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#### Abstract

We show that if $G$ is an upper semicontinuous decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 4$, into convex sets, then the quotient space $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ is a codimension 1 manifold factor. In particular, we show that $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ has the disjoint arc-disk property. Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 57N15, 57N75; Secondary 57P99, 53C70. Keywords. Upper semicontinuous decomposition, convex set, generalized manifold, cell-like resolution, general position property, codimension 1 manifold factor, Generalized Moore Problem.


## 1. Introduction

A space $X$ is said to be a codimension 1 manifold factor provided that $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is a manifold. It is a long standing unsolved problem as to whether all resolvable generalized manifolds are codimension 1 manifold factors [9]. This is the socalled Product With a Line Problem and it is the essence of the famous Generalized R. L. Moore Problem [21, 25, 26].

The Product With a Line Problem speaks directly to one of the most fundamental questions in geometric topology, which is how to recognize manifolds $[6,15,27,28,29]$. Because manifolds have a rich structure which is useful to exploit in many areas of mathematics and its applications, it is important to recognize when one is dealing with a space that is a manifold. One notable example is the relevance of the Product With a Line Problem to the famous Busemann Conjecture in metric geometry [3, 4, 5, 19].

One might wonder even if a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into convex sets could give rise to a decomposition space topologically distinct from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This problem was investigated for several years beginning with Bing in the 1950's

[^0][1, 2, 8, 14, 23]. In 1970, Armentrout [1] produced the first example of a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ into convex sets that yields a non-manifold. Then in 1975, Eaton [14] demonstrated that a certain decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ into points and straight line segments, originally proposed by Bing [2], is indeed topologically distinct from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Hence, this type of complexity is significant. It should also be noted that there are no known examples of a non-manifold resulting from a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n \geq 4}$ into convex sets.

In this paper we shall investigate how the type of complexity represented by decompositions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into convex sets can affect the classification of a decomposition space as a codimension 1 manifold factor. We shall demonstrate that decompositions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 4$, into convex sets are always codimension 1 manifold factors. In particular, we shall show that such spaces have a particularly strong general position property, the disjoint arc-disk property.

## 2. Preliminaries

We briefly review some basic definitions and notations. Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be proper if whenever $C$ is a compact subset of $Y$, then $f^{-1}(C)$ is compact.

There are various equivalent definitions of upper semicontinuous decompositions [11], but the following will be the most useful for our purposes:

Definition 2.1. A decomposition $G$ of $M$ into compact sets is said to be upper semicontinuous (usc) if and only if the associated decomposition map $\pi: M \rightarrow M / G$ is a proper map.

A compact subset $C$ of a space $X$ is said to be cell-like if for each neighborhood $U$ of $C$ in $X, C$ can be contracted to a point inside $U$ [24]. A usc decomposition $G$ of $M$ is said to be cell-like if each element $g \in G$ is cell-like. A map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is said to be cell-like if for each $x \in X, f^{-1}(x)$ is cell-like. A resolvable generalized n-manifold is an $n$-dimensional space $X$ that is the image of a cell-like map $f: M \rightarrow X$ where $M$ is an $n$-manifold.

Convex sets are contractible, and hence they are cell-like. Thus, a usc decomposition $G$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into convex sets is a cell-like decomposition and the associated decomposition map $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ is a cell-like map. The fact that $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ is finite-dimensional follows from a result of Zemke (see [30, Theorem 5.2]). Therefore, in this setting, $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ is a resolvable generalized $n$-manifold.

For resolvable generalized manifolds, we have the following very useful approximate lifting theorem, which follows from [11, Theorem 17.1 and Corollary 16.12B]:

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $G$ is a cell-like decomposition of a manifold $M$, with decomposition map $\pi: M \rightarrow M / G$, and that the quotient space $M / G$ is finite-dimensional. Then for any map $f: Z \rightarrow M / G$ of a finite-dimensional compact polyhedron $Z$, and any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a map $F: Z \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi F$ is an $\epsilon$-approximation of $f$.

General position properties are very useful in detecting codimension 1 manifold factors $[12,16,17,18,20]$. For our results, we shall only need to employ the following:

Definition 2.3. A space $X$ is said to have the disjoint arc-disk property (DADP) provided that any two maps $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ and $f: D^{2} \rightarrow X$ can be approximated by maps with disjoint images, where $I$ denotes the unit interval and $D^{2}$ denotes a disk.

The following theorem was demonstrated in [10, Proposition 2.10]:
Theorem 2.4. A resolvable generalized manifold having DADP is a codimension 1 manifold factor.

