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#### Abstract

Let $X^{n}$ be an oriented closed generalized $n$-manifold, $n \geq 5$. In our recent paper (Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 63 (2020), no. 2, 597-607), we have constructed a map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$which extends the normal invariant map for the case when $X^{n}$ is a topological $n$-manifold. Here, $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right)$ denotes the set of all normal bordism classes of degree one normal maps $(f, b): M^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$, and $H_{*}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{E}\right)$ denotes the Steenrod homology of the spectrum $\mathbb{E}$. An important non-trivial question arose whether the map $t$ is bijective (note that this holds in the case when $X^{n}$ is a topological $n$-manifold). It is the purpose of this paper to prove that the answer to this question is affirmative.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, $n$ will denote an integer $\geq 5$. A generalized manifold $X^{n}$ (without boundary) of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Euclidean neighbourhood retract (ENR) (i.e. $X^{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional locally compact separable metrizable absolute neighbourhood retract (ANR)), satisfying the local Poincaré duality (i.e. the local homology of $X^{n}$ is like that of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ).

In this paper, we shall consider only oriented connected compact generalized manifolds. Clearly, every oriented closed (i.e. connected, compact and without boundary) topological manifold is such a space (cf. Cavicchioli, Hegenbarth and Repovš [3]).

[^0]For every generalized $n$-manifold $X^{n}$, there exists an embedding $\varphi: X^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, for a sufficiently large $m \geq n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the boundary $\partial N^{m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of a neighbourhood $N^{m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of $\varphi\left(X^{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is homotopy equivalent to a spherical fibration $\nu_{X^{n}}$, called the Spivak fibration, with fibre homotopy equivalent to $S^{m-n-1}$ (cf. Browder [1]). We shall consider only the oriented case and we shall denote also its classifying map by $\nu_{X^{n}}: X^{n} \rightarrow B S G$.

A systematic construction of generalized manifolds was given by Bryant, Ferry, Mio and Weinberger [2] (for a comprehensive treatment see Cavicchioli, Hegenbarth and Repovš [3] and Hegenbarth and Repovš [8], and the references therein). It was proved by Ferry and Pedersen [6] that there is a canonical lift $\xi_{0}: X^{n} \rightarrow B S T O P$ of $\nu_{X^{n}}$, i.e. the composition $X^{n} \xrightarrow{\xi_{0}} B S T O P \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} B S G$ is homotopic to $\nu_{X^{n}}$. It gives rise to the canonical surgery problem, denoted by $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$, via the Pontryagin-Thom construction.

Here, $f_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$ is a degree one map, where $M_{0}^{n}$ is a closed topological $n$-manifold and $b_{0}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \rightarrow \xi_{0}$ is a bundle map, covering the map $f_{0}$ (by slightly abusing the notation, we shall denote by $\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$ also the stable normal $\mathbb{R}^{m-n}$-bundle of an embedding $M_{0}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, not just its associated spherical fibration). The canonical surgery problem $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ is unique up to normal bordism.

Let us denote the set of all normal bordism classes of normal degree one maps $(f, b)$ by $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right)$, where $f: M^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$ is a map of degree one, $b: \nu_{M^{n}} \rightarrow \xi$ is a bundle map covering $f$, and $\xi: X^{n} \rightarrow B S T O P$ is a TOP-reduction of $\nu_{X^{n}}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{J} \circ \xi$ is homotopic to $\nu_{X^{n}}$ ).

In the case when $X^{n}$ is a closed $n$-manifold, one associates with $(f, b)$ and element in $H_{n}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$, where $\mathbb{L}^{+}=\mathbb{L}<1>$ is the (semi-simplicial) connected surgery spectrum (cf. Kühl, Macko and Mole [12], Nicas [17], and Ranicki [20, Chapter 18]).

In the case when $X^{n}$ is a topological $n$-manifold, this element in $H_{n}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$is obtained by decomposing $(f, b)$ into adic pieces, using a transversality structure on the manifold $X^{n}$ (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 16]). This defines a map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$which is bijective. The image of $(f, b)$ is called the normal invariant of the normal degree one $\operatorname{map}(f, b)$.

