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#### Abstract

We study the following problem for closed connected oriented manifolds $M$ of dimension 4. Let $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]$ be the integral group ring of the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$. Suppose $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is a free $\Lambda$-submodule. When do there exist closed connected 4-manifolds $P$ and $M^{\prime}$ such that $M$ is homotopy equivalent to the connected sum $P \# M^{\prime}$, where $\pi_{1}(P) \cong \pi_{1}(M), \pi_{1}\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cong 0$, and $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda \cong G$. An answer is given in terms of $\pi_{1}(M)$ and the intersection forms on $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ and $H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We study the problem of splitting a closed topological manifold $M$ into a nontrivial connected sum according to some algebraic data. In dimension 3 the Kneser conjecture gives the answer if $\pi_{1}(M)=G_{1} * G_{2}$. In dimension 4 a splitting may be given according to a free product of $\pi_{1}(M)$ or a direct sum of $\pi_{2}(M)$, or of both (see, for example, $[8,10$, 12]). In the present paper we study splittings of closed 4-manifolds $M^{4}$ up to homotopy

[^0]equivalence according to a direct sum decomposition $\pi_{2}(M)=H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)=H \oplus G$ (as $\Lambda$-modules), where $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(M)\right]$ is the integral group ring of $\pi_{1}(M)$. Previous results were proved in [2-4]. Our results are built on those obtained by Hambleton and Kreck in [9]. If $D \rightarrow B \pi_{1}(M)$ is the second Postnikov decomposition of $M^{4}$, i.e., $\pi_{q}(D)=0$ for every $q \geqslant 3$ and there is a map $M \rightarrow D$ which induces isomorphisms on $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$, Hambleton and Kreck defined $\mathcal{S}_{4}^{\mathrm{PD}}(D)$ to be the set of homotopy equivalence classes of polarized oriented 4-dimensional Poincaré complexes. We recall that an element of $\mathcal{S}_{4}^{\mathrm{PD}}(D)$ is represented by a 3-equivalence $f: X \rightarrow D$, where $X$ is a Poincaré 4-complex. Let $[X] \in H_{4}(X ; \mathbb{Z})$ be the fundamental class of $X$. Then the map
$$
\mathcal{S}_{4}^{\mathrm{PD}}(D) \rightarrow H_{4}(D ; \mathbb{Z})
$$
sending $(X, f)$ to $f_{*}([X])$ is well-defined. It was shown in [9] that this map is injective if $\pi_{1}(M)$ is infinite and $H_{2}(D ; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$. If $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite of order $m$, then there is an exact sequence
$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}\left(\Gamma_{2}\left(\pi_{2}(D)\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{4}^{\mathrm{PD}}(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{m} \times H_{4}(D ; \mathbb{Z})
$$
where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Whitehead functor (see [9, Theorem 1.1]). To state our results we introduce the $\mathbb{Z}$ - and $\Lambda$-intersection forms
$$
\lambda^{C}: H_{2}(M ; C) \times H_{2}(M ; C) \rightarrow C
$$
where $C$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\Lambda$. If $G \subset H_{2}(M ; C)$ is a submodule, let $\lambda_{G}^{C}$ be the restriction of $\lambda^{C}$ to $G \times G$. We denote the adjoint morphism by
$$
\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{C}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(G, C)=G^{*} .
$$

Then we prove
Theorem A. Let $M^{4}$ be a closed connected oriented topological 4-manifold with infinite fundamental group. Let $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)=\pi_{2}(M)$ be a $\Lambda$-submodule such that
(1) $G$ is $\Lambda$-free and $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \rightarrow G^{*}$ is an isomorphism;
(2) Either $H^{2}\left(B \pi_{1}(M) ; \Lambda\right) \cong 0$ or $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) / G$ is trivial as $\Lambda$-module (that is, the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$ acts trivially on it);
(3) $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Then there exists a homotopy equivalence $\psi: M \rightarrow M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime}$, where $P$ is a Poincaré 4-complex with $\pi_{1}(P) \cong \pi_{1}(M), M^{\prime}$ is a simply connected closed 4-manifold, and $G=H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$.

Moreover, if $\pi_{1}(M)$ is "good" (see [7] or [6] for slightly different conditions) and $w_{2}\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$, then $P$ can be realized as a manifold.

Remark. The connected sum $M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime}$ can be performed by using the top cell of $P$. The hypotheses imply $G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \subset H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$. The first part of the theorem holds for any Poincaré 4-complex $M$.

To prove Theorem A we have to construct $P$ and $M^{\prime}$ and a polarization $M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $D$ (see Sections 2 and 3). This can be done for any fundamental group $\pi_{1}$. More precisely, we prove the following result:

Theorem B. Let $M^{4}$ be a Poincaré 4-complex with an arbitrary fundamental group. Let $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ be a free $\Lambda$-submodule such that $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \rightarrow G^{*}$ is an isomorphism. Then there is a homotopy equivalence $\psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow\left(P \# M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$ between 3-skeleta, where $P$ is a Poincaré 4-complex and $M^{\prime}$ is a closed simply connected topological 4-manifold.

