin-Thom construction, the set $L_{k}(M)$ is in 1-1 correspondence with the set $\pi^{n}(M)=\left[M ; S^{n}\right]$ of continuous maps $M \rightarrow S^{n}$ up to homotopy. The main purpose of this paper is to describe $L_{1}(M)=\pi^{n}(M)$ for $k=1$ and in the 'stable range' $n \geq 3$. The description of $\pi^{n}(M)$ was reduced in [Pon39] [Ste47] (see also [FoFu89; §30.3]) to a calculation with Steenrod squares, which was done by Wu (cf. [FoFu89; §30.2.D]).

In this paper we present a short proof of this Pontryagin-Steenrod-Wu classification theorem. There are reasons to believe that this is Pontryagin's original proof, which he never published, because he went straight ahead to the general case - when $M$ is an arbitrary polyhedron (cf. Theorem 1.2 below and the remark after its formulation).

This classification is based on the notions of natural orientation on a framed link and degree of a framed link, defined as follows. Take a point $x$ on a framed link $L$ and let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ be the frame at this point. The basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$ of $T_{x}(L)$ is said to be positive, if the basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ of $T_{x}(M)$ is positive. The degree $\operatorname{deg} L$ of $L$ is the homology class (with integral coefficients) of positively oriented $L$. So we have a map

$$
\operatorname{deg}: L_{k}(M) \rightarrow H_{k}(M ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

The Hopf-Whitney theorem (1932-35) asserts that this map is bijective for $k=0$ and surjective for $k=1$.

Theorem 1.1: (a) Let $M$ be a connected orientable closed smooth $(n+1)$ manifold, $n \geq 3$. The degree map deg: $L_{1}(M) \rightarrow H_{1}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ is bijective, if there exists $\beta \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\beta \cdot w_{2}(M) \neq 0$. If such $\beta$ does not exist, then $\operatorname{deg}$ is a 2-1 map (i.e., each $\alpha \in H_{1}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ has exactly two preimages).
(b) Let $M$ be an orientable closed smooth $(n+2)$-manifold, $n \geq 3$. Then an element $\alpha$ lies in the image of deg: $L_{2}(M) \rightarrow H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\rho_{2} \alpha \cdot w_{2}(M)=0$.

Here $\cdot$ is the multiplication $H_{k}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \times H^{k}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\rho_{2}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is reduction modulo 2 . However, in the proof of Theorem 1.1 it is convenient to replace the cohomological Stiefel-Whitney classes by their homological duals. These classes are denoted by the same letters $w_{i}$ and $\bar{w}_{i}$, and their geometric definition (equivalent to other definitions) is recalled below.

Then - in the above (and in all the subsequent) formulae is to be understood as the intersection product $H_{i}(M) \times H_{j}(M) \rightarrow H_{i+j-m}(M)$.

Theorem 1.2 (Pontryagin): (a) Let $M$ be a connected orientable closed smooth 3-manifold. Then for each $\alpha \in H_{1}(M ; \mathbb{Z}), \operatorname{deg}^{-1} \alpha$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \alpha \cap H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$.
(b) Let $M$ be an orientable closed smooth 4-manifold. Then an element $\alpha$ lies in the image of $\operatorname{deg}: L_{2}(M) \rightarrow H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\alpha \cdot \alpha=0$.

Theorem 1.2(b) can be proved analogously to our proof of Theorem 1.1(b) below. Our methods can perhaps be used to prove Theorem 1.2(a) which was stated without proof in [Pon39]. In fact, Theorem 1.2(a) was not included in [Pon39] (published in English), but only in the abstract (published in Russian), without any indication of its proof. This makes it even more important to have a published proof of this result.

## 2. Geometric definition of homology Stiefel-Whitney classes

Take a general position system of $s$ tangent vector fields on $M$. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be the set of points at which these vector fields are not linearly independent.