This construction does not carry over to generalized manifolds $X^{n}$. If $X^{n}$ is not homotopy equivalent to a topological $n$-manifold, there is no transversality structure on $X^{n}$. Moreover, what does $\mathbb{L}^{+}$-homology mean in the class of compact ENR's? In our recent paper, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Hegenbarth-Repovš [9, Theorem 5.1]). Let $X^{n}$ be an oriented closed generalized $n$-manifold, $n \geq 5$. Then one can construct a map

$$
t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

which extends the normal invariant map in the case when $X^{n}$ is a topological n-manifold.
Here, $H_{*}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{E}\right)$ denotes the Steenrod homology of the spectrum $\mathbb{E}$. We refer to Ferry [5], Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10], and Milnor [15] for the construction and properties.

As it was already pointed out above, the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$in Theorem 1.1 is bijective for topological $n$-manifolds $X^{n}$. Therefore, it is very natural
to ask if perhaps bijectivity of $t$ also holds for generalized $n$-manifolds $X^{n}$ ? The main goal of the present paper is to show that the answer to this question is affirmative.

Theorem 1.2. Let $X^{n}$ be an oriented closed generalized $n$-manifold, $n \geq 5$. Then the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$in Theorem 1.1 is also a bijection.

We outline the plan how we shall prove Theorem 1.2. In § 2, we shall recall the construction of the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$from Hegenbarth and Repovš [9]. In $\S 3$, we shall prove that the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$is the composition of maps in the following commutative diagram


There are canonical identifications of $\mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right)$ with $H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$and $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right)$ with $H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$such that $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right)$ corresponds to

$$
\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}: H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

A precise definition will be given at the beginning of $\S 3$.
Here, $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ is the canonical surgery problem mentioned above. It is well known that the composed map

$$
H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{t_{0}} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

is equal to the following composition of isomorphisms

$$
H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{S D} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right),
$$

where $T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right)$ denotes the Thom space of the normal bundle of an embedding $M_{0}^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and the first map is the Thom isomorphism. The second map $S D$ denotes the $S$-duality (i.e. the Spanier-Whitehead duality) isomorphism (cf. Kühl, Macko, and Mole [12, Chapter 14, p.259] and Ranicki [20, Chapter 17, p.193]).

The same isomorphisms hold for $X^{n}$ (cf. Ranicki [20, Proposition 16.1 (v), p.175],

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

where we assume $X^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, and the existence of the isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\cong} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

follows from Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Theorem B, p.205].

Finally, in $\S 4$, we shall show that since $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ is a normal degree one map, the following diagram commutes (cf. diagram 4.1 in § 4)

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{S D} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \\
&\left(f_{0}\right)^{*} \mid\left(T\left(b_{0}\right)\right)^{*} \mid  \tag{1.2}\\
& H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \longrightarrow\left(f_{0}\right)_{*} \\
& \widetilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{S D} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The bottom isomorphism is therefore equal to the composite map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{t_{0}} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{0}\right)_{*}} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) .
$$

Now the commutativity of diagram (1.1) implies that the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$ is indeed bijective, as asserted in Theorem 1.2. Details will be given in the forthcoming sections.

Remark 1.3. In the epilogue (cf. §5), we shall give an outlook for comparing the exact sequence of a map $q: X^{n} \rightarrow B$, where $B$ is a compact metric space, with the controlled surgery sequence, determined by the map $q$ (cf. Bryant, Ferry, Mio and Weinberger [2]). We are grateful to the referee for suggesting to also include a discussion of this interesting problem.

## 2. Construction of the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$

We recall the construction of the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$from Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Section 4]. So let us fix an oriented closed generalized $n$-manifold $X^{n}$ of dimension $n \geq 5$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a covering of $X^{n}$ by open sets, we denote its nerve by $N(\mathcal{U})$. If the covering $\mathcal{U}^{\prime} \prec \mathcal{U}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}$, then there is a simplicial map $s: N\left(\mathcal{U}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{U})$.

Proposition 2.1. There exists a sequence of open coverings $\left\{\mathcal{U}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the following properties:
(a) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{U}_{j+1} \prec \mathcal{U}_{j}$, and there exists a simplicial map $s_{j}: N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}\right) \rightarrow N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right)$;
(b) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist maps $\varphi_{j}: X^{n} \rightarrow N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right), \psi_{j}: N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right) \rightarrow X^{n}$ such that $\psi_{j} \circ \varphi_{j}: X^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$ is an $\varepsilon_{j}$-equivalence, where $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{j}=0$;
(c) ${\underset{\lim }{j}}^{\rightleftarrows} N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right)=X^{n}$; and
(d) the following diagram is homotopy commutative


Proof. See Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Sections 2 and 3] for verification of properties (a), (b), (d), and Milnor [15, Lemma 2] for property (c).