In order to prove Theorem A we have to show that the images of $[M]$ and $\left[P \# M^{\prime}\right.$ ] under $\mathcal{S}_{4}^{\mathrm{PD}}(D) \rightarrow H_{4}(D ; \mathbb{Z})$ coincide. This will be analyzed in Section 4. If $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite, one can extend the homotopy equivalence $M^{(3)} \rightarrow\left(P \# M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$ to a map $M \rightarrow P \# M^{\prime}$. But there is no control over the degree of the map. This defines a component in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. On the other hand if $\pi_{1}(M)$ is infinite, then the degree is shown to be one. Finally, we recall that there are many important results on connected sum decompositions of 4-manifolds: let us just mention the papers [8,13,14,17], and the book [7] (see [5] for corrections). Further results for 4-manifolds with special fundamental groups were proved in [2-4,12,15,18].

## 2. Preliminary constructions

Let $M^{4}$ be (as in Section 1) a closed connected topological 4-manifold with an orientation and a CW-structure with only one 4 -cell. We need this special CW-structure only for homotopy constructions, hence it suffices to have a (simple) homotopy equivalence to a 4-dimensional CW-complex with only one 4-cell. By a theorem of Wall (see [19, Lemma 2.9]) this can be assumed if $M$ is smooth or PL. Let $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \cong \pi_{2}(M)$ be a $\Lambda$-free submodule of rank $r$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \rightarrow G^{*}$ is a $\Lambda$-isomorphism. We choose a $\Lambda$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ of $G$ and form the CW-complex $P$ obtained from $M$ by attaching 3-cells along $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$. We note that $H_{p}(P, M ; \Lambda)$ (respectively $H^{p}(P, M ; \Lambda)$ ) is trivial for $p \neq 3$, and isomorphic to $G$ (respectively $G^{*}$ ) for $p=3$. Furthermore, $H_{p}(P, M ; \mathbb{Z})$ (respectively $H^{p}(P, M ; \mathbb{Z})$ ) is trivial for $p \neq 3$, and isomorphic to $G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ (respectively $G^{*} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ ) for $p=3$. We will denote by $f: M \rightarrow P$ the canonical inclusion map. It follows that

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{3}(P, M ; C) \rightarrow H_{2}(M ; C) \xrightarrow{f_{*}} H_{2}(P ; C) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact for $C=\Lambda$ or $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the inclusion induced homomorphism $f_{*}$ : $H_{4}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{4}(P ; \mathbb{Z})$ is bijective, and we set $[P]=f_{*}([M])$, where $[M]$ is the fundamental class of $M$. Since $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}$ is an isomorphism, we get the following diagram of short exact sequences:

From this we conclude that

$$
f^{*}: H^{3}(P ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^{3}(M ; \Lambda), \quad f_{*}: H_{3}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{3}(P ; \Lambda),
$$

and

$$
\bigcap[P]: H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{2}(P ; \Lambda)
$$

are isomorphisms. From the diagrams

and
we obtain isomorphisms

$$
\bigcap[P]: H^{q}(P ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{4-q}(P ; \Lambda)
$$

for any $q=1,3$; similarly, for $q=0,4$. Hence we have proved the first part of the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. The CW-complex $P$ is a Poincaré duality complex of formal dimension 4, and $f: M \rightarrow P$ is of degree 1 . If the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{2}: H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ vanishes on $G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$, then the Spivak normal spherical fibration of $P$ reduces to a TOPfibration.

Proof. Let $\nu_{M}: M \rightarrow$ BSTOP be the classifying map for the stable normal bundle of $M$. Since $w_{2}\left(e_{i}\right)=0$, we obtain trivializations of $e_{i}^{*}\left(\nu_{M}\right)$ which extend over the attached 3 -cells, for any $i=1, \ldots, r$. Therefore, $\nu_{M}$ extends over $P$. Then the extension must be a reduction of the Spivak normal spherical fibration of $P$.

Lemma 2.2. The kernel of the homomorphism

$$
H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

is isomorphic to the kernel of $H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{2}(P ; \mathbb{Z})$. This isomorphism coincides with

$$
H_{3}(P, M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \underset{\cong}{\cong} H_{3}(P, M ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

Regarding $H_{3}(P, M ; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$, the restriction of $\lambda_{M}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $H_{3}(P, M ; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_{3}(P, M ; \mathbb{Z})$ is obtained by tensoring $\lambda_{M}^{\Lambda}$ over $\Lambda$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ and restricting to $\left(H_{3}(P, M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right) \times$ $\left(H_{3}(P, M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Proof. For $X=M$ or $P$ we have the following well-known sequence (see [1]):

$$
H_{3}(X ; C) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(B \pi_{1} ; C\right) \rightarrow H_{2}(X ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} C \rightarrow H_{2}(X ; C) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(B \pi_{1} ; C\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Here $C$ is a $\Lambda$-module. We will apply it for $C=\mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$
H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \cong H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \oplus G,
$$

we have the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\Lambda}\left(H_{2}(M ; \Lambda), \mathbb{Z}\right) \underset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\Lambda}\left(H_{2}(P ; \Lambda), \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

hence the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{3}(P, M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Note also that $f_{*}: H_{3}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{3}(P ; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. This gives the following commutative diagram of exact rows and columns:


Now the claim follows from this diagram.