By transversality [DNF79; $\S 10.3$ ], $\Sigma$ is a submanifold of $M$. The StiefelWhitney class $w_{m+1-s}(L) \in H_{s-1}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ is the class of the submanifold $\Sigma$ (this is the first obstruction to existence of a linear independent system of $s$ tangent vector fields on $M$ ).

This definition can be easily generalized to the case when tangent vector fields in $T M$ are replaced by vector fields in an arbitrary vector bundle with the base $M$. If $L \subset M$ is a submanifold, then such classes for the normal bundle of $L$ in $M$ and for the restriction of $T M$ to $L$ are denoted by $\bar{w}_{2}(L)$ and $\left.w_{2}(M)\right|_{L}$, respectively.

We will also use relative versions of these classes. For example, suppose that $L \subset M$ is an $l$-submanifold with boundary and a system $f$ of $m-l-1$ linearly independent normal vector fields is given on $\partial L$. Then we can extend $f$ to an arbitrary general position system of normal vector fields on $L$.

Define $\bar{w}_{2}(L, f) \in H_{l-2}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ to be the class of the $(l-2)$-submanifold, on which these extended vector fields are not linearly independent (this is the first obstruction to extension of $f$ to a linear independent system on $L$ ). We will omit $f$ from the notation, if no confusion could arise.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1(b)

Take any $\alpha \in H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$. The class $\alpha$ can be realized by an orientable 2submanifold $L \subset M$. Clearly, $\alpha \in \operatorname{Im}$ deg if and only if some such $L$ can be framed.

We can consider only connected $L$. Indeed, if some disconnected $L$ can be framed, then the submanifold, which is the connected sum of all connected components of $L$, can also be framed and realizes the same homological class (this argument can be easily modified also for disconnected $M$ ).

In this paragraph we show that $L$ can be framed if and only if $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=0$. By the definition of $\bar{w}_{2}(L)$ this condition is necessary. In order to prove the sufficiency assume that $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=0$. Since $n \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{dim} L=2$, it follows that there is an orthonormal system of vector fields $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ which are normal to $L$.

Since $L^{2}$ and $M^{n+2}$ are orientable, it follows that the normal bundle to $L$ is orientable. Fix an orientation of this bundle. Taking a unit vector field $f_{n}$ orthogonal to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}$ and such that the basis $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ is positive (with respect to the specified orientation of the bundle), we obtain the required framing.

Now the theorem follows from the equalities

$$
\bar{w}_{2}(L)=\left.w_{2}(M)\right|_{L}=w_{2}(M) \cdot[L]=w_{2}(M) \cdot \rho_{2} \alpha
$$

Here the first equality follows by the Wu formula of Stiefel-Whitney classes of the sum of two bundles: $\left.w_{2}(M)\right|_{L}=w_{2}(L)+w_{1}(L) \cdot \bar{w}_{1}(L)+\bar{w}_{2}(L)$, in which $w_{2}(L)=w_{1}(L)=0$ because $L$ is an orientable 2-manifold (the first equality can also be proved directly). The second equality follows by the above geometric definition because $L$ is connected (we identify $H_{0}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \cong$ $\left.H_{0}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\right)$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1(a)

Take an element $\alpha \in H_{1}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $L_{1}, L_{2} \subset M$ be a pair of framed 1submanifolds such that $\operatorname{deg} L_{1}=\operatorname{deg} L_{2}$. Denote by $\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right] \in L_{1}(M)$ their classes. Since $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are homologous, it follows by general position that there is an embedded 2-dimensional cobordism $L \subset M \times I$ (not framed) between them: $\partial L=L_{1} \sqcup L_{2}$. Clearly, $\left[L_{1}\right]=\left[L_{2}\right]$ if and only if for some $L$ the framing of $\partial L$ extends to $L$. Since $M$ is connected, it follows that we can consider only connected $L$.