Let $M\left(s_{j}\right)=N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}\right) \times I \cup_{s_{j}} N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right)$ be the mapping cylinder of the map $s_{j}: N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}\right) \rightarrow$ $N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right)$. Using property Proposition 2.1 (d), we can form the mapping telescope $F_{0}=$ $\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} M\left(s_{j}\right)$ and the obvious maps

$$
X^{n} \times[j, j+1] \xrightarrow{\varphi_{j} \times I d_{[j, j+1]}} M\left(s_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{j} \times I d_{[j, j+1]}} X^{n} \times[j, j+1]
$$

fit together to give the map $X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_{0} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$.
Here, $F_{0}$ is a locally finite complex which can be completed to give a complex $F$ such that (cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Section 3] for details):
(i) at the $\infty$-end, we add

$$
{\underset{\zeta}{\leftrightarrows}}_{\lim _{j}} N\left(\mathcal{U}_{j}\right)=X^{n}
$$

(ii) at the 0 -end, we add a cone with the cone point $c_{0}$.

The complex $F_{0}$ (respectively $F$ ) is an open (respectively closed) fundamental complex of the (compact metric) space $X^{n}$. If $\mathbb{E}$ is an arbitrary spectrum and $H_{*}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \mathbb{E}\right)$ denotes the locally finite homology of $F_{0}$, then the Steenrod homology satisfies the following axiom

$$
H_{*}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \mathbb{E}\right) \cong H_{*}^{s t}\left(F, X^{n},\left\{c_{0}\right\} ; \mathbb{E}\right) .
$$

Note that $F$ is contractible, hence we have the following isomorphism

$$
H_{m}^{s t}\left(F, X^{n},\left\{c_{0}\right\} ; \mathbb{E}\right) \stackrel{\partial}{\cong} H_{m-1}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{E}\right) .
$$

We can now outline the construction of the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$(cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Section 4]). Let $(f, b)$ be a normal degree one map, i.e. $f: M^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$ is of degree one and $b: \nu_{M^{n}} \rightarrow \xi$ is a bundle map covering $f$. As before, $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ denotes the canonical map, i.e. $f_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}, \quad b_{0}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \rightarrow \xi_{0}$. Consider the following bundles over $F_{0}: \eta=\Lambda^{*}\left(\xi \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad \eta_{0}=\Lambda^{*}\left(\xi_{0} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Then $\Gamma^{*}(\eta) \cong \xi \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \Gamma^{*}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \cong \xi_{0} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$, since $\Lambda \circ \Gamma$ is homotopic to $I d_{X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}}$.

One obtains bundle maps ( $\Phi, B$ ) and ( $\Phi_{0}, B_{0}$ ) from the following compositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi: M^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{f \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}} X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_{0}, \\
& B: \nu_{M^{n}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{b \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}} \xi \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \eta, \\
& \Phi_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{f_{0} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}} X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_{0}, \\
& B_{0}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{b_{0} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}} \xi_{0} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \eta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Their mapping cylinders $M(\Phi, B)$ (respectively $M\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{0}\right)$ ) are normal spaces with boundaries $\left(M^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \amalg F_{0}$ (respectively $\left.\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \amalg F_{0}\right)$. Gluing them along $F_{0}$ yields
the normal space

$$
N=M(F, B) \underset{F_{0}}{\cup}-M\left(F_{0}, B_{0}\right), \quad \partial N=M^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \underset{F_{0}}{\cup} M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+},
$$

where the minus sign denotes the opposite orientation on $M\left(F_{0}, B_{0}\right)$.
This normal space $N$ can be decomposed into adic pieces to define an element in $H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right)$, where $\Omega^{N S T O P}$ is the semi-simplicially defined spectrum of adic normal spaces with manifold boundary (cf. Kühl, Macko and Mole [12, Section 11] for the precise definition).

There is a similar spectrum $\Omega^{N P D}$, where the boundaries are Poincaré duality spaces, and there exists an obvious map $\Omega^{N S T O P} \rightarrow \Omega^{N P D}$. Moreover, there is a map of spectra $\Omega^{N P D} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{+}$(cf. Ranicki [19, p.287]), inducing isomorphisms in homology theory (cf. Hausmann and Vogel [7], Levine [13], Quinn [18]). The composition $\Omega^{N S T O P} \rightarrow \Omega^{N P D} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{L}^{+}$is called $\operatorname{sign}^{\mathbb{\unrhd}}$ in Kühl, Macko and Mole [12, p.232].