Let $M^{\prime}$ be a closed simply-connected topological 4-manifold which realizes the nonsingular symmetric form $\lambda_{G \otimes}^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$. We can form in an obvious way the connected sum $M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime}$. The manifold $M^{\prime}$ has the homotopy type of a wedge of $r 2$-spheres with a top cell attached, i.e., $M^{\prime} \simeq\left(\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \cup_{\theta} D^{4}$, where $[\theta] \in \pi_{3}\left(\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$ corresponds to $\lambda_{G \otimes_{1} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ under the identification $\pi_{3}\left(\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)=\Gamma\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Here $\Gamma(A)$ denotes Whitehead's quadratic functor of the Abelian group $A$ (see [20]). The 3 -skeleton of $M_{1}$ is, up to homotopy, $M_{1}^{(3)}=P^{(3)} \bigvee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=P^{(3)} \vee\left(\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$. Now we will construct a map
$g: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ of degree 1 . Let $\beta=\bigvee_{1}^{r} e_{i}:\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}=\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2} \rightarrow M$ be the above given basis. The degree 1 property of $f$ defines a splitting of $f^{*}$ as follows:


So there are well-defined elements $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r} \in H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $u_{i} \cap e_{j}=\delta_{i j}$, and $(\bigcap[P])^{-1} f_{*}\left(u_{i} \cap[M]\right)=0$ (or equivalently, $f_{*}\left(u_{i} \cap[M]\right)=0$ ). The product

$$
u_{1} \times \cdots \times u_{r}: M \rightarrow \prod_{1}^{r} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}
$$

restricts to a map $g: M^{(3)} \rightarrow \bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}=\left(\prod_{1}^{r} \mathbb{C} P^{\infty}\right)^{(2)}$.
Let $M^{*}=\left(\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}\right) \cup_{\alpha^{*}} D^{4}$, where $\alpha^{*}: \mathbb{S}^{3} \rightarrow \bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}$ is the restriction of $g$ to the boundary sphere of $M^{(3)}$. Then $g$ extends to a map $M \rightarrow M^{*}$, also denoted by $g$. It is obvious that $H_{4}\left(M^{*} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, hence we put $\left[M^{*}\right]=g_{*}([M])$. We identify $\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}=\left(M^{*}\right)^{(3)}$. Furthermore, we denote by $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{r}^{*} \in H_{2}\left(M^{*} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ the canonically given basis and by $u_{1}^{*}, \ldots, u_{r}^{*}$ its dual in $H^{2}\left(M^{*} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. By construction, $g^{*}\left(u_{i}^{*}\right)=u_{i}$, and $\beta_{*}\left(e_{j}^{*}\right)=e_{j}$, for any $i, j=1, \ldots, r$. So we have

$$
\left(u_{i}^{*} \cup u_{j}^{*}\right) \cap\left[M^{*}\right]=\left(g^{*} u_{i}^{*} \cup g^{*} u_{j}^{*}\right) \cap[M]=\left(u_{i} \cup u_{j}\right) \cap[M]
$$

by identifying $H_{0}\left(M^{*} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{0}(M ; \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $M^{*}$ is a Poincaré complex with the same intersection matrix as $M^{\prime}$, i.e., $M^{*}$ is homotopy equivalent to $M^{\prime}$.

Lemma 2.3. There is a degree 1 map $g: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\bigvee_{1}^{r} \mathbb{S}^{2}=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\beta} M \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} M^{\prime}
$$

is homotopic to the inclusion, and

$$
\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\beta} M \xrightarrow{f} P
$$

is homotopic to the constant map.
Proof. Using the above notation we have

$$
u_{i}^{*} \cap g_{*} \beta_{*}\left(e_{j}^{*}\right)=g^{*}\left(u_{i}^{*}\right) \cap e_{j}=u_{i} \cap e_{j}=\delta_{i j}
$$

hence $\left\{u_{i}^{*}: i=1, \ldots, r\right\}$ is the Hom-dual basis of $\left\{g_{*} \beta_{*}\left(e_{j}^{*}\right): j=1, \ldots, r\right\}$. So we have $g_{*} \beta_{*}\left(e_{j}^{*}\right)=e_{j}^{*}$, for any $j=1, \ldots, r$. Therefore, the composition map $g \circ \beta:\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)} \rightarrow$
$\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Since $f_{*} \beta_{*}\left(e_{i}^{*}\right)=f_{*}\left(e_{i}\right)=0$, the composition map $f \circ \beta$ is homotopic to the constant map.

## 3. The homotopy type of $M^{(3)}$

Let $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ be, as before, a $\Lambda$-free submodule such that $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \rightarrow G^{*}$ is an isomorphism. Thus we have a Poincaré complex $P$ of dimension 4, and a degree 1 map $f: M \rightarrow P$ with $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(M) \cong \pi_{1}(P)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{*}: \pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(P)\right) \cong G$.

Remark. Instead of the above hypothesis one could start with a degree 1 map $f: M \rightarrow$ $P$ such that $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(P)$. The difference with the above assumption is that $\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{*}: \pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(P)\right)$ is only stably $\Lambda$-free. The proofs go through under this weaker assumption.

For the following it is convenient to recall the natural exact sequence of Whitehead for a CW-complex $X$ (see [20]):

$$
H_{4}(X ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\Pi_{2}(X)\right) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Pi_{3}(X) \rightarrow H_{3}(X ; \Lambda) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Recall that $\Gamma(A)$ is the quadratic functor defined on Abelian groups $A$. If $A$ is a $\Lambda$-module, then $\Gamma(A)$ inherits from $A$ a $\Lambda$-module structure. So $\Gamma\left(\pi_{2}(X)\right)$ is a $\Lambda$-module. It is well known that there is a natural identification

$$
\Gamma\left(\pi_{2}(X)\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{3}\left(X^{(2)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{3}\left(X^{(3)}\right)\right) .
$$

The homomorphism $\rho$ is induced from $\pi_{3}\left(X^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{3}(X)$, and $\pi_{3}(X) \rightarrow H_{3}(X ; \Lambda)$ is the Hurewicz homomorphism.