Useful in discussions of the DADP is the local 0-co-connectedness property. A set $Z \subset X$ is said to have the local 0 -co-connectedness property (0LCC) in $X$ if for every $z \in Z \cap \mathrm{Cl}(X-Z)$, each neighborhood $U$ of $z$ contains another neighborhood $V$ of $z$ so that any two points in $V$ are path connected in $U$. Note that if $Z$ is nowhere dense in $X$, then $Z=Z \cap \mathrm{Cl}(X-Z)$.

The following theorem can be found in [11, Corollary 26.2A]:
Theorem 2.5. Each $k$-dimensional closed subset of a generalized $n$-manifold, where $k \leq n-2$, is $0-L C C$.

Since a $k$-dimensional closed subset of a generalized $n$-manifold $X$, where $k \leq n-1$, is nowhere dense in $X$, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.6. If $Z$ is a $k$-dimensional closed subset of a generalized $n$ manifold $X$, where $k \leq n-2$, then any path $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ can be approximated by a path $\alpha^{\prime}: I \rightarrow X-Z$.

## 3. Main Results

The main result of this paper is the following theorem:
Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be an upper semicontinuous decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into convex sets, where $n \geq 4$. Then $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ is a codimension 1 manifold factor.

This theorem will follow immediately as a corollary of Theorem 2.4 and the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be an upper semicontinuous decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into convex sets, where $n \geq 4$. Then $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ has the $D A D P$.

Proof. Let $f: D^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ and $\varepsilon>0$. It follows from Corollary 2.6 that it suffices to show that there is an $\epsilon$-approximation $f^{\prime}: D^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} / G$ of $f$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(D^{2}\right)$ is 2-dimensional.

Let $F: D^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a piecewise linear map, that is an $\varepsilon$-approximate lift of $f$. We shall show that $f^{\prime}=\pi F$ is then the desired map.

Let $T$ denote a triangulation of $F\left(D^{2}\right)$. We claim that if $\sigma$ is a 2 -simplex of $T$, then $f^{\prime}(\sigma)$ is 2-dimensional. To see this, let $G_{\sigma}$ be the decomposition induced over $\pi(\sigma)$, i.e. $G_{\sigma}$ is the decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ having as the only nontrivial elements, the nontrivial elements of $G$ that meet $\sigma$. Let $\omega: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} / G_{\sigma}$ be the associated decomposition map. Note that $\omega$ is necessarily a proper map, being a decomposition induced over a closed set in the decomposition space of a usc decomposition.

Let $P$ be the 2-dimensional plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ that contains $\sigma$. Let $\varpi$ denote the restriction of $\omega$ to $P$. Then $\varpi$ is also a proper map. Thus $\varpi$ determines a usc decomposition of the plane into convex sets, elements that do not separate the plane. It now follows from a classical result of Moore [25, 26], that $\varpi$ is a near-homeomorphism onto its image. Thus $\varpi(\sigma)$ is at most 2-dimensional.

But $\varpi(\sigma)$ is homeomorphic to $\omega(\sigma)$, which in turn is homeomorphic to $\pi(\sigma)$. Thus $\pi(\sigma)$ is at most 2 -dimensional subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G$. Hence

$$
f^{\prime}\left(D^{2}\right)=\bigcup_{\sigma \in T^{(2)}} \pi(\sigma)
$$

is a 2-dimensional subset of the generalized $n$-manifold $\mathbb{R}^{n} / G[22]$.

## 4. Conclusions

As we have seen, the complexity represented by decompositions into convex sets does not inhibit a decomposition space from being a codimension 1 manifold factor. The fact that such spaces satisfy the DADP is a pleasant result.

It is well known that not all codimension 1 manifold factors satisfy the DADP, and hence the DADP is not a general position property that provides a characterization of codimension 1 manifold factors. In fact, the DADP condition is a relatively weak tool for detecting codimension 1 manifold factors, compared to other general position properties such as:

- the disjoint homotopies property [16];
- the plentiful 2-manifolds property [16];
- the 0 -stitched disks property [18];
- the method of $\delta$-fractured maps [17]; and
- the disjoint topographies (or disjoint concordance) property [12, 20].

It is these stronger properties that must be utilized to demonstrate that spaces such as the Totally Wild Flow [7] and the Ghastly Spaces [13] are codimension 1 manifold factors.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that we must look to other types of complexities to realize a counterexample to the Generalized R. L. Moore Problem, if such an example does indeed exist.
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