A word about notation: we shall denote the element represented by $M(\Phi, B) \underset{F_{0}}{\cup}$ $-M\left(\Phi_{0}, B_{0}\right)$ by

$$
\{f, b\}-\left\{f_{0}, b_{0}\right\} \in H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right)
$$

and its image under

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n+2}^{s t}\left(F, X^{n},\left\{c_{0}\right\} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \\
& \stackrel{\partial}{\cong} H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \xrightarrow{s i g n^{\complement}} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

will be denoted by $[f, b]-\left[f_{0}, b_{0}\right]$.
Finally, one can then show that the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$sending $(f, b)$ to $[f, b]-\left[f_{0}, b_{0}\right]$, is well defined (cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Theorem 5.1]).

## 3. Factorization of the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$

This section is devoted to studying diagram (1.2).
I. First, one has to define the map $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right)$. We shall keep the notation from $\S 2$, so $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ denotes the canonical surgery problem for an oriented closed generalized $n$-manifold $X^{n}$ with $f_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}, b_{0}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \rightarrow \xi_{0}$.

Let $(f, b)$ represent an element in $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right)$, where $f: M^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}, \quad b: \nu_{M^{n}} \rightarrow \xi$. We shall also write $\xi_{0}, \xi: X^{n} \rightarrow B S T O P$ for the corresponding classifying maps. Their compositions with $\mathcal{J}: B S T O P \rightarrow B S G$ are homotopic.

Consider now the bundles $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ and $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)$ over $M_{0}^{n}$. Observe that $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=$ $\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$ and that $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)$ is fibre homotopy equivalent to $\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$. In other words, $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)$ is a TOP-reduction of the Spivak fibration of the manifold $M_{0}^{n}$.

Therefore $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)$ defines a surgery problem $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime n} \rightarrow M_{0}^{n}, \quad b^{\prime}: \nu_{M^{\prime n}} \rightarrow\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)$, which we shall denote by $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$. These are well-known constructions (cf. Browder [1, Section II.4], Madsen and Milgram [14, Chapter 2], Wall [22, Chapter 10]).

Lemma 3.1. The composition of the normal maps

$$
M^{\prime n} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} M_{0}^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} X^{n}, \quad \nu_{M^{\prime n}} \xrightarrow{b^{\prime}}\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{0}} \xi,
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{0}$ is the obvious bundle map covering the map $f_{0}$, is normally bordant to $(f, b)$.

Proof. For the proof, we have to describe $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right),(f, b)$, and $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ in more details. Suppose that $X^{n}$ is embedded into $S^{m}$, for some sufficiently large $m \geq n$, with a regular neighbourhood $W^{m} \subset S^{m}$ and a retraction $r: W^{m} \rightarrow X^{n}$. Thus, $\left.r\right|_{\partial W^{m}}: \partial W^{m} \rightarrow X^{n}$ is homotopy equivalent to the spherical fibration $\nu_{X^{n}}$, giving rise to $\beta: S^{m} \rightarrow W^{m} / \partial W^{m} \rightarrow$ $T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right)$.

The $T O P$-reductions $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi$ of $\nu_{X^{n}}$ then yield the following homotopy commutative diagram


Note that $h: T\left(\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow T(\xi)$ is induced by a fibre homotopy equivalence $\dot{\xi}_{0} \sim \nu_{X^{n}} \sim \dot{\xi}$, where $\dot{\xi}_{0}$ (respectively $\dot{\xi}$ ) denotes the sphere bundles of $\xi_{0}$ (respectively $\xi$ ).