Lemma 3.1. The induced homomorphisms of the map $f: M \rightarrow P$ satisfy the following properties:
(a) $f_{*}: \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right)$ is split surjective; and
(b) $f_{*}: \pi_{3}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{3}\left(P^{(3)}\right)$ is surjective.

Proof. (a) follows from the degree 1 property of the map $f$. Recall from Section 2 that $f_{*}: H_{3}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{3}(P ; \Lambda)$ is an isomorphism. From the diagram

we get that $f_{*}: H_{3}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(P^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)$ is an isomorphism. Then property (b) follows from the following diagram of Whitehead's sequences

since $f_{* *}$ is induced from the split-surjective homomorphism

$$
f_{*}: \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right)
$$

Note that $\Gamma$ satisfies $\Gamma(A \oplus B) \cong \Gamma(A) \oplus \Gamma(B) \oplus(A \otimes B)$.

## Corollary 3.2.

(a) $f_{*}: \pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(P)$ is split surjective; and
(b) $f_{*}: \pi_{3}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{3}(P)$ is surjective.

Since $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(P)$ is an isomorphism, there is a map $\alpha: P^{(2)} \rightarrow M^{(2)}$ such that

$$
(f \circ \alpha)_{*}=i_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(P^{(2)}\right) \underset{\cong}{\cong} \pi_{1}(P)
$$

where $i: P^{(2)} \rightarrow P$ is the inclusion.

Lemma 3.3. The map $\alpha: P^{(2)} \rightarrow M^{(2)}$ extends to a map over the 3-skeleton (still denoted by $\alpha$ ) such that

$$
f_{*} \circ \alpha_{*}=i_{*}: \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(P)
$$

where $i: P^{(3)} \rightarrow P$ is the inclusion.

Proof. The difference cochain construction defines a bijection of the set of homotopy classes of extensions of $\left.\alpha\right|_{P^{(1)}}$ with $C^{2}\left(\widetilde{P}, \pi_{2}(M)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(C_{2}(\widetilde{P}), \pi_{2}(M)\right)$. Here $\widetilde{X}$ denotes the universal covering space of $X$ as usual. Let $d=d(f \circ \alpha$, inclusion $) \in$ $C^{2}\left(\widetilde{P}, \pi_{2}(P)\right)$ be the difference cochain between the composition $f \circ \alpha$ and the inclusion map $i: P^{(2)} \rightarrow P$. Since $f_{*}: \pi_{2}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(P)$ is surjective and $C_{2}(\widetilde{P})$ is $\Lambda$-free, the induced homomorphism $C^{2}\left(\widetilde{P}, \pi_{2}(M)\right) \rightarrow C^{2}\left(\widetilde{P}, \pi_{2}(P)\right)$ is surjective. Therefore, we can lift $d$ to an element $\tilde{d} \in C^{2}\left(\widetilde{P}, \pi_{2}(M)\right)$. Changing $\alpha$ by $\tilde{d}$ defines a map $\alpha^{\prime}: P^{(2)} \rightarrow M$ such that $f \circ \alpha^{\prime}: P^{(2)} \rightarrow P$ is homotopic to the inclusion. We are going to denote $\alpha^{\prime}$ by $\alpha$. Now, let $\omega \in H^{3}\left(P ; \pi_{2}(M)\right)$ be the obstruction to extending $\alpha$ over the 3 -skeleta. The natural homomorphism

$$
H^{3}\left(P ; \pi_{2}(M)\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(P ; \pi_{2}(P)\right)
$$

maps $\omega$ to the obstruction to extending $f \circ \alpha \simeq i: P^{(2)} \rightarrow P$ over $P^{(3)}$, so it is zero. But we have isomorphisms $\pi_{2}(M) \cong \pi_{2}(P) \oplus G$ and $G \cong \bigoplus_{1}^{r} \Lambda$, hence $H^{3}\left(P ; \pi_{2}(M)\right) \cong H^{3}\left(P ; \pi_{2}(P)\right)$ because $H^{3}(P ; G) \cong H_{1}(P ; G) \cong 0$. Therefore, $\omega=0$
and $\alpha$ extends over $P^{(3)}$. Now again, since $f_{*}: \pi_{3}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{3}(P)$ is surjective, the difference cochain construction applies to give the desired map

$$
\alpha: P^{(3)} \rightarrow M .
$$

Addendum to Lemma 3.3. The map $f \circ \alpha: P^{(3)} \rightarrow P$ is homotopic to the inclusion $i$, hence it extends to a map $\Theta: P \rightarrow P$ of degree 1, i.e., $\left.\Theta\right|_{P^{(3)}}=f \circ \alpha$. So we have the following diagrams:

and


The maps $f: M \rightarrow P$ and $g: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ give rise to a map

$$
\psi=\left.(f \times g)\right|_{M^{(2)}}: M^{(2)} \rightarrow\left(P \times M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=P^{(2)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=M_{1}^{(2)} .
$$