Let us show that the framing of $\partial L$ extends to that of $L$ if and only if $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=0$. By definition of the relative Stiefel-Whitney classes this condition is necessary. Let us prove the sufficiency. Assume that $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=0$. Since $n \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{dim} L=2$, it follows that the orthonormal system of the first $n-1$ vector fields of the framing of $\partial L$ extends to $L$.
Since $L$ and $M \times I$ are orientable, and $L_{1}, L_{2}$ are naturally orientable, it follows that there is an orientation of the normal bundle of $L$ in $M \times I$ restricted to the given orientations on $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. So we can add one more unit vector field to the constructed orthonormal system on $L$ to obtain a positive basis at each point of $L$ (with respect to the specified orientation of $L$ ). So the required extension of the framing of $\partial L$ to $L$ has been constructed.

Completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1(a) in the case when there EXISTS $\beta \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ SUCH THAT $\beta \cdot w_{2}(M) \neq 0$. If $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=0$ then there is nothing to prove. Assume now that $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=1$. Here $\bar{w}_{2}(L) \in H_{0}(L ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, because $L$ is connected. Further, we identify all groups $H_{0}(X ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us construct a new cobordism $L^{\prime}$ between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ such that $\bar{w}_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$. Let $K$ be a connected orientable general position 2 -submanifold realizing the class $\beta$. We may assume that $K \subset M \times \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
\bar{w}_{2}(K)=|\Sigma \times I \cap K|=|\Sigma \cap K|=w_{2}(M) \cdot \beta=1 \bmod 2 .
$$

Here $\Sigma \subset M \times \frac{1}{2}$ is a submanifold realizing the class $w_{2}(M)$; the first equality follows from geometric definition above. Put $L^{\prime}=L \sharp K(L \cap K=\emptyset$ by general position). By Claim 4.1 below $\bar{w}_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\bar{w}_{2}(L)+\bar{w}_{2}(K)=0$, and this case of the theorem is proved.

Claim 4.1: Suppose that $K^{2}, L^{2} \subset M^{n+2}$ is a pair of disjoint connected orientable submanifolds and a frame of $K$ and $L$ is given on $\partial K$ and $\partial L$, respectively. Then $\bar{w}_{2}(K \sharp L)=\bar{w}_{2}(K)+\bar{w}_{2}(L)$, where the groups $H_{0}(X ; \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ are identified with $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for $X=K \sharp L, K$ and $L$.

Proof of Claim 4.1: Take a pair of small 2-disks $k \subset K$ and $l \subset L$. Let $k l \cong S^{1} \times I$ be a narrow tube such that $\partial k l=\partial k \sqcup \partial l$ and $k l$ is tangent to both disks $k$ and $l$. Fix a trivial frame of $k$ and $l$ (and, consequently, of $\partial k$ and $\partial l$ ).

By the above geometric definition it follows easily that $\bar{w}_{2}(K \sharp L)=$ $\bar{w}_{2}(K-k)+\bar{w}_{2}(k l)+\bar{w}_{2}(L-l)$. On the other hand, one can check analogously that $\bar{w}_{2}(K)=\bar{w}_{2}(K-k)+\bar{w}_{2}(k)$ and $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=\bar{w}_{2}(L-l)+\bar{w}_{2}(l)$. Since $\bar{w}_{2}(k l)=\bar{w}_{2}(k)=\bar{w}_{2}(l)=0$, it follows that $\bar{w}_{2}(K \sharp L)=\bar{w}_{2}(K)+\bar{w}_{2}(L)$.

Completion of the proof of Theorem $1.1(\mathrm{a})$ in the case when for EACH $\beta \in H_{2}(M, \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$ we have $\beta \cdot w_{2}(M)=0$. It suffices to show that for fixed [ $L_{1}$ ] the map $\left[L_{2}\right] \mapsto w_{2}(L)$ is well-defined and is a bijection $\operatorname{deg}^{-1} \alpha \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us prove that the map is well-defined. Let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ be a pair of framed submanifolds of $M$ framed cobordant to $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, respectively. Let $L^{\prime}$ be a (not framed) cobordism between them. It suffices to prove that $w_{2}(L)=w_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ in case when $L_{1}$ and $L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}, L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are in general position.