Denote the compositions with $\beta$ by $\alpha_{0}: S^{m} \rightarrow T\left(\xi_{0}\right), \alpha: S^{m} \rightarrow T(\xi)$. They can be made transverse to $X^{n} \subset T\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ (respectively $T(\xi)$ ) in order to obtain $\alpha_{0}^{-1}\left(X^{n}\right)=M_{0}^{n}$ (respectively $\alpha^{-1}\left(X^{n}\right)=M^{n}$ ), and $b_{0}$ (respectively $b$ ) are the obvious maps from their normal bundles in $S^{m}$. Moreover, $\alpha_{0}$ (respectively $\alpha$ ) factor as $S^{m} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ (respectively $S^{m} \rightarrow T\left(\nu_{M^{n}}\right) \rightarrow T(\xi)$ ) and we have the following homotopy commutative diagram


Note that $h: T\left(\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow T(\xi)$ induces a homotopy equivalence $\bar{h}: T\left(\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $T\left(\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)\right)$. However, $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$, so we get the following homotopy commutative
diagram


Here, $\tilde{f}_{0}:\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi) \rightarrow \xi$ (respectively $\left.\tilde{f}_{0}:\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow \xi_{0}\right)$ are the obvious bundle maps over $f_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}$ (for simplicity we use the same symbol $\tilde{f}_{0}$ for both maps), and $T\left(\tilde{f}_{0}\right)$ is the induced map between the Thom spaces, so $T\left(\tilde{f}_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(X^{n}\right)=M_{0}^{n}$, similarly for $T\left(\tilde{f}_{0}\right)$ : $T\left(\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow T\left(\xi_{0}\right)$. Note that $h$ and $\bar{h}$ are not induced by bundle maps.

By making the composition

$$
S^{m} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime}} T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right)=T\left(\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} T\left(\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)\right)
$$

transverse to $M_{0}^{n}$, one obtains the surgery problem

$$
M^{\prime n}=\left(\bar{h} \circ \alpha^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right) \rightarrow M_{0}^{n}, \quad b^{\prime}: \nu_{M^{\prime n}} \rightarrow\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)
$$

Homotopy commutativity of diagram (3.3) then implies that

$$
M^{\prime n} \xrightarrow{f^{\prime}} M_{0}^{n} \xrightarrow{f_{0}} X^{n}, \quad \nu_{M^{\prime n}} \xrightarrow{b^{\prime}}\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_{0}} \xi
$$

is normally bordant to $(f, b)$. To see this, observe that $(f, b)$ is obtained from the upper arrow $\alpha$, whereas the composition $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right) \circ\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from the composition of the arrows $\downarrow \longrightarrow \uparrow$, that is $T\left(\tilde{f}_{0}\right) \circ \bar{h} \circ \alpha^{\prime}$. Note that $T\left(\tilde{f}_{0}\right)$ produces $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ and $\bar{h} \circ \alpha^{\prime}$ gives $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Remark 3.2. One might expect that homotopy commutativity of diagram (3.3) implies that $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ and $(f, b)$ are normally bordant. However, this is not the case since $h$ (respectively $\bar{h}$ ) are not induced by $T O P$-bundle maps.

The association $(f, b) \rightarrow\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ defines a map $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right)$. It depends on the fixed surgery problems $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$, and $I d_{M_{0}^{n}}: M_{0}^{n} \xlongequal{\cong} M_{0}^{n}, I d_{\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \cong \xlongequal{\cong} \nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$. We shall relate this map using the following identifications (cf. Kühl, Macko and Mole [12, Chapter 14, in particular Section 14.23])

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left[X^{n}, G / T O P\right], \quad \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left[M_{0}^{n}, G / T O P\right] .
$$

Given $f: M^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}, \quad b: \nu_{M^{n}} \rightarrow \xi$, we know that $\xi \oplus\left(-\xi_{0}\right): X^{n} \rightarrow B T O P$ classifies the Whitney sum of $\xi$ and $-\xi_{0}$. The composition with $\mathcal{J}: B T O P \rightarrow B S G$ is homotopic to the
constant map, hence it yields a map $X^{n} \rightarrow G / T O P$. This defines a bijection $\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ [ $\left.X^{n}, G / T O P\right]$, depending on $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$.

Let us denote the image of $(f, b) \in \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right)$ in $\left[X^{n}, G / T O P\right]$ by $\left[\xi-\xi_{0}\right]$. Similarly, $\mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left[M_{0}^{n}, G / T O P\right]$ can be defined using $I d_{M_{0}^{n}}: M_{0}^{n} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{0}^{n}, I d_{\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \xrightarrow{\cong}$ $\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$. The construction above then implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. The diagram

commutes. Moreover, $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\left[\xi-\xi_{0}\right]\right)=\left[\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi)-\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right]$.
II. Next, we shall show how $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ can be used to calculate $t(f, b) \in H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$. By crossing $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, one gets a normal map

$$
f^{\prime} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}: M^{\prime n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad b^{\prime} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}: \nu_{M^{\prime n}} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}(\xi) \times \mathbb{R}_{+},
$$

denoted by $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}$. The mapping cylinder $M\left(\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\right)$of the map $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}$is a normal space with manifold boundary, hence it defines an element

$$
M\left(\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\right) \in H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $\Gamma_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow F_{0}$ be defined as the composition of the maps

$$
f_{0} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}: M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \Gamma: X^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow F_{0}
$$