We will extend $\psi$ over the 3-skeleton to a map, also denoted by $\psi$, and show that

$$
\alpha \vee \beta: P^{(3)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}=M_{1}^{(3)} \rightarrow M^{(3)}
$$

is a homotopy inverse.
First we note that the compositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\psi} M_{1}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{c} P^{(2)} \xrightarrow{i} P, \\
& M^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\psi} M_{1}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{c^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)} \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} M^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\beta} M^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\psi} M_{1}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{c^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}
$$

are equal to $\left.f\right|_{M^{(2)}},\left.g\right|_{M^{(2)}}$, and $\mathrm{Id}_{\left.\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}\right)}$, respectively.
Here $c: M_{1}^{(2)}=P^{(2)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)} \rightarrow P^{(2)}$ and $c^{\prime}: M_{1}^{(2)} \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}$ are the projections, and $i$ and $i^{\prime}$ are the canonical inclusions.

Lemma 3.4. The map $\psi: M^{(2)} \rightarrow M_{1}^{(2)}$ extends to a map (still denoted by $\left.\psi\right) \psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow$ $M_{1}^{(3)}$ such that the composition

$$
c \circ \psi: M^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\psi} M_{1}^{(3)} \xrightarrow{c} P^{(3)}
$$

is homotopic to $\left.f\right|_{M^{(3)}}: M^{(3)} \rightarrow P^{(3)}$.

Proof. Since $\pi_{2}(M) \cong \pi_{2}(P) \oplus G$ and $G \cong \bigoplus_{1}^{r} \Lambda$, the induced homomorphism $H^{3}\left(M ; \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(M ; \pi_{2}(P)\right)$ is an isomorphism. The obstruction for extending $\psi$ maps to the obstruction for extending $\left.i \circ c \circ \psi \simeq f\right|_{M^{(2)}}$, under this isomorphism. So it is zero, and $\psi$ extends over $M^{(3)}$. The extensions are classified by equivariant chain maps

$$
C_{3}\left(\tilde{M}^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)
$$

i.e., by elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(C_{3}\left(\tilde{M}^{(3)}\right), \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)\right)$. Let $d \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(C_{3}\left(\tilde{M}^{(3)}\right), \pi_{3}\left(P^{(3)}\right)\right)$ be the difference cochain of $\left.f\right|_{M^{(3)}}$ and $c \circ \psi$. Since $c_{*}: \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{3}\left(P^{(3)}\right)$ is surjective (same proof as for Lemma 3.1(b)), we can lift $d$ to an element $\tilde{d} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(C_{3}\left(\tilde{M}^{(3)}\right), \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)\right)$. Changing $\psi$ by $\tilde{d}$ gives the desired extension.

We note that the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\beta} M^{(3)} \xrightarrow{\psi} M_{1}^{(3)} \xrightarrow{c^{\prime}}\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is still homotopic to $\left.\mathrm{Id}\right|_{\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}}$.
Lemma 3.5. The induced homomorphism $\psi_{*}: \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)$ is surjective.
Proof. The composition

$$
\pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \xrightarrow{(\alpha \bigvee \beta)_{*}} \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{*}} \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)
$$

defines a homomorphism

$$
\pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \oplus\left(\pi_{2}\left(\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \oplus\left(\pi_{2}\left(\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda\right)
$$

Note that all maps are $\Lambda$-homomorphisms. Since

$$
\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\beta} M^{(3)} \xrightarrow{f} P^{(3)}
$$

is homotopic to zero (see Lemma 2.3), it follows from $(*)$ that an element $(0, b) \in$ $\pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \oplus\left(\pi_{2}\left(\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda\right)$ maps to $(0, b)$. An element

$$
(a, 0) \in \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \oplus\left(\pi_{2}\left(\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda\right)
$$

goes to the element $(a, \chi(a))$ by Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4 , where $\chi$ is the composite homomorphism

$$
\pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}} \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{*}} \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { proj }} \pi_{2}\left(\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(2)}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda
$$

Therefore, $(\alpha \vee \beta)_{*} \circ \psi_{*}$ is surjective; in fact, it is an isomorphism. Hence

$$
\psi_{*}: \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)
$$

is surjective.

## Lemma 3.6. The induced homomorphism

$$
\psi_{*}: \pi_{2}\left(M^{(3)}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Lemma 3.4 gives the following diagram

where $K_{2}(f, \Lambda)$ and $K_{2}(c, \Lambda)$ denote the kernels of $f_{*}$ and $c_{*}$, respectively. Note that they are $\Lambda$-free. Therefore, the surjective homomorphism

$$
\psi_{*}: H_{2}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)
$$

induces a surjective homomorphism

$$
\left.\psi_{*}\right|_{K_{2}(f, \Lambda)}: K_{2}(f, \Lambda) \rightarrow K_{2}(c, \Lambda)
$$

and

$$
K_{2}(f, \Lambda) \cong K_{2}(c, \Lambda) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\psi_{*}\right|_{K_{2}(f, \Lambda)}\right) .
$$

But we have isomorphisms

$$
K_{2}(f, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_{1}^{r} \mathbb{Z} \cong K_{2}(c, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

hence

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\psi_{*}\right|_{K_{2}(f, \Lambda)}\right) \cong 0
$$

Now the claim follows from the above diagram.
We can now state the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.7. Let $M$ be a closed connected topological 4-manifold with a CW -structure so that $M=M^{(3)} \cup_{\varphi} D^{4}$. Suppose that $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ is a $\Lambda$-free submodule of rank $r$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \rightarrow G^{*}$ is an isomorphism. Then there are a Poincaré complex $P$, a degree 1 map $f: M \rightarrow P$ with $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(P)$ and $K_{2}(f, \Lambda)=G$, a closed simply-connected topological 4-manifold $M^{\prime}$ with $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$, and a homotopy equivalence $\psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow P^{(3)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$.