Assume that $L_{1}, L_{1}^{\prime} \subset M \times 1, L_{2}, L_{2}^{\prime} \subset M \times 0$ and $L, L^{\prime} \subset M \times[0,1]$. Change the sign of the first vector field belonging to the framings of $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$. Denote the obtained framed submanifolds by $-L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $-L_{2}^{\prime}$, respectively.
Denote by $\bar{w}_{2}\left(-L^{\prime}\right)$ the relative Stiefel-Whitney class of $L$ with the reversed framing of $\partial L^{\prime}$. Then $\bar{w}_{2}\left(-L^{\prime}\right)=-\bar{w}_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. Further, both $L_{1} \sqcup\left(-L_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $L_{2} \sqcup$ $\left(-L_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ are framed cobordant to zero, i.e., to an empty submanifold. Let $L_{+} \subset$ $M \times[1,+\infty)$ and $L_{-} \subset M \times(-\infty, 0]$ be the corresponding framed cobordisms. Then $\bar{w}_{2}\left(L_{+}\right)=\bar{w}_{2}\left(L_{-}\right)=0$.
By general position $L \cap L^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Denote $K=L \cup L_{+} \cup L^{\prime} \cup L_{-}$. By the above geometric definition it follows easily that

$$
\bar{w}_{2}(K)=\bar{w}_{2}(L)+\bar{w}_{2}\left(L_{+}\right)+\bar{w}_{2}\left(-L^{\prime}\right)+\bar{w}_{2}\left(L_{-}\right)=\bar{w}_{2}(L)-\bar{w}_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right) .
$$

It suffices to show that $\bar{w}_{2}(K)=0$. Let $\beta$ be the cohomological class of image of $K$ under the projection $M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$. Analogously to the proof of the previous case of the theorem we see that $\bar{w}_{2}(K)=\bar{w}_{2}(M) \cdot \beta=0$, hence $w_{2}(L)=w_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ and our map $\operatorname{deg}^{-1} \alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is well-defined.

Now let us prove that our map is injective. It suffices to show that if $L_{2}^{\prime}$ is a framed 1 -submanifold and $L^{\prime}$ is a connected 2 -dimensional embedded cobordism (not framed) between $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=\bar{w}_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$, then $\left[L_{2}\right]=\left[L_{2}^{\prime}\right]$.
Indeed, we may assume that $L_{1} \subset M \times 0, L_{2} \subset M \times 1, L_{2}^{\prime} \subset M \times(-1)$, $L \subset M \times[0,1]$ and $L^{\prime} \subset M \times[-1,0]$. Then $L \cup L^{\prime}$ is a cobordism between $L_{2}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$. By the above geometric definition it follows that $\bar{w}_{2}\left(L \cup L^{\prime}\right)=$ $\bar{w}_{2}(L)+\bar{w}_{2}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=0$, hence $L \cup L^{\prime}$ can be framed. So our map $\operatorname{deg}^{-1} \alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is injective.

Let us prove that our map is surjective. It suffices to show that some [ $L_{2}$ ] is mapped to 1 . Since $M$ is orientable, it follows there exists a framing $f_{1}$ of $L_{1}$. Fix a homeomorphism $L_{1} \cong S^{1}$.

Denote by $f_{1}(x)$ the choice of the framing at the point $x \in S^{1}$. Take a map $\varphi: S^{1} \rightarrow S O(n)$ realizing a nonzero element of $\pi_{1}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ (which is
true because $n \geq 3$ ). Define a new framing $f_{2}$ of $L_{1}$ by the formula $f_{2}(x)=$ $\varphi(x) f_{1}(x)$.
The obtained framed submanifold is the required submanifold $L_{2}$. Indeed, take $L=L_{1} \times I$. Then $\bar{w}_{2}(L)=1$. Indeed, assume the converse. Then the frames of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ can be extended to the frame of $L_{1} \times I$. This frame gives the homotopy between $\varphi$ and the constant map in $S O(n)$, which contradicts the choice of $\varphi$. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.1(a).
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