Then $\Gamma_{0}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)_{*}: H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \rightarrow H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right),
$$

such that

$$
\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\left[M\left(\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\right)\right]\right)=\{f, b\}-\left\{f_{0}, b_{0}\right\} .
$$

Proof. The element $\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\left[M\left(\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\right)\right]\right)$is represented by the mapping cylinder

$$
\left(M^{\prime n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{f^{\prime} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}}{\cup} M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+},
$$

but decomposed according to the dissection given by $\Gamma_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow F_{0}$. The element $\{f, b\}-\left\{f_{0}, b_{0}\right\}$ is represented by

$$
\left(M^{\prime n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{\Phi}{\cup} F_{0} \underset{F_{0}}{\cup}-\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{\Phi_{0}}{\cup} F_{0}
$$

as described in $\S 2$. By Lemma 3.1, it is equivalent to the mapping cylinder construction based on the composition of the normal maps $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right) \circ\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$. It gives the following

$$
\left(M^{\prime n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{f^{\prime} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}}{\cup} M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \cup\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \cup_{\Gamma_{0}}^{\cup} F_{0} \cup-\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{\Gamma_{0}}{\cup} F_{0},
$$

(cf. Ferry [4, Proposition 8.10] for the mapping cylinder calculations).

This is obviously bordant to

$$
\left(M^{\prime n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{f^{\prime} \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}}{\cup} M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

since

$$
\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{\Gamma_{0}}{\cup} F_{0} \cup-\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times I \underset{\Gamma_{0}}{\cup} F_{0}
$$

is 0 -bordant. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.
Now $\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ is a normal degree one map between manifolds, so it defines an element $\left[f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right] \in H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$, namely its normal invariant.

Corollary 3.5. Consider the homomorphism $\left(f_{0}\right)_{*}: H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$. Then $\left(f_{0}\right)_{*}\left(\left[f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right]\right)=[f, b]-\left[f_{0}, b_{0}\right]$.

Remark 3.6. If $X^{n}$ happens to be a topological $n$-manifold, then this is the Ranicki composition formula (cf. Ranicki [21, Proposition 2.7]).

Proof. The assertion follows from the following diagram

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)_{*}} H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(F_{0} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \\
H_{n+2}^{s t}\left(M_{0}^{n} \times[0, \infty], M_{0}^{n} \times\{\infty\}, M_{0}^{n} \times\{0\} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{0}\right)_{*}} H_{n+2}^{s t}\left(F, X^{n},\left\{c_{0}\right\} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \\
\downarrow \\
H_{n+1}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right) \\
\mid\left(s^{\left.N i g n^{\mathbb{L}^{+}}\right)_{*}}\right. \\
H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{0}\right)_{*}} \xrightarrow{l} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that the element $\left[M\left(\left(f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \times I d_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\right)\right] \in H_{n+2}^{l f}\left(M_{0}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} ; \Omega^{N S T O P}\right)$ maps to $\left[f^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right]$ under the left vertical arrow of morphisms. The completion of $\Gamma_{0}$ then gives the map $\bar{\Gamma}_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \times[0, \infty] \rightarrow F$. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.5.
III. Summary: Let $X^{n}$ be an oriented closed generalized manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$, and $f_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}, b_{0}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \rightarrow \xi_{0}$ a surgery problem according to a $B S T O P$-reduction
of $\nu_{X^{n}}$. Then the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$, defined in $\S 2$, fits into the following commutative diagram


Here, $t_{0}$ sends a normal degree one map with target $M_{0}^{n}$ to its normal invariant. Moreover, under the identification of Corollary 3.3, diagram (3.6) can be redrawn as follows


## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The essence of the proof was already given in § 1, by comparing diagrams (1.1) and (1.2). In this section, we present the details.