Proof. It remains to prove that $\psi$ is a homotopy equivalence. By Lemma 3.6 this follows once we have proved that $\psi_{*}: H_{3}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)$ is an isomorphism. Since $f: M \rightarrow P$ and $c: M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ (the "projection" onto $P$ ) are of degree 1 and $c_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(P)$ is an isomorphism, we obtain isomorphisms $f_{*}: H_{3}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow$
$H_{3}(P ; \Lambda)$ and $c_{*}: H_{3}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}(P ; \Lambda)$ (see Section 2). Now the claim follows from the diagram

and $c_{*} \circ \psi_{*}=f_{*}: H_{3}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(P^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)$ (by Lemma 3.4). Therefore $M$ and $P \# M^{\prime}$ have the same 3-type (see [16]).

## 4. Extending $\psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow M_{1}^{(\mathbf{3})}$

In this section we will show that the obstruction to extending $\psi$ to a homotopy equivalence (still denoted by $\psi$ ), $\psi: M \rightarrow M_{1}$, is detected by the intersection form $\lambda_{M}^{\Lambda}: H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \times H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda$. Let us first recall it. If $X$ is a 4-dimensional Poincaré complex, then the cup product defines a map

$$
H^{2}(X ; \Lambda) \otimes H^{2}(X ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^{4}\left(X ; \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda\right) \xrightarrow{\cap[X]} H_{0}\left(X ; \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda\right) \cong \Lambda
$$

Choosing the $\Lambda$-module structures as in [19], it is $\Lambda$-linear in the first component and anti-$\Lambda$-linear in the second one (by using the canonical anti-involution of $\Lambda$ ). The intersection form $\lambda_{X}^{\Lambda}$ is obtained from this by passing to $H_{2}(X ; \Lambda) \otimes H_{2}(X ; \Lambda)$ via Poincaré duality. We will identify $\lambda_{X}^{\Lambda}$ with the cup product. By our main result of Section 3 we have that the first $k$-invariants $k_{M}$ and $k_{M_{1}}$ of $M$ and $M_{1}$, respectively, are the same. In fact, $\psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow M_{1}^{(3)}$ defines an isomorphism of the algebraic 2-types $\left[\pi_{1}(M), \pi_{2}(M), k_{M}\right]$ and $\left[\pi_{1}\left(M_{1}\right), \pi_{2}\left(M_{1}\right), k_{M_{1}}\right]$. In other words, we have a 2-stage Postnikov system $p: D \rightarrow$ $B \pi_{1}$, and maps $\varphi: M \rightarrow D$ and $\varphi_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow D$ inducing isomorphisms on $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. Note that $\widetilde{D}=K\left(\pi_{2}, 2\right)$ and $\Gamma\left(\pi_{2}\right)=H_{4}(D ; \Lambda)$. There is a natural map

$$
F: H_{4}(D ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\bar{\Lambda}}\left(H^{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes H^{2}(D ; \Lambda), \Lambda\right)
$$

defined by $F(z)(x \otimes y):=(x \cup y) \cap z$. As above, it is $\Lambda$-linear in the first component, and anti- $\Lambda$-linear (i.e., $\bar{\Lambda}$-linear) in the second one. We can identify $\lambda_{M}^{\Lambda}$ and $\lambda_{M_{1}}^{\Lambda}$ with $F\left(\varphi_{*}[M]\right)$ and $F\left(\left(\varphi_{1}\right)_{*}\left[M_{1}\right]\right)$, respectively. The map $F$ can be defined on the chain level by using an equivariant chain approximation to the diagonal

$$
\delta: C_{*}(\widetilde{D}) \rightarrow C_{*}(\widetilde{D}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C_{*}(\widetilde{D})
$$

If $w \in C_{4}(\widetilde{D})$ represents $z$, and $a$ and $b$ represent $x$ and $y$, respectively, then $F$ is induced from

$$
\bar{F}(w)(a, b):=\sum a\left(w^{\prime}\right) \overline{b\left(w^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

where $\delta(w)=\sum w^{\prime} \otimes w^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, the map $F$ factorizes over the canonical map

$$
H_{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{2}(D ; \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\bar{\Lambda}}\left(H^{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes H^{2}(D ; \Lambda), \Lambda\right)
$$

defined by $\varepsilon\left(z_{1} \otimes z_{2}\right)(x \otimes y):=\left\langle x, z_{1}\right\rangle \overline{\left\langle y, z_{2}\right\rangle}$. We will prove that the obstruction for extending $\psi$ belongs to $H_{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{2}(D ; \Lambda)$. We first note that, as a space, $D$ can be obtained from $M$ by attaching cells of dimension $q \geqslant 4$. So we can identify

$$
H_{2}(D ; \Lambda)=H_{2}\left(D^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)=H_{2}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\psi_{*}} H_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) .
$$

