UNIV. BEOGRAD. PUBL. ELEKTROTEHN. FAK. Ser. Mat. Fiz. № 544 — № 576 (1976), 93—94.

560. DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR NATURAL NUMBERS*

Dušan D. Repovš

1. The Decomposition Theorem. Let's take an arbitrary natural number $N(N \neq 0)$ and write it as the sum of k integers $N = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k$, where $\alpha \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_k$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq \alpha \leq \lfloor N/k \rfloor$ (hereafter $\lfloor x \rfloor$ will denote the greatest integer not exceeding x). We shall denote the number of all such decompositions for given N, k and α by $S(N, k, \alpha)$.

Theorem 1.

$$S(N, k, \alpha) = \sum_{\substack{N_{k-1} = [((k-1)N)/k] \\ \cdots}}^{N-\alpha} \sum_{\substack{N_{k-2} = [((k-2)N_{k-1})/(k-1)]}}^{2N_{k-1}-N} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \sum_{\substack{N_{3} = [(3N_{4})/4] \\ \cdots}}^{2N_{4}-N_{5}} \sum_{\substack{N_{2} = [(2N_{3})/3] \\ N_{2} = [(2N_{3})/3]}}^{2N_{3}-N_{4}} ([N_{2}/2] - (N_{3} - N_{2} - 1)).$$

Proof. The proof goes by induction on k. Obviously $S(N, 1, \alpha) = 1$. We shall not immediately proceed by k = n for we shall rather work also on the proof for k = 2 and k = 3 to get the general idea of how the formula was evaluated.

1° Let k = 2. Since $a_1 \le a_2 N$ can be decomposed in the following ways only: $N = 1 + (N-1) = 2 + (N-2) = \cdots = (\alpha - 1) + (N - (\alpha - 1)) = \alpha + (N - \alpha) = \cdots =$ = m + (N-m) where $m = \max \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \mid n \le N - n\}$. Clearly m = [N/2]. Since in the first $\alpha - 1$ decompositions $a_1 \le \alpha$ they cannot count. Therefore $S(N, 2, \alpha) = [N/2] - (\alpha - 1)$.

2° Let k=3. Observe that $1 \le \alpha \le [N/3]$. Take a_1 from the set $\{\alpha, \alpha+1, \ldots, [N/3]\}$. Then N can be decomposed in the following way $N=a_1+(N-a_1)$. What we are now looking for is-how many pairs (a_2, a_3) satisfying the condition $a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3$ and the equation $a_2 + a_3 = N - a_1$ there exist? Denote $N_2 = N - a_1$ and observe that $[(2N)/3] \le N_2 \le N - \alpha$. From 1° we know that $S(N_2, 2, a_1) = = [N_2/2] - (N - N_2 - 1)$. Therefore

$$S(N, 3, \alpha) = \sum_{N_2=[(2N)/3]}^{N-\alpha} ([N_2/2] - (N - N_2 - 1))$$

since N_2 can take an arbitrary value from the set $\{[(2N)/3], \ldots, N-\alpha\}$.

3° Suppose theorem holds for k = n. Let k = n + 1. Observe $1 \le \alpha \le [N/(n+1)]$. Take a_1 from the set $\{\alpha, \alpha + 1, \ldots, [N/(n+1)]\}$ and rewrite N as $N = a_1 + (N - a_1)$.

* Presented June 1, 1976 by M. S. POPADIĆ.

Denote by N_s the sum $N_s = a_{k-s+1} + a_{k-s+2} + \cdots + a_k$, so $N = a_1 + N_n$ and by our supposition of induction

$$S(N_n, n, a_1) = \sum_{N_n = 1}^{N_n - (N - N_n)} \sum_{N_{n-2} = [((n-2)N_{n-1})/(n-1)]}^{2N_{n-1} - N} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \sum_{N_3 = [(3N_4)/4]} \sum_{N_2 = [(2N_3)/3]}^{2N_3 - N_4} ([N_2/2] - (N_3 - N_2 - 1)).$$

Therefore

$$S(N, n+1, \alpha) = \sum_{N_n = [(nN)/(n+1)]}^{N-\alpha} S(N_n, n, a_1).$$

This also proves the Theorem 1.

2. The Product Theorem. Observe all the decompositions of N discussed in Part 1. for $\alpha = 1$. When is the product of all sumands $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k$ maximal and what is its value for an arbitrary N? The answer will be given by the following theorem. Denote the maximal product by $P_{\max}(N)$.

Theorem 2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$P_{\max}(N) = \begin{cases} 3^{b} & \text{if } N = 3 b \\ 2.3^{b} & \text{if } N = 3 b + 2 \\ 4.3^{b} & \text{if } N = 3 b + 4 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $N = a_1 + \cdots + a_p$. When can the corresponding product $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p$ be increased? Obviously this can be done if for some a_j $(1 \le j \le p)$ there exist such $a_{i,j}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, m_j)$ that $a_j = a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} + \cdots + a_{m_j,j}$ and the inequality $a_{1,j} a_{2,j} \cdots a_{m_j,j} > a_j$ hold.

This way we get that $(a_{1,1} \cdots a_{m_1,1}) \cdots (a_{1,p} \cdots a_{m_p,p}) > a_1 a_2 \cdots a_p$.

Clearly $a_{i,j} \ge 2$, therefore $P_{\max}(N) = 2^a 3^b$. Since $3^2 > 2^3$ and 3 + 3 = 2 + 2 + 2a can take only the following values: 0, 1 or 2. Taking into the consideration the fact that 2a + 3b = N we get the formula for $P_{\max}(N)$.

FNST, Dept. of Mathematics University of Ljubljana Ljubljana, Yugoslavia