Let $\mathbb{Q}^{\bullet}$ denote the symmetric $\mathbb{L}$-spectrum (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 13]). It is a ring spectrum and $\mathbb{L}^{+}$is a $\mathbb{L}^{\bullet}$-module spectrum. Hence, the cup product constructions $H^{q}\left(Z, A ; \mathbb{L}^{\bullet}\right) \times H^{p}\left(Z ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{p+q}\left(Z, A ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$are well defined.

Considering an oriented closed generalized $n$-manifold, embedded in $X^{n} \subset S^{m}$, for some $m \geq n$, its Spivak fibration $\nu_{X^{n}}$ has a canonical orientation (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 16]), i.e. a Thom class

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X^{n}}} \in H^{m-n}\left(E\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right), \partial E\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{\bullet}\right) \cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{\bullet}\right),
$$

inducing the Thom isomorphism (here, $E\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right)$ is the associated disk fibration)

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)=H^{0}\left(E\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X^{n}}}} \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

Recall that canonical means that it is constructed via the canonical reduction $\xi_{0}$ of $\nu_{X^{n}}$. Hence, the Thom class $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{0}} \in \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{\bullet}\right)$, corresponds to $\mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X}}$ under the homotopy equivalence between $T\left(\xi_{0}\right)$ and $T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right)$.

The existence of $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{0}}$ is guaranteed (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 16]). Moreover, since $\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right) \cong \nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$, it follows that $f_{0}: M_{0}^{n} \rightarrow X^{n}, b_{0}: \nu_{M_{0}^{n}} \rightarrow \xi_{0}$ induces $T\left(b_{0}\right): T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) \rightarrow$ $T\left(\xi_{0}\right)$, so that under

$$
\left(T\left(b_{0}\right)\right)^{*}: H^{m-n}\left(T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L} \bullet\right) \rightarrow H^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L} \bullet\right),
$$

$\mathcal{U}_{\xi_{0}}$ is mapped to $\mathcal{U}_{\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}}$, the Thom class of $\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}$. This implies commutativity of the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{. \cup \mathcal{U}_{\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}}} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \\
\left.\left(f_{0}\right)^{*}\right|^{.}\left(T\left(b_{0}\right)\right)^{*}  \tag{4.1}\\
H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{. \cup \mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X} n}} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The Thom isomorphisms are now composed with the $S$-duality isomorphisms:

$$
\tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong H_{n}^{s t}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) .
$$

For the generalized manifold $X^{n}$, this follows from Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Theorem B], which asserts that

$$
H^{m-n-1}\left(S^{m} \backslash X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

Since for every $m \geq n$,

$$
H^{m-n-1}\left(S^{m} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)=L_{m-1}, \quad H^{m-n}\left(S^{m} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)=L_{m},
$$

where $L_{q}=\pi_{q}(G / T O P)$, the exact sequence of the pair $\left(S^{m}, S^{m} \backslash X^{n}\right)$ then implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{m-n-1}\left(S^{m} \backslash X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(S^{m}, S^{m} \backslash X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \\
& \quad \cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This also applies to $M_{0}^{n}$.
The proof of Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Theorem B] shows that the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n}^{s t}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)=H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \\
\left.\left(T\left(b_{0}\right)\right)^{*}\right|^{\uparrow}\left(f_{0}\right)_{*}  \tag{4.2}\\
\tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Briefly, this follows since the Spanier-Whitehead duality isomorphism comes from the slant product constructions, using the map

$$
X_{+}^{n} \wedge T\left(\xi_{0}\right) \cong X_{+}^{n} \wedge T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right) \rightarrow S^{m},
$$

i.e. it comes from the element in $H^{m}\left(X_{+}^{n} \wedge T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$which it defines. This construction is natural for the normal map $\left(f_{0}, b_{0}\right)$. Since $X^{n}$ is not a complex, $T\left(\nu_{X^{n}}\right)$ is replaced by
a certain function space which leads to the Steenrod homology (cf. Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Section 4]).

Summary: The following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\nu_{M_{0}^{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{S D} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \\
\left(f_{0}\right)^{*} \mid & \left(T\left(b_{0}\right)\right)^{*} \mid  \tag{4.3}\\
H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}^{m-n}\left(T\left(\xi_{0}\right) ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{S D} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The composition of the upper row isomorphisms is known to be

$$
. \cap\left[M_{0}^{n}\right]_{\mathbb{L}} \bullet: H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right),
$$

where $\left[M_{0}^{n}\right]_{\mathbb{L}} \bullet \in H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{\bullet}\right)$ is the $\mathbb{L}^{\bullet}$-coefficient fundamental class of $M_{0}^{n}$ (cf. Ranicki [20, Proposition 18.3]). Finally, we can identify

$$
\left[M_{0}^{n}, G / T O P\right]=H^{0}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right), \quad\left[X^{n}, G / T O P\right]=H^{0}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right),
$$

according to the equivalence $G / T O P \stackrel{ }{\cong} \mathbb{L}^{+}$(cf. Kirby and Siebenmann [11, Essay 5, Appendix C], Ranicki [20, Proposition 16.1]).