The Poincaré complex $M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime}$ is obtained from $M_{1}^{(3)} \simeq P^{(3)} \vee\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{(3)}$ by attaching one 4-cell $D_{1}^{4}$ along $\left[\partial D_{1}^{4}\right] \in \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)$. Similarly, $M$ is obtained from $M^{(3)}$ by attaching a 4-cell $D^{4}$ along $\left[\partial D^{4}\right] \in \pi_{3}\left(M^{(3)}\right)$. The obstruction to extending $\psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow M_{1}^{(3)}$ belongs to

$$
H^{4}\left(M ; \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}\right)\right) \cong H_{0}\left(M ; \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}\right)\right) \cong \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

Obviously, it is equal to

$$
i_{*} \psi_{*}\left[\partial D^{4}\right] \otimes_{\Lambda} 1,
$$

where $i: M_{1}^{(3)} \rightarrow M_{1}$ is the inclusion map. We prefer to analyze the element

$$
\psi_{*}\left[\partial D^{4}\right] \otimes_{\Lambda} 1-\left[\partial D_{1}^{4}\right] \otimes_{\Lambda} 1=\xi \in \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}
$$

or even more

$$
\tilde{\xi}=\psi_{*}\left[\partial D^{4}\right]-\left[\partial D_{1}^{4}\right] \in \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)
$$

Obviously, $\tilde{\xi}=0$ implies the vanishing of the obstruction. To state the next lemma we recall that

$$
\Gamma\left(\pi_{2}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right)\right)=\Gamma\left(\pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right)\right) \oplus \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \otimes G \oplus \Gamma(G) \subset \pi_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)}\right) .
$$

Lemma 4.1. The element $\tilde{\xi}$ belongs to $\pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \otimes G \oplus \Gamma(G)$.

Proof. The claim follows immediately from the following diagrams of Whitehead's sequences:

and


The vertical maps are induced by the map $f: M \rightarrow P$ and the collapsing map $c: P \# M^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $P$. The morphisms from the last to the first rows are derived from the map $\psi: M^{(3)} \rightarrow$ $M_{1}^{(3)}$, constructed in Section 3. The isomorphisms $H_{3}\left(M^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(P^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)$ and $H_{3}\left(M_{1}^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}\left(P^{(3)} ; \Lambda\right)$ are induced by the isomorphisms $H_{3}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow H_{3}(P ; \Lambda)$ and $H_{3}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{3}(P ; \Lambda)$, respectively, as explained in Section 3.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 of [9] that $\Gamma(G) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \subset G \otimes_{\Lambda} G$. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. There is a well-defined element $\xi \in \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} G \oplus G \otimes_{\Lambda} G$ which vanishing implies the extension of $\psi$.

As always, tensor products of right (left-) $\Lambda$-modules over $\Lambda$ are formed by using the canonical anti-involution of $\Lambda$.

Let us write $\xi=\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}$, where $\xi_{1} \in \pi_{2}\left(P^{(3)}\right) \otimes_{\Lambda} G$ and $\xi_{2} \in G \otimes_{\Lambda} G$.
Lemma 4.3. If $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \otimes G \rightarrow \Lambda$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, then $\xi_{2}=0$.
Proof. Under the homomorphism

$$
\varepsilon: H_{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{2}(D ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\bar{\Lambda}}\left(H^{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes H^{2}(D ; \Lambda), \Lambda\right)
$$

the element $\xi_{2}$ maps to the difference of $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}$ and the restriction of the pairing $\lambda_{M_{1}}^{\Lambda}: H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \times H_{2}\left(M_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \Lambda$ to $G$. But $\lambda_{M_{1}}^{\Lambda}$ restricted to $G$ is the $\Lambda$-extension of $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see Lemma 2.2). It is now obvious that $G \otimes_{\Lambda} G \subset H_{2}(D ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{2}(D ; \Lambda)$ and $\left.\varepsilon\right|_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} G}$ is injective. The claim now follows.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that $H^{2}\left(B \pi_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \cong 0$. Then we have $\xi_{1}=0$.
Proof. Recall the exact sequence (see [1])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{2}\left(B \pi_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{2}(X ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(H_{2}(X ; \Lambda), \Lambda\right) \\
& \rightarrow H^{3}\left(B \pi_{1} ; \Lambda\right)
\end{aligned} \rightarrow H^{3}(X ; \Lambda),
$$

where $X$ can be $P, D, M$, or $M_{1}$. Applied to $P$, we obtain

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(H_{2}(P ; \Lambda), \Lambda\right)
$$

By Poincaré duality we get that the canonical map $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda), \Lambda\right)$ is injective. Since $G \cong \bigoplus_{1}^{r} \Lambda$, we obtain an injection

$$
H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} G \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda), G\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{T} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\bar{\Lambda}}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes G^{*}, \Lambda\right) .
$$

Here the isomorphism

$$
T: \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda), G\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\bar{\Lambda}}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes G^{*}, \Lambda\right)
$$

is defined by

$$
T(\eta)(x \otimes y):=\overline{y(\eta(x))} .
$$

The composition

$$
H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} G \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda-\bar{\Lambda}}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes G^{*}, \Lambda\right)
$$

is the restriction of $\varepsilon$, hence $\left.\varepsilon\right|_{H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} G}$ is injective. On the other hand, $\varepsilon\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ is the difference of the intersection $\Lambda$-forms (cup products) on $H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes G^{*}$. But for both intersection $\Lambda$-forms, $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda)$ and $G$ are orthogonal submodules. Therefore, $\varepsilon\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0$, hence $\xi_{1}=0$.

So far we have used the intersection $\Lambda$-form to detect the obstruction. The next lemma gives an example where the integral intersection form detects $\xi_{1}$.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda)$ is $\Lambda$-trivial (in the sense of Theorem $A$, part (2)) and without torsion, that is, $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{1}^{s} \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $\xi_{1}=0$.

Proof. By hypothesis, there is an isomorphism

$$
H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} G \cong H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right),
$$

and the map

$$
\varepsilon: H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H^{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes\left(G^{*} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is injective. As above, $\varepsilon\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ is the difference of the integral intersection forms (cup products) restricted to $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. But $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda)$ and $G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ are orthogonal with respect to both intersection forms. Hence we have $\varepsilon\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0$, which implies that $\xi_{1}=0$. See also [11] for other results.

Example. Let $F$ be a closed connected aspherical surface. If $P=F \times \mathbb{S}^{2}$, then $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $\pi_{1}(M) \cong \pi_{1}(F)$. It was shown in [4] that there exists a degree 1 map $f: M \rightarrow$ $P$ such that $f_{*}: \pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(P)$ is an isomorphism. Let $G=\operatorname{Ker}\left(f_{*}: H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) \rightarrow\right.$ $H_{2}(P ; \Lambda)$ ). Then $M$ is homotopy equivalent to $P \# M^{\prime}$ if and only if $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Summarizing we have proved the following result.

Theorem 4.6. Let $M^{4}$ be a closed connected oriented topological 4-manifold with a CW -decomposition and $\pi_{1}(M)$ infinite. Suppose $M=M^{(3)} \cup_{\varphi} D^{4}$, and let $G \subset H_{2}(M ; \Lambda)$ be a $\Lambda$-free submodule so that $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \times G \rightarrow \Lambda$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $H^{2}\left(B \pi_{1} ; \Lambda\right) \cong$ 0 or $H_{2}(M ; \Lambda) / G$ is a $\Lambda$-trivial module, then $M$ is homotopy equivalent to a connected sum $P \# M^{\prime}$, where $P$ is a Poincaré 4 -complex with $\pi_{1}(P) \cong \pi_{1}(M)$ and $M^{\prime}$ is a closed simply-connected topological 4-manifold with $H_{2}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. If $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, then $\hat{\lambda}_{G}^{\Lambda}: G \rightarrow G^{*}$ is an isomorphism. So by previous lemmata there is an extension $\psi: M \rightarrow M_{1}=P \# M^{\prime}$. Since $\pi_{1}(M)$ is infinite, the map $\psi$ is of degree 1 . This implies that $\psi$ is a homotopy equivalence.

## 5. Application of surgery theory and proof of Theorem A

We assume that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is a good fundamental group (see, for example, [7]) and $w_{2}\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$. Hence, for a $\Lambda$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ of $G$, we have trivializations

$$
t_{i}: e_{i}^{*}\left(\nu_{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2} \times D^{N-4},
$$

where $\nu_{M}$ is the normal bundle of $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. By using the $t_{i}$ 's we obtain the bundle $\nu_{P}$ over $P$ and a canonical bundle map $b: \nu_{M} \rightarrow \nu_{P}$ over $f: M \rightarrow P$.

Remark. Since $M$ is orientable, the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $v_{M}$ coincides with that of $M$.

The degree 1 normal map $(f, b)$ has a surgery obstruction $\sigma(f, b) \in L_{4}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$. It is represented by $\left(G, \lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}, \mu_{G}^{\Lambda}\right.$ ), where $\mu_{G}^{\Lambda}$ is the self-intersection number defined by the $t_{i}$ 's (see [19, Chapter 5], for more details). The trivializations $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}$ are also used in [19] to define the intersection numbers geometrically. However, they coincide with the algebraic definition via cup product and Poincaré duality. Let us assume that $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let the signature of $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be zero. Then we find a basis of $G$ of type $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}, v_{s}\right\}, 2 s=r$, with $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)=1$, and $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}(x, y)=0$ otherwise. It follows from the relations between $\lambda_{G}^{\Lambda}$ and $\mu_{G}^{\Lambda}$ (see [19, Theorem 5.2]) that $\mu_{G}^{\Lambda}\left(u_{i}\right)=\mu_{G}^{\Lambda}\left(v_{i}\right)=0$. Since $\pi_{1}(M)$ is good, surgeries on $\left\{u_{1}, v_{1}, u_{2}, v_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}, v_{s}\right\}$ can be performed to get a homotopy equivalence $f^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$. If the signature of $\lambda_{G \otimes_{1} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is not zero, then we can form the connected sum of the normal map $f: M \rightarrow P$ with an appropriate degree 1 normal map $f^{\prime \prime}: M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{4}$ to get the above situation.

In summary, we have proved the following result which completes the proof of Theorem A.

Theorem 5.1. If $w_{2}\left(G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$ and $\lambda_{G}^{A}$ is extended from $\lambda_{G \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, then there is a degree 1 normal map $\bar{f}: \bar{M} \rightarrow P$ with trivial surgery obstruction. If $\pi_{1}(P) \cong \pi_{1}(M)$ is good, then there is a closed connected topological 4-manifold homotopy equivalent to $P$.
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