Combining this with Corollary 3.3 and diagram (3.6), we obtain the following diagram


Commutativity of the outer diagram (cf. diagram (4.3)) and each square imply that

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(M_{0}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{t_{0}} H_{n}\left(M_{0}^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(f_{0}\right)_{*}} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism, hence by diagram (3.6), this composition is $t$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 4.1. In particular, the proof of Theorem 1.2 also shows that the $\mathbb{L}$-duality isomorphism for generalized manifold $X^{n}$ factors over $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$.

## 5. Epilogue

We shall conclude this paper by a brief outlook for further studies, following a very interesting suggestion of the referee. In this paper, we have proved that there exists a bijective map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$from normal degree one bordisms to the Steenrod homology of the spectrum $\mathbb{L}^{+}$.

The Steenrod homology is known to behave well on the category of compact metric spaces. In particular, if $q: X^{n} \rightarrow B$ is any morphism, then there exists a long exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(B ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(B, X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{*}} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{q_{*}} H_{n}^{s t}\left(B ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $q: X^{n} \rightarrow B$ is a $U V^{1}$-map, then there is a controlled surgery sequence (cf. Bryant, Ferry, Mio and Weinberger [2], Mio [16], and Nicas [17]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n+1}^{s t}(B ; \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{c}\binom{\AA^{n}}{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{c}} H_{n}^{s t}(B ; \mathbb{\mathbb { L }}) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathbb{L}$ denotes the 4-periodic spectrum with $\mathbb{L}_{0}=\mathbb{Z} \times G / T O P, \sigma^{c}$ is the controlled surgery obstruction map, and

$$
\mathcal{S}^{c}\left(\begin{array}{c}
X^{n}  \tag{5.3}\\
\downarrow q \\
B
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the controlled structure set. This controlled surgery sequence 5.2 makes sense if the controlled structure set 5.3 is nonempty (cf. Mio [16, Theorem 3.8]).

It is natural to ask if sequences (5.1) and (5.2) are related via the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$. First, one notes that two spectra $\mathbb{L}^{+}$and $\mathbb{L}$ are involved, where $\mathbb{L}^{+} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{L}$ is considered as the covering spectrum over the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $K(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$, i.e. $\mathbb{L}^{+} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ is a fibration of spectra.

In order to compare sequences (5.1) and (5.2), we consider the composite map

$$
q_{*} \circ i_{*}: H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}\right) \xrightarrow{q_{*}} H_{n}^{s t}(B ; \mathbb{L}),
$$

and obtain the following diagram

The first step would be to prove commutativity of diagram (5.4). However, this is not enough, since one also needs a map between $H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(B, X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$and the set (5.3), compatible with $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$. This can all be done if $X^{n}$ is a topological $n$-manifold
(cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [8]). In the case when $X^{n}$ is a generalized $n$-manifold, this is still an unsolved problem.

For the second step, one is led to "refining" the map $t: \mathcal{N}\left(X^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}^{s t}\left(X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)$to a map

$$
\bar{t}: \mathcal{S}^{c}\left({ }_{B}^{X^{n}} q\right) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(B, X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

so that the following diagram is commutative

where $\mathcal{S}^{c}$ denotes the set (5.3).
Since $\operatorname{dim} X^{n}=n$, we may assume that $\operatorname{dim} B \leq n$. In this case, it follows from the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (which holds for the Steenrod homology, cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš $[9$, p. 206] $)$ that $H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(B ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} H_{n+1}^{s t}(B ; \mathbb{L})$ is an isomorphism. In this case, the map

$$
\bar{t}: \mathcal{S}^{c}\left({ }_{B}^{X^{n}} q\right) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{s t}\left(B, X^{n} ; \mathbb{L}^{+}\right)
$$

is bijective. However, the existence of such a map $\bar{t}$ is at present still a conjecture.
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